

City of Port Moody Report/Recommendation to Council

Date: July 23, 2024

Submitted by: Community Development Department – Development Planning Division
Subject: OCP Amendment and Rezoning – 2331-2335 St. Johns Street (Cornerstone

Architecture) - Bylaw Nos. 3471, 3470, and 3472

Purpose

To present for Council consideration the first and second readings of an application for OCP Amendment and Rezoning to facilitate a six-storey mixed-use development with a rooftop garden within 2231-2335 St. Johns Street (File: ORD00006).

Recommended Resolution(s)

THAT City of Port Moody Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2014, No. 2955, Amendment Bylaw No. 38, 2024, No. 3471 (2331-2335 St. Johns Street and a 5.5-metre-wide portion of Queens Street right-of-way) be read a first and second time as recommended in the report dated July 23, 2024, from the Community Development Department – Development Planning Division regarding OCP Amendment and Rezoning – 2331-2335 St. Johns Street (Cornerstone Architecture) – Bylaw Nos. 3471, 3470, and 3472;

AND THAT City of Port Moody Zoning Bylaw, 2018, No. 2937, Amendment Bylaw No. 97, 2024, No. 3470 (2331-2335 St. Johns Street and a 5.5-metre-wide portion of Queens Street right-of-way) (CD97) be read a first and second time;

AND THAT Bylaw No. 3470 and Bylaw No. 3471 be referred to Public Hearing;

AND THAT City of Port Moody Road Closure Bylaw – Portion of Queens Street, 2024, No. 3472 be read a first, second, and third time.

Background

Cornerstone Architecture (On behalf of Benedet Properties Ltd.) submitted a full application in July 2023 to amend the Official Community Plan (OCP) land use and storey designations and to rezone the subject properties at 2331-2335 St. Johns Street to a new Comprehensive Development (CD) zone to allow for the development of a mixed-used project.

The application was presented to the Land Use Committee (LUC) on September 7, 2023. The LUC endorsed the proposed project with a list of concerns for the applicant to address. These concerns included the sufficiency of commercial parking allocations, access, egress, turning radii designs, the need for traffic lights at St. Johns Street intersection, consideration of e-bike

1

696

parking, and more information about pedestrian safety at the intersection between the planned multi-use pathway and the stairs.

The application was also presented to the Advisory Design Panel (ADP) on October 26, 2023. The ADP endorsed it, subject to the applicant addressing several items. Some major items included incorporating heritage features and the neighbourhood character, using more vibrant materials or feature colours in the residential massing, and integrating green infrastructure along the streets. Additionally, they recommended investigating the inclusion of native, pollinator-friendly plant species and bird-friendly features on building glass.

In addition, the application was presented to the Council members at the City Initiatives and Planning Committee (CIPC) on November 21, 2023. The discussion included intersection signalizing, St. Andrews Street lane width, traffic and pedestrian flow, family-friendly and rental inclusions, green roofing, architectural design of the upper floors, sustainability inclusions, and a inclusion of fresh produce in the grocery component. Detailed LUC and CIPC meeting minutes are included as **Attachment 1** and **2**. The applicant has made efforts to address above concerns, as well as those provided by staff, in the most recent application resubmission package.

Discussion

Property Description

The subject site consists of two lots at the southwest corner of St. Johns Street and Queens Street plus 5.5-metre-wide portion of City land within the Queens Street right-of-way. The location map is included as **Attachment 3**. The site is approximately 1,839m² (19,800ft²) in size and currently developed with four strata units on the western lot (2331 St. Johns Street) and a Thai restaurant on the eastern lot (2335 St. Johns Street). Neither property has heritage value, although the neighbouring lot on the west side is a protected heritage property (White Residence at 2329 St. Johns Street).

