Multi-Family Sustainability Report Card

Purpose

The Sustainability Report Card recognizes that developers, builders, designers, and others proposing changes to the built environment have an important role in creating a sustainable community. Sustainability involves stewardship of land and environmental resources, as well as green building and a focus on design elements that bring people together and help communities flourish economically, socially, and culturally. Port Moody encourages innovative thinking in community design to achieve a more sustainable community.

To this end, the Report Card is a requirement for rezoning, development permit, and heritage alteration permit applications. The Report Card identifies performance measures based on community sustainability values: these measures are used to evaluate development proposals. The Report Card is intended to be a summary of overall project sustainability. It is a tool to be integrated with all other development approval requirements.

Process

There are six steps to follow in completing the Sustainability Report Card process:

- 1. Make a development inquiry to Development Services regarding your proposed rezoning, development permit, or heritage alteration permit. Staff will provide you with a hard copy of the Sustainability Report Card and provide a weblink to portmoody.ca/SRC where you can find a fillable PDF version of the Report Card.
- 2. Attend a pre-application meeting with City staff to discuss your proposal. The Planner will determine if the Sustainability Report Card is a document that must be submitted with your application.
- 3. If required, complete a Report Card by filling in the appropriate information that applies to your particular application and submit the completed Report Card (saved version of online fillable PDF or hard copy) to the appropriate City staff (sustainabilityreportcard@portmoody.ca or deliver to City Hall Planning Department at 100 Newport Drive), along with a completed land use application.
- **4.** The Planner will review the Report Card for completeness and accuracy and forward to staff in various departments for feedback. The Planner will determine your preliminary score and discuss the results of the staff review with you. You will then have an opportunity to improve your score with respect to the sustainability of your proposal and resubmit an updated Report Card.
- 5. The Planner will make comments, determine your final score, and prepare the Project Report Card Summary. The Summary will be included in the land use reports that are distributed to the Advisory Design Panel, Community Planning Advisory Committee, and Council.
- **6.** If your application is approved by Council, your final Report Card is maintained in the development file and a copy is provided to the City's Building Division.

Instructions

- Your Report Card must contain sufficient detail to ensure each measure can be evaluated. To do this, make reference to the appropriate plans, drawings, and reports that demonstrate how the performance measure is met.
- The relevance of the questions will depend on the nature and scope of your project, so not all questions will be applicable to all projects.
- Some measures are marked 'EARLY STAGE'. This indicates that these measures must be considered in the design phase as it is unlikely they can be added to a proposal later on.

Italicized words are in the Glossary at the back of this document.



- Similarly, some measures are marked 'BASELINE'. Although the Report Card is not a pass or fail test of development applications, it does set a minimum score to indicate the City's minimum expectations. Items labelled 'BASELINE' count toward a minimum score as they are considered to be low cost and readily achievable.
- Italicized terms are defined in the Glossary at the end of the Report Card document.
- Refer to the Resources section for links to Internet resources relevant to measures in the Report Card.

Scoring

- Performance measures are assigned weighted scores from 1 to 10 to indicate their significance based on: (1) level of difficulty to integrate into project design; (2) order-of-magnitude cost added to the project; (3) degree of effectiveness for increasing the overall project sustainability; (4) identified community priority in the Official Community Plan; and (5) level of urgency for Port Moody in terms of achieving community sustainability goals.
- City staff score the completed Report Card based on the principle of best achievable on each site for each performance measure. Where possible, points for achieving various means are indicated. In other cases, the number of means to achieve a performance measure may exceed the total points possible for an item. In this case, the Planner will make a fair assessment of the project's performance for this measure with respect to the conditions of the site as a percentage and translate this to the possible score.
- Only whole number scores will be assigned. This will be achieved by rounding to the nearest whole number. For example, if overall performance for a measure is deemed to be about 80 per cent and the possible score is out of 4, then a score of 3 points out of 4 will be assigned.
- The Report Card is an iterative process with the applicant. The applicant has an opportunity to comment and make changes to their proposal before the scores are considered final and shared with public advisory bodies and Council.
- Additional space is provided for the applicant to address innovations and constraints not captured elsewhere in the Report Card.
 These items are not scored, but are given specific mention on the Project Report Card Summary.
- Staff will review your completed Report Card and provide feedback before your project is scored to give you the opportunity to achieve the highest score possible.

Monitoring

In general, the information required from the applicant for the Sustainability Report Card is similar to the kind of information required for a typical development application. However, to ensure accountability, you can expect the City to request additional information, such as: photos of installed systems or products, design drawings, professional reports, copies of receipts, or other records that can be used to verify the implementation of the selected sustainability measures. We encourage you to provide as much information as possible to assist City staff in their review of your development proposal.

Public Information

The public may request a review of any completed Report Card related to a development application. Copies of the Report Card are maintained by the Planning Division. The Development Services Department makes Report Cards available following completion of the project.

Property and Applicant Information

Applicant Craig Marcyniuk	Telephone 604-732-7651 ext 124	Email craig@porte.ca
Registered Owner Porte Homes (Charles Street) LTD.	Project Address 1865-1895 Charles Street, Port Moody, BC	
Proposed Use Rresidential	•	

Arts

Performance Measure Description and Scoring

Project includes public art in publicly accessible or publicly owned space (3 points, +1 bonus point if a Public Art Consultant is used).

OR Project provides an in lieu financial contribution to the City's Public Art Reserve Fund (3 points).

See links in Resources under "Examples of Good Public Art".

