

**Public Hearing
June 2, 2020
Public Input**

Item Type	Date	Item No.	Item Name
Public Input – Streltsov, Y.	May 14, 2020 17h09	1.1	OCP Amendment and Rezoning – 2002-2014 St. George Street and 2003-2009 St. Johns Street
Public Input – Besson, Andre	May 19, 2020 12h35	1.1	OCP Amendment and Rezoning – 2002-2014 St. George Street and 2003-2009 St. Johns Street
Public Input – Davis, Jarrett	May 22, 2020 11h28	1.1	OCP Amendment and Rezoning – 2002-2014 St. George Street and 2003-2009 St. Johns Street

From: y_streltsov [REDACTED]

Sent: May-14-20 5:09 PM

To: Clerks <Clerks@portmoody.ca>

Subject: Application #6700-20-186

Howdy, I live in an apartment which the bold properties seeks to rezoning bylaw adjustment so they can renovict me. I am absolutely against allowing this. We already have an issue with affordable rental unit supply and allowing some blood suckers to tear this place down so they can put up luxury apartments that no one can here would be able to afford is asinine. There is a literal empty lot across the street

Stop sucking corporate boots and stand up for the community.

No to

From: Andre Besson [mailto:]
Sent: May-19-20 12:35 PM
To: Clerks <Clerks@portmoody.ca>
Subject: Submission to application #6700-20-186

Hello,

My name is André Besson. I am the owner of the detached house at Seaforth Way in Port Moody since 2014.

I am opposed to this amendment to change the OCP from mixed use in this application to multi-residential for the following reason: West Port Moody is very under-serviced right now in terms of commercial space. We desperately need a grocery store... There is really no retail or grocery store anywhere in western Port Moody, this is therefore not in the best interests of the community. If we truly care about sustainable transportation issues in our community, the last thing we need is to have more residents needing their cars to do groceries and other shopping activities in Burquitlam or East Port Moody... This application goes opposite to the vision laid out in the OCP which highlights more employment in the city and vowing to make it more pedestrian friendly.

The developer has not laid out acceptable reasons to change this bylaw. The needs of the community should not be pushed aside for the personal suitability of a developer.

I also do not understand why the city approved a new building "the station" on St-John st that is closer to the skytrain yet it is at a lower height than what this application has for this location despite it being very far from a skytrain station.

Another issue I have with this application is that the developer would have a parking entry on St. George St suggesting the use of Seaforth Way as a feeding road for that complex. This highlights the fact that developers simply do not care about traffic issues. Seaforth way should not see more traffic! This is a family community with young children and this road already sees too much traffic. It is also a shared biking lane. If anything, this road needs traffic reduction measures as too often vehicles go far faster than the speed limit especially going downhill. It is just a matter of time before a child gets hit by a vehicle. Clarke rd is already a parking lot during rush hour and it is only going to get worse with all the building activity in Burquitlam. In short, increasing traffic on Seaforth Way would affect the safety of residents in the area, it would exacerbate the traffic issues in the area and it would render the shared bikelane more hazardous for cyclist and other vehicles. We do NOT need more cars in a shared biking lane!

I find it really frustrating that developers always try to amend OCP bylaws to suit their personal needs as opposed to the needs of the community and against the vision for the community. What is the point of having an OCP then?

Increasing traffic in the area would lower the quality of life of residents in the area, by providing less safety, more noise, more pollution, more commuting times.

The proposed height of the complex would also affect view corridors of residents in the area.

Best regards,
André Besson

From: Davis, Jarrett [mailto: [REDACTED]]

Sent: May-22-20 11:28 AM

To: Clerks <Clerks@portmoody.ca>

Subject: Edgestone Townhomes

Hi,

I would like to send a quick email to show my support for the Edgestone Townhome development and request to be a part of the live video feedback via zoom on June 2nd.

Thank you,

Jarrett Davis

[REDACTED] Highview st. Port Moody B.C.

Confidentiality Note: The information contained in this message, and any attachments, may contain confidential and/or privileged material. It is intended solely for the person(s) or entity to which it is addressed. Any review, retransmission, dissemination, or taking of any action in reliance upon this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient(s) is prohibited. If you received this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from any device.