Official Community Plan (OCP)

The eastern subject lot (2335 St. Johns Street) is designated Mixed Use – Moody Centre in the Official Community Plan, allowing for a maximum height of four storeys, while the western subject lot (2331 St. Johns Street) is designated Multi-Family Residential, which permits up to a maximum of three storeys (**Attachment 4**). The proposal is not consistent with these designations and, therefore, requires an amendment to the OCP Bylaw.

The site falls within Development Permit Area 2 (DPA2) – Moody Centre and both lots are within the Moody Centre Heritage Conservation Area (HCA). The site is also located within Development Permit Area 5: Hazardous Conditions due to steep slopes and the potential of soil liquefaction during an earthquake event. Draft of Bylaw No. 3471 is included as **Attachment 5.**

<u>Zoning</u>

In terms of Zoning designations, the eastern lot of the subject site is currently zoned as General Commercial (C3), while the western one is Medium Density Townhouse Residential (RM4) (**Attachment 6**). Since the application does not fit with the designation requirements and regulations, the applicant has requested creation of a Comprehensive Development (CD) zone for this development. Draft of Bylaw No. 3470 is included as **Attachment 7**.

Neighbourhood Context

The subject site fronts onto St. Johns Street and backs onto St. Andrews Street. Surrounding development consists of the following:

- North: A property zoned as General Commercial (C3) that is designated as Mixed Use –
 Moody Centre, and to its west, a Comprehensive Development Zone 14 (CD14)
 designated as Multi-Family Residential, permitting development of up to three storeys in
 accordance with the OCP.
- East: The lot on the east is currently a Shell Gas Station and is zoned Service Station Commercial (C4) in the OCP, allowing for the development of up to four storeys.
- South: Single-Detached Residential (RS1) lots, designated in the OCP as Single-Family Low Density.
- West: A protected heritage property zoned as Medium Density Townhouse Residential (RM4).

Development Proposal Description

The applicant seeks to rezone the subject site to a Comprehensive Development (CD) zone to construct a six-storey mixed-use commercial and residential building and a rooftop garden amenity space on top with enclosed and open space. The first two levels of the building would contain a specialty food store and a parkade for commercial use on the same ground level with a mezzanine and portion of residential parking stalls at the rear on the next floor. Above these levels, there would be a residential parkade and four residential floors proposed to be 100% market rental apartments. Due to the sloping nature of the site, the lowest commercial level facing St. Johns Street is considered to be a "basement" by Zoning Bylaw definition. St. Andrews Street is a full level above St. Johns Street. Additional specifics regarding the proposal are outlined as follows:

- Total gross floor area: 5,206m² (56,033.5ft²) spread across the following uses:
 - 885m² (9,525ft²) of commercial space over two floors fronting onto St. Johns Street.
 - 3,473m² (37,379ft²) of residential space over four upper floors with 48 market rental apartments, including the following unit mix:

Unit Type	Number of Units	Percentage of Mix
Studio	8	17%
One-Bedroom	21	44%
Two-Bedroom	12	25%
Three-Bedroom	7	14%

- 50% adaptable dwellings (24 units out of the total 48) meeting Zoning Bylaw requirement for Adaptable Housing Requirements.
- A proposed floor space ratio (FSR) of 2.63.
- A total of 81 parking spaces with the following allocation:
 - 53 residential parking spaces located at the rear of the mezzanine level and parkade level on top of it for the 48 units with entry accessed from St. Andrews Street (48 is required);
 - 23 commercial parking spaces accessed through Queens Street (22 is required);
 - o 5 visitor parking spaces for the residential units; and
 - o 2 accessible parking for residential and 1 for commercial area.
- 73 long term bicycle spaces and 6 short term stalls for residential portion.
- 1 long term bicycle spaces and 6 short term stalls for commercial portion.

698

- A patio area of approximately 113.4m² (1,221ft²) on the St. Johns Street right of way on the south side of the multi-use path.
- A total of 496.6m² (5,346ft²) rooftop garden and greenhouse space in the form of both indoor and outdoor areas (excluding roof circulation) as a communal amenity for the residents.
- Committed to comply with Energy Step Code 3 with a Low Carbon Energy System (LCES).