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

If yes , describe: The project will provide area on site to incorporate public art and	Staff Comments
Public Art Consultant will be used in the process.	
Public Art Consultant:	
Plan reference:	

Bonus Score $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \end{bmatrix}$ /1 Score $\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ \end{bmatrix}$ /3

CULTURAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION How will the project contribute to Port Moody's status as 'City of the Arts'?

Arts

Performance Measure Description and Scoring

Project supports Port Moody's desire to be a "City of the Arts" by integrating artistic design into the site or building form or functionality (2 points).

Examples:

- Creative stormwater management features.
- Creative interaction of the project with the public.
- Artistic panels in entry foyer.

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Describe:	Staff Comments
The project supports Port Moody's desire to be a City of the Arts. We are proposing very unique design in Port Moody that will provide artistic element to the neighbourhood and will use artistic panels in entry foyer.	to be confirmed through architectural plan review
Plan reference:	

core 0 /2

Heritage

Performance Measure Description and Scoring

Project includes reusing an existing heritage structure with heritage value through *heritage restoration* or *heritage rehabilitation* (4 points).

Where the preservation of a heritage structure in its original location cannot be accommodated, this may include re-location. *See Standards and Guidelines for the Conservation of Historic Places in Canada: historic places.ca*

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Describe:	Staff Comments
Does not apply	
Plan reference:	

Score N/A /4

CULTURAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION How will the project contribute to Port Moody's status as 'City of the Arts'?

Heritage

C4

Performance Measure Description and Scoring

Project includes a *statement of significance* prepared by a heritage conservation specialist where potential heritage value is observed (2 points). Where warranted, project includes a heritage conservation plan prepared by a heritage conservation professional (+2 bonus points, where applicable).

See Standards and Guidelines for the Conservation of Historic Places in Canada: historicplaces.ca

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Report title:	Staff Comments
Does not apply	
Heritage Consultant:	
Heritage Consultant.	

Bonus Score		/2	Score	N/A	/
-------------	--	----	-------	-----	---

Heritage

Performance Measure Description and Scoring

Project salvages materials or artefacts from a historic place, or reuses materials or artefacts from architectural/landscape salvage in a manner which supports the authenticity of the site's *character-defining elements*.

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Details:	Staff Comments
Plan reference:	

Score N/A /3

CULTURAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION How will the project contribute to Port Moody's status as 'City of the Arts'?

Arts

Performance Measure Description and Scoring

Project designates space for the arts or creative enterprise to be retained for the lifetime of the project. Ex. artist studio, gallery space, dance studio, indoor/outdoor theatre, live-work units, plaza, etc.

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

meters ² / feet ²	Staff Comments
meters2 feet2	Residential-only project
Description of space:	
Landscape and amenity areas can be used for different artistic expressions.	

Score N/A

RLY STAGE

CULTURAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION How will the project contribute to Port Moody's status as 'City of the Arts'?

Complete Community Elements

Performance Measure Description and Scoring

Project improves the *streetscape* beyond minimum City requirements by integrating lasting creative elements and demonstrating effort to optimize the project's *beautification* impact.

Examples:

- Restores the frontage of an existing building in Historic Moody Centre.
- Proposes artistic paving treatments in the public realm.
- Adds creativity to functional elements of the *streetscape*.
- Benches, bike rack, planter, lighting, etc. upgrades.

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports		
Currently the site is quite inaccessible and does not provide street presence or interaction with public. Our proposal is bringing building frontage to the street creating street oriented units where possible, enhancing street realm with creative landscaping solutions. In addition, placing public art at the building entry additionally interacts with the public and is inviting for further conversation.	Staff Comments	
Plan reference:		
IRAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION How will the project cont	Score 1 /2 ribute to Port Moody's status as 'City of the Arts'?	
Heritage	Troute to 1011 11100uy 3 status as City of the 11115.	
Performance Measure Description and Scoring		
Project will apply to be added to the City's Heritage Register.		
Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and F	Reports	
Yes No No N/A	Staff Comments	
Details:		
Does not apply as it will be a new building		

Score N/A /3

C8

Innovation

Performance Measure Description and Scoring

C9 Cultural sustainability aspects not captured above.

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

The environmental sensitivity of the site guided the entire design process where most creative approach has been taken to respect and preserve natural character of the site. It resulted in very unique design that will complement environment.

Staff Comments

CULTURAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION How will the project contribute to Port Moody's status as 'City of the Arts'?

Constraints

Performance Measure Description and Scoring

C10 Unique site aspects that limit cultural sustainability achievement.

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

The site is zoned for residential use and as such does not provide uses and functions that would provide extensive cultural sustainable attractions.

In addition, it is located at cul-de-sac so general traffic is limited to local users and occasional visitors.

Staff Comments

Cultural Sustainability Score Summary

Score Total Cultural Pillar Points (Total Points Available – Not Including Bonus Points) 23 Total **Total Cultural Points Not Applicable** 16 (Total Points for Items Not Relevant to this Application) Maximum Achievable Score (Total Cultural Pillar Points Minus Total Cultural Points Not Applicable) Maximum Cultural Pillar Minimum Score 3 (Sum of Applicable Baseline Items) Cultural Baseline **Total Points Achieved** 4 (Total Points Achieved for Applicable Items for this Application) Total Cultural Points Cultural Pillar Score (Total Points Achieved/Maximum Achievable Score)

Land Use/Employment

Performance Measure Description and Scoring

Supports walking to shops and services by improving the circulation and connectivity of the site to the retail shops and services of the relevant neighbourhood centre.