Proposed project plans and landscape plans are included as **Attachment 8** and **9**. A project Fact Sheet is provided as **Attachment 10**.

Staff Analysis – Items for Staff Consideration/Review

Housing

With the residential component of the project being entirely market rental, the development is exempt from the requirements of the Inclusionary Zoning – Affordable Housing policy. A housing agreement bylaw is required for the market rental component of the development.

Family Friendly Units Policy

The proposed bedroom mix for this project meets the current policy, updated on June 18, 2024.

Sustainability Report Card

The completed and scored Sustainability Report Card for the development proposal is included as **Attachment 11**. The development received the highest scores in the economic and environmental sustainability pillars.

Public Art Policy

According to the Public Art Corporate Policy 18-7940-01, amended on September 19, 2017, developer contributions are not required for developments exclusively for rental housing. However, the applicant is proposing to contribute 0.5% of the commercial components' construction budget, approximately \$20,240, for a single art piece. The artwork is planned to be hung over the public space under the canopies at the northeast corner, as in **Attachment 12**.

Amenity Spaces/Community Gathering Spaces

The project features a rooftop garden as an amenity space with indoor and outdoor sheltered areas, providing spaces for exercise, relaxation, and community meeting or recreational activities for residents. Additionally, the application proposes a 128.76 m² (1386 ft²) outdoor kids' play area on the first residential level.

Patio Area

Staff support the proposed patio area on the City property along St. Johns, south of the Multi-Use Path (MUP), considering the potentials for improving the vibrancy and activity along St. Johns Street. Since the applicant has indicated that this area is intended for the exclusive use by Bosa Foods, with discretionary use by the public, staff suggest considering either a Lease or a License of Occupation with an appropriate annual fee. This arrangement would retain the City's authority to request the removal or modification of the patio whenever needed in the future. The final decision will be made with the Development Permit and will be registered on title as part of the permit.

Overhang/weather protection

The applicant proposes a roof overhang/weather protection at the corner of the St. Johns Street and Queens Street for the entrance area of the Bosa Foods store, which encroaches on city

699

property. An Encroachment Covenant is required which will be identified in the Development Permit requirements, and it will be registered on the building title for this development.

Queens Street Road Closure

This project requires a 5.5-metre-width portion of the Queens Street right-of-way, totalling approximately 216.9m² (2,334.6ft²) in size, to be closed, purchased by the owner, and consolidated with the development site. If the development is approved, the owner has committed to purchasing this portion of Queens Street at market value. Based on the proposed uses of the development, the market value of the closure area is \$930,000, as assessed in the most recent appraisal report. A draft road closure bylaw, Bylaw No. 3472, is included as **Attachment 13**.

Concluding Comments

Overall, the project is well-developed, and staff are supportive of the application as proposed. It provides new commercial opportunities and increases the amount of market rental housing stock in the city. Additionally, it shows potential for enhancement along St. Johns Street with the patio, support for other adjacent public places, and encouraging more vibrancy through greater community presence.

Other Option(s)

THAT City of Port Moody Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2014, No. 2955, Amendment Bylaw No. 38, 2024, No. 3471 (2331-2335 St. Johns Street and a 5.5-metre-wide portion of Queens Street right-of-way) and City of Port Moody Zoning Bylaw, 2018, No. 2937, Amendment Bylaw No. 97, 2024, No. 3470 (2331-2335 St. Johns Street and a 5.5-metre-wide portion of Queens Street right-of-way) (CD97) be read a first time;

AND THAT the applicant address the following issues prior to second reading and referral to a Public Hearing:

(list concerns).

Financial Implications

Community Amenity Contributions (CACs)

The exact CAC amount will be established at the development permit stage once the final residential floor area is confirmed and will be collected prior to the adoption of the rezoning bylaw. Based on the proposed development, the contribution is estimated to be approximately \$161,627.34, including deduction based on eligible credits for the existing residential floor area.

Public Art Contribution

The applicant is proposing to contribute 0.5% of the project's construction budget for the commercial component in the form of an artwork, with the approximate budget of \$20,240.

Off-Site Improvement Contributions

The development committed to provide a full traffic and pedestrian crosswalk signal at the intersection of St. Johns and Queens Street as required.

Road Closure

The report has been presented to the Council meeting to discuss the sale of the 5.5-metre-wide portion of Queens Street on the basis that the proposed floor area and land uses are distributed as if the road were a portion of the site for density calculation purposes. As part of this development, the applicant would be required to purchase this portion of Queens Street,

700

contingent upon the rezoning being approved by Council. The assessed value in the most recent appraisal report is \$930,000.00.

Communications and Public Engagement Initiatives

A notification sign informing the public of the development application has been placed on the subject site in accordance with the City of Port Moody Development Approval Procedures Bylaw, 2023, No. 3417. Should the rezoning application be given first and second readings, the public will have an opportunity to comment at the Public Hearing. The public hearing will be noticed by following at least two methods mentioned in the above bylaw and a decal placed on the application notification sign on the subject property.

Prior to adoption of the road closure bylaw, notice of Council's intention to dispose of the road must be given, providing the public with an opportunity to comment on the disposition in accordance with sections 26(3) and 40 of the *Community Charter*.

Developer Consultation

In addition, a Community Information Meeting was held by the applicant on Wednesday, August 30, 2023, from 4-7pm at the POMOARTS center, 2425 St. Johns Street with 30-40 individuals walked through the door. Total 22 comment sheets were submitted at the meeting, with 16 fully supporting, 5 supporting with comments and 1 supporting Bosa Foods but only 2 floors of rental part.

Engage Port Moody

The most recent Engage Port Moody summary report indicates a total of 21 comments were received up to June 26, 2024. Of those, 14 were supportive, 2 were opposed and 5 had a mixed reaction to the proposal. Verbatim comments are included in the summary (**Attachment 14**).

Council Strategic Plan Goals

The recommendations in this report align with the following Council Strategic Plan Goal(s):

- Strategic Goal 4.1 Improve the local business climate; and
- Strategic Goal 4.3 Leverage public spaces.

Attachment(s)

- 1. LUC meeting minutes.
- 2. CIPC meeting minutes.
- 3. Location map.
- 4. OCP Designation.
- 5. Draft Bylaw No. 3471 (OCP Amendment).
- 6. Zoning Designation.
- 7. Draft Bylaw No. 3470 (Rezoning).
- 8. Project plans.
- 9. Landscape plans.
- 10. Project Fact Sheet.
- 11. Scored Sustainability Report Card.
- 12. Public Art Concept.
- 13. Draft Bylaw No. 3472 (Road Closure).
- 14. Engage Port Moody Summary Report.

701

Report Author Armita Afsahi Development Planner

Report Approval Details

Document Title:	OCP Amendment and Rezoning – 2331-2335 St. Johns Street (Cornerstone Architecture) – Bylaw Nos. 3471, 3470, and 3472.docx
Attachments:	- Attachment 1 - LUC meeting minutes.pdf - Attachment 2 - CIPC meeting minutes.pdf - Attachment 3 - Location Map - 2331-2335 St. Johns Street.pdf - Attachment 4 - OCP Designation - 2331-2335 St. Johns Street.pdf - Attachment 5 - Draft Bylaw No. 3471 (OCP Amendment) - 2331- 2335 St. Johns Street.pdf - Attachment 6 - Zoning Designation - 2331-2335 St. Johns Street.pdf - Attachment 7 - Draft Bylaw No. 3470 (Rezoning) - 2331-2335 St. Johns Street.pdf - Attachment 8 - Project Plans - 2331-2335 St. Johns Street.pdf - Attachment 9 - Landscape plans - 2331-2335 St. Johns Street.pdf - Attachment 10 - Project Fact Sheet - 2331-2335 St. Johns Street.pdf - Attachment 11 - Scored Sustainability Report Card - 2331-2335 St. Johns Street.pdf - Attachment 12 - Public Art Concept - 2331-2335 St. Johns Street.pdf - Attachment 13 - Draft Bylaw No. 3472 (Road Closure) - 2331- 2335 St. Johns Street.pdf - Attachment 14 - Engage Port Moody Summary Report.pdf
Final Approval Date:	Jul 16, 2024