See Map 1: Overall Land Use in the City's Official Community Plan: Map 1: Overall Land Use Plan

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Existing:	Staff Comments
Use(s):	Project does not improve circulation and
One family residential	connectivity but opportunities to do so are limited by location, grades and existing development
Number of jobs on-site relating to this use in operation:	
none	
Proposed:	
Use(s):	
Multiple-family residential	
Number of jobs estimate:	
4-6	
Assumptions:	
Property manager, gardeners, caretaker and other trades related to the upkeep of the building.	

Score 0

How will the project contribute to a stronger local economy?

Land Use

ECONOMIC SUSTAINABILITY SECTION

Performance Measure Description and Scoring

EC2 Provides more intensive use of land to the allowable housing density that supports local businesses.

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Describe the diversification and how it is appropriate to this	Staff Comments
particular location:	
The new development remains sensitive to its surroundings and it	
is proposing total FSR of 1.87. Taken into consideration that	
allowed FSR is 2.50 this is significant reduction and it follows the	
design idea of being embedded in the surroundings rather than	
imposed to it.	
However, great variance in unit types and sizes is going to attract	
and provide housing for various users and will enrich the	
neighbourhood.	

Score 1 /1

ASELINE + EARLY STAGE

Land Use/Employment

Performance Measure Description and Scoring

EC3 Results in net increase in the City's property tax base.

See Map 1: Overall Land Use in the City's Official Community Plan: Map 1: Overall Land Use Plan

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Existing:	Staff Comments
Building type: One Family Residential	
FSR:	
Proposed:	
Building type: Multi-Family Residential	
FSR: 1.86	

Score 2 /3

ECONOMIC SUSTAINABILITY SECTION How will the project contribute to a stronger local economy?

Land Use

Performance Measure Description and Scoring

EC4 Project redevelops and rehabilitates a brownfield site.

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Describe:	Staff Comments
Not Applicable	Site is not a brownfield site

Score N/A /3

RLY STAGE

ECONOMIC SUSTAINABILITY SECTION How will the project contribute to a stronger local economy?

Innovation

Performance Measure Description and Scoring

EC5 Economic sustainability aspects not captured above.

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

• •	<u>'</u>	·	•
			Staff Comments

ECONOMIC SUSTAINABILITY SECTION How will the project contribute to a stronger local economy?

Constraints

Performance Measure Description and Scoring

EC6 Unique site aspects that limit economic sustainability achievement.

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

The site is zoned as residential and as such does not enable greater possibilities for employment on site.

However, providing housing for young professionals and families it will increase demand in number of local businesses which will initiate economic growth of neighbouring locations.

Staff Comments

Economic Sustainability Score Summary

Score Total Economic Pillar Points (Total Points Available – Not Including Bonus Points) 16 **Total Economic Points Not Applicable** 3 (Total Points for Items Not Relevant to this Application) Maximum Achievable Score 13 (Total Economic Pillar Points Minus Total Economic Points Not Applicable) Maximum **Economic Pillar Minimum Score** 3 (Sum of Applicable Baseline Items) Economic Baseline **Total Points Achieved** 3 (Total Points Achieved for Applicable Items for this Application) Total Economic Points Economic Pillar Score 3 13 (Total Points Achieved/Maximum Achievable Score)

Site Context | Ecology

Performance Measure Description and Scoring

Project protects and enhances an Environmentally Sensitive Area (ESA) as designated on Map 13 in the City's Official Community Plan, i.e. provides positive net benefit.

See Map 13: Environmentally Sensitive Areas and Appendix 2: Development Permit Area Guidelines in the Official Community Plan.

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Flairs, Drawings, and Reports			
Type of ESA:	Staff Comments		
High ESA			
Medium ESA			
Low ESA			
30m Stream Buffer (High Value)			
Special Feature (High Value)			
Features/Species of Value:			
Means of Protection:	Method of protection unknown at this time		
Covenant	'		
Dedication			
Monitoring			
Other:			
Means of Improvement of ESA:	riparian area protection and enhancement under		
	review. Plans will be defined as part of the on-going project review		
	an gaing project review		

Score 1 /4

Site Context | Ecology

Performance Measure Description and Scoring

EN2 Project provides bird-friendly development through landscaping that provides habitat to native species and building design that reduces bird collisions.

See <u>Vancouver Bird Strategy</u>

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

List all elements that reduce the impact that urbanization has on birds for	Staff Comments
this project:	Will be confirmed as part of on-going landscape
Landscape design is providing bird friendly environment with trees and plants that enable nesting and potentially feeding. Building design is done in order to reduce bird collisions with limited amount of glass (to confirm).	plan review

Score 2

/3

ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION How well does the project minimize the demands on the environment?

Site Context | Ecology

Performance Measure Description and Scoring

- EN3 Design of outdoor lighting minimizes the harmful effects of light pollution with technology that ensures lighting is:
 - · Only on when needed
 - Only lights the area that needs it
 - No brighter than necessary
 - Minimizes blue light emissions
 - Fully shielded (pointing downward)

See International Dark Sky Association for Dark Sky Friendly Lighting.

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Describe the lighting plan for the site and its dark sky friendly features:

Outdoor light design will be:

1.Lights on only when dark

2. Only lights walkways and play area

3. Lights are in a downwards direction (downlights) in a luminaire fully shielded to avoid glare.

4. Led lights only to minimize blue light emissions (to confirm with architect and landscape architect)

Score 2 /3

Site | Air Quality – Alternative Transportation Performance Measure Description and Scoring

EN4	Project provides alternative transportation facilities for user groups of each land use type, which contributes to reducing
	Greenhouse Gas Emissions from this development.