This report and all of its attachments were approved and signed as outlined below:

Tracey Takahashi, Deputy Corporate Officer - Jul 11, 2024

Kate Zanon, General Manager of Community Development - Jul 12, 2024

Stephanie Lam, City Clerk and Manager of Legislative Services - Jul 12, 2024

Lindsay Todd, Manager of Communications and Engagement - Jul 12, 2024

Paul Rockwood, General Manager of Finance and Technology - Jul 12, 2024

Anna Mathewson, City Manager - Jul 16, 2024

Attachment 1



City of Port Moody Minutes Land Use Committee

Minutes of the meeting of the Land Use Committee held on Thursday, September 7, 2023, via Zoom.

Present Councillor Kyla Knowles, Chair

Alistair Henry Barbara Junker Jonathan Leighs Jeffery McLellan Bill Parneta Jessica Wakeling

Stirling Ward (arrived at 7:09pm)

Sarah Wellman

Absent Councillor Callan Morrison, Vice-Chair

Jillian Eaton (Regrets)
Amanda Welsh (Regrets)

In Attendance Armita Afsahi – Development Planner

Jennifer Mills - Legislative Services Advisor

Michael Olubiyi - Manager of Development Planning

Wesley Woo - Assistant Manager of Planning

1. Call to Order

The Chair called the meeting to order at 7:00pm and welcomed new Panel member, Jessica Wakeling.

2. Territorial Land Acknowledgement

The Chair provided the territorial land acknowledgement.

Adoption of the Agenda

1

3.1 *LUC23/013*

Moved, seconded, and CARRIED

THAT the agenda of the September 7, 2023, Land Use Committee be adopted as circulated.

Agenda

704

Attachment 1

4. Adoption of Minutes

Minutes

4.1 <u>LUC23/014</u>

Moved, seconded, and CARRIED THAT the minutes of the Land Use Committee meeting held on July 6, 2023, be adopted.

5. Unfinished Business

6. New Business

LUC – OCP Amendment and Rezoning (Mixed Use Commercial and Residential) – 2331-2335 St. Johns Street (Cornerstone Architecture) 6.1 Presentation: Development Planning Division Report: Community Development Department – Development Planning Division, dated September 7, 2023

Staff gave a presentation entitled "2331-2335 St. Johns Street" and referred to slides contained in the on-table package.

Stirling Ward entered the meeting at this point.

Committee discussion ensued regarding the following topics:

- concerns about access and egress points and the need for a traffic light;
- concerns about turning radii and loading areas in the parkade:
- support for the unit mix, market rentals, patio, greenhouse on roof, rental units, adaptable units, and Bosa Foods;
- concerns regarding the design and safety of the stairs located on the corner and the plaza extending into the future multi-use path;
- opportunity to re-align Queens Street and install a traffic light, prior to building completion;
- opportunity to improve overall safety through directing traffic back to Kyle Street by revising St. Andrews Street to a dead end, fire access only, or resident only street;
- concerns regarding the existing limited parking on St. Johns Street and the proposed shared residential and commercial parking;
- opportunity to include more two-bedroom units in the unit mix.
- concerns that the commercial parking allocation may not be adequate as Bosa Foods is a specialty grocer that will attract visitors from outside of Port Moody who will most likely travel in a vehicle;

September 7, 2023 File: 01-0360-20-01-01/2023

Attachment 1

- opportunity to implement a green wave system to synchronize traffic lights and facilitate smoother functioning of traffic; and
- opportunity for secure commercial bike parking areas to encourage micromobility.