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Check all that apply:	Staff Comments
Short-Term Bicycle parking	exceeds Zoning Bylaw requirement
Long-Term Bicycle parking	
End-of-Trip Bicycle Facilities:	
Bike share and assigned parking	
Co-op vehicle and assigned parking space provision	
Electric Vehicle plug-ins and designated spaces	
Plan references:	

Score 2 /3

ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION How well does the project minimize the demands on the environment?

Site | Air Quality – Alternative Transportation Performance Measure Description and Scoring

EN5 Project incorporates measures to support pedestrians and cyclists.

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Check all that apply:	Staff Comments
Connects to existing pedestrian/cycling routes and priority	
destinations	
Improves local pedestrian routes, local bike networks/trails	
Safe, secure, accessible, and sustainable footpaths	
Pedestrian clearway sufficient to accommodate pedestrian flow	
Covered outdoor waiting areas, overhangs, or awnings	
Pedestrian scale lighting	
Pedestrian/bike-only zones	
Other:	
Site circulation plan:	
Other plan references:	

Score 1 /3

¹ See BC Hydro's *Electric Vehicle* Charging Infrastructure Deployment Guidelines.

Y STAGE

Building | Waste Storage Space

Performance Measure Description and Scoring

EN6 Project allocates sufficient and accessible recycling and garbage storage space in multi-family and commercial buildings and complexes compatible with City of Port Moody recycling, green waste, and garbage services.

Target 1: Metro Vancouver's Technical Specifications for Recycling and Garbage Amenities in Multi-family and Commercial Developments.

Target 2: Design provides safe and universally accessible access in a secure common area.

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Total residential recycling, garbage, and green waste space proposed:	Staff Comments
Recycling: 50.0 m ²	to be confirmed
Garbage: 50.0 m ²	
Green Waste: 21.54 m ²	
Total commercial recycling, garbage, and green waste space proposed:	
Recycling:m²	
Garbage: m ²	
Green Waste:m²	
Details regarding design for safety, security, and accessibility:	

Score 0 //

ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION How well does the project minimize the demands on the environment?

Site | Sustainable Landscaping – *Urban Forestry* Performance Measure Description and Scoring

EN7 Project protects and enhances the *urban forest*, prioritizing native tree species.

See City of Port Moody Tree Protection Bylaw

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

1	Check all that apply:	Staff Comments
	Existing mature trees protected (#) Replacement tree ratio (2:1: 1) Native tree species planted on site (#) Native tree species planted off site (#)	the number of trees from within ESA to be confirmed
	Protected/natural park areas added on site (% of total site area: 17 %)	
	Arborist report:	

Score	1	/3

Site | Sustainable Landscaping – Habitat Performance Measure Description and Scoring

EN8 Project preserves, enhances, and/or compensates for site ecology on site (4 points). Off-site compensation may be considered in some cases, in accordance with all other City regulations and supported by staff (3 points).

Compensation in the form of a financial contribution to the City toward approved public restoration, rehabilitation, or enhancement projects may be considered (2 points).

See City of Port Moody Naturescape Policy 13-6410-03.

See also Invasive Plant Council of BC

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Check all that apply:	Staff Comments
Salvage replanting	
Reduction to existing impervious aream ²	
Removal of invasive plant species	
Names:	
Native/"naturescape" landscaping	
Watercourse daylighting	
Riparian area restoration	
Other measures taken to enhance habitat or to compensate for	
habitat loss:	
There are two large areas proposed for habitat enhancement through removal of invasives and supplementing with native trees	
and shrubs.	

Score 2 /4

SASELINE

Site | Sustainable Landscaping – Stormwater Performance Measure Description and Scoring

EN9 Project provides for stormwater retention and evaporation, and groundwater protection in the site stormwater management plan.

Targets:

- 1. Stormwater retained on-site to the same level of annual volume allowable under pre-development conditions.
- 2. Maximum allowable annual run-off volume is no more than 50% of the total average annual rainfall depth.
- 3. Remove 80% of total suspended solids based on the post-development imperviousness.

(3 points if all three targets are achieved)

See link in References to Metro Vancouver's Stormwater Source Control Guidelines

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Target(s) reached: 1 2 3	Staff Comments
Means of achieving (check all that apply):	target reached will be confirmed with review of civil engineering and landscaping drawings
Absorbent landscape	ongmooning and landocaping aranings
Roof downspout disconnection	
Infiltration swales and/or trenches	
Sub-surface chambers/detention tanks	
Rain gardens with native plantings	
Rainwater harvesting	
Tree well structures	
Green roof/wall	
Water quality structures	
Pervious paving	
Daylighted streams	
Constructed wetlands	
Other:	
References to plans and documents:	

BASFIII

Score 0 /3

Site | Sustainable Landscaping – Water Conservation Performance Measure Description and Scoring

EN10 Project reduces potable water use for irrigation.

2 points = 5 actions (from "check all that apply" list)

1 point = 3 actions (from "check all that apply" list)

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Check all that apply:	Staff Comments
Drought-tolerant landscaping (xeriscaping) with native species	
Low-maintenance lawn alternatives	
Non-water dependent materials/features for ground cover treatment	
Irrigation system with central control and rain sensors	
Captured rainwater irrigation system, e.g. using cisterns/rain barrels	
Other:	
Plan reference:	

Score 1 /2

ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION How well does the project minimize the demands on the environment?

Site Context | Ecology

Performance Measure Description and Scoring

EN11 Project is sited and designed in order to facilitate and improve wildlife movement and access, particularly within known and suspected *habitat corridors*.

Ex. Deer, bears, frogs, salmon, etc. (depending on site location).