Staff noted the following in response to Committee member questions:

- the need for a traffic light has been raised by staff and supported at public information meetings, and is being considered to ensure the intersection operates safely and efficiently;
- the applicant has been asked to provide more details about the shared parking plan, including security coverage;
- the developer would typically be responsible for the installation and construction of a traffic light if warranted; and
- the residential and commercial parking are separate and have two different entrances.

LUC23/015

Moved, seconded, and CARRIED

THAT the Land Use Committee endorse the proposed project as presented in the report dated September 7, 2023, regarding LUC – OCP Amendment and Rezoning (Mixed Use Commercial and Residential) – 2331-2335 St. Johns Street (Cornerstone Architecture), subject to the applicant addressing the following specific items:

- the sufficiency of the current commercial parking allocation:
- the sufficiency of the access, egress, and turning radii designs;
- the need for a traffic light at the St. Johns Street intersection, prior to project completion;
- · the consideration of e-bike parking;
- the need for review and information about the intersection between the planned multi-use pathway and the stairs, including information about pedestrian safety.

7. Information

Staff Updates

7.1 There were no staff updates at this time.

706

Attachment 1

8. Adjournment

The Chair adjourned the meeting at 7:57pm.

Councillor Kyla Knowles,

Chair

Jennifer Mills,

Legislative Services Advisor

Attachment 2



City of Port Moody Minutes

City Initiatives and Planning Committee

Tuesday, November 21, 2023 5:05 pm Electronic Webinar via Zoom

Present:

Councillor S. Agtarap, Chairperson

Mayor M. Lahti

Councillor D. Dilworth Councillor K. Knowles Councillor A. Lubik Councillor H. Lurbiecki Councillor C. Morrison

In Attendance:

Tim Savoie - City Manager

Mary De Paoli - Manager of Policy Planning

Tyson Ganske – Manager of Financial Planning and Deputy CFO Stephanie Lam – City Clerk and Manager of Legislative Services Anna Mathewson – General Manager of Community Services Jeff Moi – General Manager of Engineering and Operations Angie Parnell – General Manager of Corporate Services Julie Pavey-Tomlinson – Director of Environment and Parks Paul Rockwood – General Manager of Finance and Technology

Adam Shroff – Legislative Services Coordinator Marta Taylor – Manager of Corporate Planning Wesley Woo – Assistant Manager of Planning

1. Call to Order

Chairperson Agtarap called the meeting to order at 5:05pm.

2. Adoption of the Agenda

2.1 Agenda

CIPC23/048

THAT the agenda of the November 21, 2023, City Initiatives and Planning Committee meeting be adopted as circulated.

CARRIED

Attachment 2

3. Adoption of Minutes

3.1 Minutes

CIPC23/049

THAT the minutes of the City Initiatives and Planning Committee meeting of October 17, 2023, be adopted.

CARRIED

4. New Business

4.1 Early Input - OCP Amendment, Rezoning, and Development Permit - 2331-2335 St. Johns Street (Cornerstone Architecture)

Report: Community Development Department - Development Planning Division

Staff gave a presentation entitled "2331-2335 St. Johns Street – OCP Amendment, Rezoning, and Development Permit – Early Input" and referred to slides contained within the on-table package.

Staff answered questions regarding the following topics:

- intersection signalling;
- · St. Andrews Street lane width; and
- traffic and pedestrian flow.

Discussion ensued regarding the following topics:

- the need for fresh produce;
- the family-friendly and rental inclusions;
- the green roof;
- · the architectural design of the upper floors; and
- the sustainability inclusions.

CIPC23/050

THAT staff and the applicant consider the comments provided during the City Initiatives and Planning Committee meeting held on November 21, 2023, regarding the development application presented in the report dated November 21, 2023, from the Community Development Department – Development Planning Division regarding Early Input – OCP Amendment, Rezoning, and Development Permit – 2331-2335 St. Johns Street (Cornerstone Architecture).

CARRIED

Attachment 2

4.2 Early Input – OCP Amendment and Rezoning – 60 Williams Street and 3006-3020 Spring Street (PCI Developments)

Report: Community Development Department - Development Planning Division

Amended by resolution CIPC24/002 Councillor Agtarap declared a conflict of interest due to *potential business* interests in the subject property the proximity of their business to the subject area and left the meeting at this point.

Councillor Dilworth assumed the role of Presiding Member at this point.

Staff gave a presentation entitled "60 Williams Street and 3006-3020 Spring Street" and referred to slides contained within the on-table package.

Staff answered questions regarding the following topics:

- the building height in storeys:
- the new OCP and framework in relation to this approval process;
- the location and time restrictions of access to the overpass, and the future impact to developments;
- the density transfer and inclusion in the current site's calculations;
- the City's affordability requirements for rental buildings; and
- the Floor-Area Ratio in relation to other developments in the City.

Discussion ensued regarding the following topics:

- · the inclusion of additional native plants and vegetation;
- affordability, below-market, and family-friendly units;
- parking inclusion;
- traffic impacts:
- architecture and the spacing of the buildings;
- the importance of careful design to accommodate density;
- unit mix:
- · district energy systems;
- implementation of the overpass;
- · consultation with property owners in the area; and
- housing in high-transit infrastructure areas.

CIPC23/051

THAT staff and the applicant consider the comments provided during the City Initiatives and Planning Committee meeting held on November 21, 2023, regarding the development application presented in the report dated November 21, 2023, from the Community Development Department – Development Planning Division regarding Early Input – OCP Amendment and Rezoning – 60 Williams Street and 3006-3020 Spring Street (PCI Developments).

CARRIED

CIPC23/052

THAT staff report back on a revised inclusionary zoning policy that includes 100% rental buildings and review exceptions towards meeting the city's affordable housing needs.

CARRIED

Attachment 2

5. Rise and Report

5.1 Referral of City Initiatives and Planning Committee Resolutions

Councillor Agtarap returned to the meeting at this point and resumed the role of Presiding Member.

CIPC23/053

THAT the recommendations approved at the City Initiatives and Planning Committee meeting of November 21, 2023, be referred to the Council Standing Committee meeting of November 21, 2023, for ratification.

CARRIED

6. Adjournment

Chairperson Agtarap adjourned the meeting at 6:23pm.

Certified correct on the <u>20th</u> day of <u>February</u>, 2024, in accordance with section 148(a) of the *Community Charter*.

Councillo S. Agtarap, Chairperson

S. Lam, City Clerk

Attachment 3

LOCATION MAP - 2331 - 2335 St. Johns Street





Attachment 4

ST. GEORGE

2409 | 2413/ | 2417 | 2415 |

2405

OCP Land Use Designations - 2331 - 2335 St. Johns Street 2307 2306 2308 2310 STREET 3 3 5 3 3 3 2406 2322 2330 ST. JOHNS 2409/ 2425 ARTS & CULTURAL ELGIN 4 3 CENTRE ANDREWS STREE 2310 2314 2318 2322 2326 2330 2334 2338

Subject Property
Single Family Low Density

Mixed Use - Moody Centre
Public and Institutional

Multi-Family Residential
Parks and Open Space
Froposed Number of Storeys

2329

2325

2321

2309 2313 2317

2333 2337 2339

Attachment 5



City of Port Moody

Bylaw No. 3471

A Bylaw to amend City of Port Moody Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2014, No. 2955 to change the land use designation on a portion of the subject site at 2331 St. Johns Street from Multi-Family Residential to Mixed Use – Moody Centre and to change the proposed number of storeys for the subject properties at 2331-2335 St. Johns Street and a 5.5-metre-wide portion of Queens Street right-of-way from three (3) and four (4) to six (6) storeys.