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Species supported:	Staff Comments
Means of supporting:	
There are large areas of retained/supplemented landscape that will provide habitat/corridors for wildlife.	
Environmental assessment or site plan reference:	

Score 1 /2

Building | Green Building Rating

Performance Measure Description and Scoring

EN12 Project will achieve a recognized industry standard for sustainable design.

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Built Green Level:	Staff Comments
Bronze (2 points)	Unknown at this stage
• Silver (5 points)	
• Gold (8 points)	
• Platinum (10 points)	
LEED Level:	
• Certified (2 points)	
• Silver (5 points)	
• Gold (8 points)	
• Platinum (10 points)	
Canadian Passive House Institute (10 points)	
Living Future Institute	
 Living Building Certification (10 points) 	
Petal Certification (10 points)	
Net Zero Energy Certification (10 points)	
Other:	

Score 0 /10

ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION How well does the project minimize the demands on the environment?

Building | Alternative/Renewable Energy

Performance Measure Description and Scoring

EN13 Project provides local, low-carbon energy systems, such as geo-exchange, heat recovery ventilation, solar or district energy.

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Details:	Staff Comments
Heat Recovery Ventilator in amenity areas	
Specify % of energy generated:	

Score 1 /4

Building | Energy Reduction and Indoor Climate

Performance Measure Description and Scoring

Building architecture employs passive design strategies appropriate to the local climate to reduce energy use and enhance occupant comfort.

Examples:

- · Site design and building massing minimizes east and west exposures to avoid unwanted solar gains.
- Limit windows to 50% of any façade, taking into account other livability and aesthetic criteria.
- · Use heat-recovery ventilation during heating season only, and design for natural ventilation and cooling by natural ventilation throughout the rest of the year.
- See <u>City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit</u> for Large Buildings for other examples.

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Yes No	Staff Comments
Key passive design building elements: Due to site orientation the building naturally sits with its longer axis E-W. However, design with long, linear balconies provide sufficient shading and sun protection throughout the project. Window/wall ration is to be kept at 50%.	

ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION How well does the project minimize the demands on the environment?

Smart Technology

Performance Measure Description and Scoring

Project uses *smart technology* to optimize sustainable use of resources. Ex. Automated lighting, shading, HVAC, energy/water consumption, security, etc.

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Details:	Staff Comments
Lobbies, amenity, and garbage spaces will have sensor lighting.	

Score	1	/2
5010		/ ~

Site | Sustainable Landscaping

Performance Measure Description and Scoring

EN16 Project provides or designates space for growing food in private or common areas including on-site composting to support the gardening activities.

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Details:	Staff Comments
Project will incorporate opportunities for growing food as well as composting area.	to be established through on-going plan review
Landscape Plan Reference:	

Score 0

/2

ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION How well does the project minimize the demands on the environment?

Building Energy Performance

Performance Measure Description and Scoring

EN17 Building design incorporates Port Moody Building Energy Performance Design Guidelines.

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

BC Energy Step Code:	Staff Comments
Tier 1 (1 point)	
Tier 2 (2 points)	
Tier 3 (3 points)	
Tier 4 (4 points)	
Attach a copy of Port Moody Building Energy Performance Design Guidelines Checklist.	

Score 0

0 /

Stormwater and Ecology/Water Conservation

Performance Measure Description and Scoring

EN18 Project incorporates landscaped roofs or living walls that also provide food/habitat for native species.

OR

Project includes on-site grey water reuse.

2 BONUS POINTS EACH

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Details:	Staff Comments

Bonus Score _____/2

ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION How well does the project minimize the demands on the environment?

Environmental Monitoring

Performance Measure Description and Scoring

EN19 Project contracts with an Environmental Monitor(s) to oversee implementation of environmental sustainability measures, i.e. sustainable landscaping measures.

OR

Project employs an energy efficiency consultant.

2 BONUS POINTS EACH

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Details of Work Overseen/Contribution:	Staff Comments
Project employs Durante Kreuk LTD Landscape Architects to design and oversee landscape as designed.	
Project will employ an Environmental Consultant.	

Bonus Score 1 /

	Performance Measure Description and Scoring			
EN20	Environmental sustainability aspects not captured above.			
	Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports			
		Staff Comments		
NVIRO	ONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION How well does the pro	oject minimize the	demands on the environment?	
	Constraints Performance Measure Description and Scoring			
EN21	Unique site aspects that limit environmental sustainability achievement.			
LINZI	Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports			
		Staff Comments		
		stan comments		
nvir	onmental Sustainability Score Summary			
			Score	
otal Env	rironmental Pillar Points (Total Points Available – Not Including Bonus P	oints)	57	
			Total	
	vironmental Points Not Applicable		0	
otal Po	ints for Items Not Relevant to this Application)		n/a	

Maximum Achievable Score 57 (Total Environmental Pillar Points Minus Total Environmental Points Not Applicable) Maximum **Environmental Pillar Minimum Score** 30 (Sum of Applicable Baseline Items) Enviro Baseline **Total Points Achieved** 17 (Total Points Achieved for Applicable Items for this Application) Total Environmental Points **Environmental Pillar Score** 17 57 30 (Total Points Achieved/Maximum Achievable Score)

Accessibility

Performance Measure Description and Scoring

- S1 For single-storey units in multi-family residential development:
 - (a) a minimum of 40% are adaptable units (2 points) and, of those units,
 - (b) accessible unit(s) providing full wheelchair accessibility are provided (2 points).

Project incorporates adaptable and accessible design features in the site/building circulation and bathrooms in all other uses (2 points).

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

, 3,	
Residential % of Adaptable Units: 63%	Staff Comments
Details: There is a variety of unit types that have adaptable design to accommodate different family structures - from one to three bedroom units.	
Number of Accessible Units:	
Details:	
Residential Site/Common Areas and Commercial/Industrial/ Institutional Uses:	
Details:	

Score 2 /6

Performance Measure Description and Scoring

Complete Community Design

S2 Project design is adapted to minimize shadow or privacy impacts to adjacent buildings.

AND/OR

Project design integrates the results of a viewscape study with respect to water and mountain views.

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Details:	Staff Comments
Project site has grade change of 25m from the highest to the	
lowest point.	
The highest point is on the north PL and consequently the shadow impact is minimal.	
inipactic minima.	
Plan/document references:	

Score 1

SOCIAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION

How well does the project address community health and wellness?

Housing Diversity

Performance Measure Description and Scoring

S3 Development includes a mix of housing types.

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

	Number of Units	Staff Comments	
Live-work units			
Ground-oriented units	3		
Apartment units	181		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Score 0

ARLY STAGE

Housing Diversity

Performance Measure Description and Scoring

Project includes a range of unit sizes for a variety of household types, and the design is flexible to allow for changes, i.e. den can easily become another bedroom.

Targets:

- 2-bedroom minimum 25% of units
- 3-bedroom minimum 10% of units

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

	Number of Units	% of Units	Staff Comments
Bachelor/1-bedroom	79	42.94	
2-bedroom	86	46.74 (1 pt)	
3+ -bedroom	19	10.32 (2 pts)	
lexible design features:			1
3			

Score 3 /3

SOCIAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION

How well does the project address community health and wellness?

Housing Affordability

Performance Measure Description and Scoring

Project provides new purpose-built *market rental housing* (2 points) or affordable *market rental housing* (3 points) or *non-market rental housing* (4 points).

OR

Development contributes to the City's Affordable Housing Reserve Fund in lieu of provision of affordable housing (2 points).

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Types:	Staff Comments
Description:	
% of total housing units: %	
Plan reference:	

Score 0 /4

Amenities

Performance Measure Description and Scoring

S6 Project provides voluntary public amenities.

Examples:

- Child care facility
- Space for growing food
- Child play areas
- Gathering place/space
- Park/greenspace
- Public contribution in lieu (CACs), i.e., school, library, arts, etc.

(5 Points = any approved option)

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Details:	Staff Comments
In lieu contribution CAC's	
Plan reference:	

Score 1 /5

Amenities

Performance Measure Description and Scoring

Project provides voluntary private amenities.

Examples:

- Accessible green roof
- Communal garden
- Dog runs
- Play areas
- Social gathering place

(1 point per approved amenity item – maximum of 3 points)

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Details: The project provides accessible green roof with communal garden portion. There is also a play area for children and a lot of open space for dog runs. There is also indoor amenity for social gathering.	Staff Comments
Plan reference:	

Score 3

SOCIAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION

How well does the project address community health and wellness?

Inclusive Community

Performance Measure Description and Scoring

S8 The proposal supports aging-in-place with adult care, assisted living space, and/or independent senior living space.

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Details:	Staff Comments
Units can be used as aging-in-place	project does not include opportunities for aging-in-place support

Score 0

Community Building

Performance Measure Description and Scoring

Project provides *urban vitalization* by involving land owners and occupants, community groups, and end user groups who may be affected by the proposal in the planning process to identify and showcase Port Moody's unique assets, i.e. goes above and beyond standard notification and consultation.

Examples:

• Host a community-building workshop with the neighbourhood at the time of a project's inception to determine values and identify unique assets to leverage through design.

Staff will advise on notification requirements and appropriate stakeholder consultation

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Please identify stakeholders and explain their involvement:	Staff Comments
We will host a community-building workshop for the close by neighbors in January/February 2020, to explain the project and address concerns .	not held to date. The intention is that this is additional to City's community information meeting
Identify actions taken in response to stakeholder input:	
Plan references:	

Score 0 /4

Safety

Performance Measure Description and Scoring

510 The design of the site incorporates Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design principles (CPTED).

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Please explain:	Staff Comments
Secure site with controlled points of entry/exit/ Rooftop amenities will be accessible only by FOB	
Plan references:	

Score 1 /1

SOCIAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION

How well does the project address community health and wellness?

Education and Awareness

Performance Measure Description and Scoring

- Project provides education and awareness of the sustainable features of the project for owners/occupants. Examples:
 - Document is given to new owners at time of sale, covenant on title, inclusion/protection of features in strata bylaws
 - Signage/display/art recognizing design, etc.

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Describe:	Staff Comments
Document will be given to new owners at time of sale.	

Score 1 /1

Innovation

Performance Measure Description and Scoring

Social sustainability aspects not captured above.

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Staff Comments

SOCIAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION

How well does the project address community health and wellness?

Constraints

Performance Measure Description and Scoring

S13 Unique site aspects that limit social sustainability achievement.

Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

	Staff Comments

Social Sustainability Score Summary

(Total Points Achieved/Maximum Achievable Score)

Score Total Social Pillar Points (Total Points Available - Not Including Bonus Points) 35 Total **Total Social Points Not Applicable** 0 (Total Points for Items Not Relevant to this Application) Maximum Achievable Score 35 (Total Social Pillar Points Minus Total Social Points Not Applicable) Maximum Social Pillar Minimum Score 3 (Sum of Applicable Baseline Items) Social Baseline **Total Points Achieved** 12 (Total Points Achieved for Applicable Items for this Application) Total Social Points Social Pillar Score

12 / 35
Total Social Points

34 %

Project Report Card Summary FOR CITY USE ONLY – TO BE FILLED OUT BY THE PLANNER

Project Address/Name:			File No:	
PROJECT SCORE SUMMARY	Cultural	Economic	Environmental	Social
Total Pillar Points Available	23	16	57	35
Sum Of Items Not Applicable	Cultural na	Economic na	Enviro na	Social na
Maximum Achievable Score (Total Pillar Points – Sum of Items N/A)	Maximum Cultural Achievable	Maximum Economic Achievable	Maximum Enviro Achievable	Maximum Social Achievable
Minimum Score (Sum of Applicable Baseline Items)	Minimum Cultural Score 3	Minimum Economic Score	Minimum Enviro Score	Minimum Social Score
Missed Points (Sum of Applicable Items Not Achieved)	Missed Cultural Points 12	Missed Economic Points 10	Missed EnviroPoints 40	Missed Social Points 23
TOTAL PILLAR SCORE ACHIEVED (Total Points Achieved out of Applicable Items)	4 / 7 Total Cultural # Possible Cultural # 57 % Total Cultural Percent	3 / 13 Total Economic # Possible Economic # 23 % Total Economic Percent	17 / 57 Total Enviro # Possible Enviro # 30 % Total Enviro Percent	12 / 35 Total Social # // 34 Total Social Percent
OVERALL SUSTAINABILITY SCORE (Sum of Four Pillars)	36 Overall #	/ 112 Overall Possible #	32 Overall F	% Percent
SUSTAINABILITY HIGHLIGHTS	Cultural	Economic	Environmental	Social
			Environmental	Social
Priority Items (Score ≥3) Achieved and Confirmed Innovations	+ Cultural	+ Economic	+ Environmental	+ Social

Report Card Glossary

Accessible housing – Housing designed and constructed to be universally accessible to people of diverse ages and abilities.

Adaptable unit – A dwelling unit that provides flexible design features that meet BC Building Code minimum requirements; it can be adapted to meet the changing needs of any occupant for reasons of disability, lack of stamina, and progressing through different life stages to support independent living.

Accessible housing/unit – Housing with fixed design features to enable independent living for persons with disabilities, such as those in wheelchairs.

Affordable market housing – Housing that is affordable to moderate income households achieved through tenure, location, reduced parking, modesty in unit size, level of finishing, and design and durability over time as the buildings age.

BC Energy Step Code – BC Energy Step Code is a voluntary roadmap that establishes progressive performance targets (i.e., steps) that support market transformation from the current energy-efficiency requirements in the BC Building Code to net zero energy ready buildings.

Beautification – The process of making visual improvements appropriate to a specific place, including but not limited to building facades, landscaping, decorative or historic-style street elements, selection of paving/fencing materials and their treatment, etc. Improvements contribute to Port Moody's reputation as City of the Arts in a sustainable manner.

Brownfield – A term used in urban planning to describe land previously used for industrial purposes or some commercial uses where the expansion, redevelopment, or reuse of the property may be complicated by the potential presence of a hazardous substance, pollutant, or contaminant.

Car/Bike share network – Arrangements between two or more persons to share the use of a vehicle or bicycle for a specified cost and period of time.

Character-defining elements – The materials, forms, location, spatial configurations, uses, and cultural associations or meanings that contribute to the heritage value of a historic place, which must be retained to preserve its heritage value.

Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) – The design and effective use of the built environment to reduce the incidence of crime and improve the quality of life.

District energy systems – A system that uses renewable energy to pipe energy to buildings within a specified area for space heating, hot water, and air conditioning.

Ecological inventory – An inventory that identifies the ecological values in a natural habitat, and is usually the first step in an environmental impact assessment.

Electric vehicle (EV) – An automobile that uses one or more electric motors or traction motors for propulsion. An electric vehicle may be powered through a collector system by electricity from off-vehicle sources, or may be self-contained with a battery or generator to convert fuel to electricity.

Environmentally Sensitive Areas – Land designated as areas that need special protection because of its environmental attributes, such as rare ecosystems, habitats for species at risk and areas that are easily disturbed by human activities. Refer to Map 13 of OCP.

Report Card Glossary – continued

Greenfield – Undeveloped land in a city or rural area either used for agriculture or landscape design, or left to evolve naturally. These areas of land are usually agricultural or amenity properties being considered for urban development.

Greyfield – Economically obsolescent, out-dated, declining, and/or underutilized land, often with the presence of abundant surface parking.

Greywater – Wastewater from lavatories, showers, sinks, and washing machines that do not contain food wastes and that can be reused for purposes such as irrigation or flushing toilets.

Habitat corridor – Habitat areas, generally consisting of native vegetation, linking with larger areas of similar wildlife habitat. Corridors are critical for the maintenance of ecological processes, providing food, and allowing for the movement of animals and the continuation of viable populations.

Heat island effect – Heat islands form as vegetation is replaced by hard surfaces to accommodate growing populations. These surfaces absorb, rather than reflect, the sun's heat, causing surface temperatures and overall ambient temperatures to rise.

Heritage rehabilitation – The action or process of making possible a continuing or compatible contemporary use of a historic place through repair, alterations, and/or additions while protecting its heritage value.

Heritage restoration – Returning a historic place back to how it looked at any time in its past.

Invasive plant species – An invasive plant is a non-native species whose interaction causes economic harm, harm to human health, and/or environmental harm.

Light pollution – Brightening of the night sky caused by street lights and other man-made sources, which has a disruptive effect on natural cycles and inhibits the observation of stars and planets.

Market rental housing – Private, market rental rate housing units.

Naturescape planting – Landscaping with species that are naturally adapted to local climate, soils, predators, pollinators, and disease and, once established, require minimal maintenance.

Non-market rental housing – Subsidized rental housing for those unable to pay market-level rents including, but not limited to, public housing owned and operated by government agencies, non-profit housing owned and operated by public and private non-profit groups, and co-operative housing owned and managed by co-operative associations of the residents.

On-site power generation – The ability to generate power without transporting it from its source to where it can be utilized.

On-site renewable energy generation – The generation of naturally replenished sources of energy, such as solar, wind power, falling water, and geothermal energy.

Passive design – An approach to building design that uses the building architecture to minimize energy consumption and improve thermal comfort.

Public space – A social space that is generally open and accessible to people.

Report Card Glossary – continued

R-2000-Certified New Home – Best-in-class, energy-efficient homes with even higher levels of energy efficiency than ENERGY STAR-gualified new homes, as well as clean air and environmental features.

Smart technology – Technologies that allow sensors, databases, and/or wireless access to collaboratively sense, adapt to, and provide for users within the environment.

Statement of significance – The first essential step in any conservation project, which involves identifying and describing the *character-defining elements*; it is important in defining the overall heritage value of the historic place. Refer to the Standards and Guidelines for the Conservation of Historic Places in Canada (see Resources glossary).

Streetscape – The visual elements of a street, including the road, adjoining buildings, sidewalks, street furniture, trees, and open spaces that combine to form the street's character.

Storm water management plan – The management of water occurring as a result of development or precipitation that flows over the surface into a sewer system.

Transit oriented development (TOD) – A mixed-use residential and commercial area designed to maximize access to public transportation; it often incorporates features to encourage transit ridership. A TOD neighbourhood typically has a centre with a transit station or stop (train station, metro station, tram stop, or bus stop), surrounded by relatively high-density development with progressively lower-density development spreading outward from the centre. TODs generally are located within a radius of 400 to 800 metres from a transit stop, as this is considered to be an appropriate distance for *walkability*.

Universal access – This term refers to broad-spectrum ideas meant to produce buildings, products, and environments that are inherently accessible to both people without disabilities and people with disabilities.

Urban infill – An urban planning term that refers to new development that is sited on vacant or undeveloped land within an existing community, and that is enclosed by other types of development.

Urban forest – The total collection of trees and associated plants growing in a city or town. It includes trees in parks and yards, along roadways and paths, and in other areas, both on public and private lands.

Urban vitalization – The urban planning process of rehabilitating a place or "taking a place to a higher level" using a community-building process (early stage community involvement) to define the key characteristics that make a place unique or special; and applying the concepts of urban conservation to leverage a community's assets, most often in accordance with approved City plans.

Viewscape – The natural and built environment that is visible from a viewing point.

Walkability – The extent to which the built environment is friendly to the presence of people living, shopping, visiting, enjoying, or spending time in an area; improvements in walkability lead to health, economic, and environmental benefits.

Xeriscaping – This terms refers to landscaping and gardening in ways that reduce or eliminate the need for supplemental water from irrigation. Xeriscaping refers to a method of landscape design that minimizes water use.

Resources

Access Near Aquatic Areas: A Guide to Sensitive Planning, Design and Management

atfiles.org

BC Climate Exchange

bcclimateexchange.ca

BC Energy Step Code Technical Requirements

bclaws.ca

Best Management Practices for Amphibians and Reptiles in Urban and Rural Environments in British Columbia

env.gov.bc.ca

Bird-Friendly Development Guidelines - City of Toronto

toronto.ca/lightsout/guidelines

Canada Green Building Council

cagbc.org

City of Port Moody: Official Community Plan (2014)

portmoody.ca

Stream and Drainage System Protection Bylaw No. 2470

portmoody.ca

City of Port Moody Waste Management Bylaw No. 2822

portmoody.ca

City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit for Large Buildings

vancouver.ca

Community Green Ways Linking Communities to Country and People to Nature

evergreen.ca

Design Centre for CPTED (Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design)

designcentreforcpted.org

Develop with Care: Environmental Guidelines for Urban and Rural Land Development in British Columbia

env.gov.bc.ca/wld/documents/bmp/devwithcare/

EnerGuide Rating System

nrcan.gc.ca/energy/efficiency/housing/new-homes/5035

Environmentally Sensitive Areas, Best Practices

env.gov.bc.ca

Resources - continued

Examples of Good Public Art

City of Port Moody Public Art

Fatal Light Awareness Program (FLAP)

flap.org

Invasive Species Council of Metro Vancouver

iscmv.ca

International Dark Sky Association

darksky.org

Metro Vancouver's DLC Waste Management Toolkit

metrovancouver.org

Metro Vancouver Technical Specifications for Recycling and Garbage Amenities in Multi-family and Commercial Developments

metrovancouver.org/services

Metro Vancouver's Stormwater Source Control Guideline

metrovancouver.org/services

Naturescape BC

naturescapebc.ca

Project for Public Spaces

pps.org

Riparian Areas Regulation Assessment Methods

gov.bc.ca

Standards and Best Management Practices for Instream Works

env.gov.bc.ca

Standards and Guidelines for the Conservation of Historic Places in Canada

historicplaces.ca

Stream Stewardship: A Guide for Planners and Developers

stewardshipcentrebc.ca

Translink: Transit Oriented Communities

translink.ca/transit-oriented-communities

Vancouver Bird Strategy – City of Vancouver (2015)

vancouver.ca