The Council of the City of Port Moody enacts as follows:

1. Citation

1.1 This Bylaw may be cited as "City of Port Moody Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2014, No. 2955, Amendment Bylaw No. 38, 2024, No. 3471 (2331-2335 St. Johns Street and a 5.5-metre-wide portion of Queens Street right-of-way)".

Amendments

2.1 The Official Community Plan Overall Land Use Plan – Map 1 in Schedule "A" of City of Port Moody Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2014, No. 2955 is amended as indicated on Schedule A of this Bylaw, to change the land use designation of the following properties from Multi-Family Residential to Mixed Use – Moody Centre:

Strata Lot 1 District Lot 202 Group 1 New Westminster District Strata Plan NW282 Together With An Interest In The Common Property In Proportion To The Unit Entitlement Of The Strata Lot As Shown On Form 1 PID: 001-257-692

Strata Lot 2 District Lot 202 Group 1 New Westminster District Strata Plan NW282 Together With An Interest In The Common Property In Proportion To The Unit Entitlement Of The Strata Lot As Shown On Form 1 PID: 000-765-040

Strata Lot 3 District Lot 202 Group 1 New Westminster District Strata Plan NW282 Together With An Interest In The Common Property In Proportion To The Unit Entitlement Of The Strata Lot As Shown On Form 1 PID: 001-257-706

Strata Lot 4 District Lot 202 Group 1 New Westminster District Strata Plan NW282 Together With An Interest In The Common Property In Proportion To The Unit Entitlement Of The Strata Lot As Shown On Form 1 PID: 001-257-714

EDMS#636876

714

Attachment 5

2.2 The Official Community Plan Overall Land Use Plan – Map 11 – Evergreen Line Sub-Areas Map in Schedule "A" of City of Port Moody Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2014, No. 2955 is amended by changing the maximum number of storeys permitted from three and four to six for the following properties as indicated on Map 11 – Evergreen Line Sub-Areas Map, attached to this Bylaw as Schedule B, and on Detail Map, attached to this Bylaw as Schedule C:

Strata Lot 1 District Lot 202 Group 1 New Westminster District Strata Plan NW282 Together With An Interest In The Common Property In Proportion To The Unit Entitlement Of The Strata Lot As Shown On Form 1 PID: 001-257-692

Strata Lot 2 District Lot 202 Group 1 New Westminster District Strata Plan NW282 Together With An Interest In The Common Property In Proportion To The Unit Entitlement Of The Strata Lot As Shown On Form 1 PID: 000-765-040

Strata Lot 3 District Lot 202 Group 1 New Westminster District Strata Plan NW282 Together With An Interest In The Common Property In Proportion To The Unit Entitlement Of The Strata Lot As Shown On Form 1 PID: 001-257-706

Strata Lot 4 District Lot 202 Group 1 New Westminster District Strata Plan NW282 Together With An Interest In The Common Property In Proportion To The Unit Entitlement Of The Strata Lot As Shown On Form 1 PID: 001-257-714

Lot 22 Block 1 District Lot 202 Group 1 New Westminster District Plan 55 PID: 011-453-877

- 2.3 The Official Community Plan Overall Land Use Plan Map 11 Evergreen Line Sub-Areas Map in Schedule "A" of City of Port Moody Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2014, No. 2955 is amended by designating the maximum number of six storeys for the 5.5-metre-wide portion of Queens Street right-of-way as indicated on Map 11 Evergreen Line Sub-Areas Map, attached to this Bylaw as Schedule B, and on Detail Map, attached to this Bylaw as Schedule C.
- Attachments and Schedules
 - 3.1 The following schedules are attached to and form part of this Bylaw:
 - Schedule A Location Map
 - Schedule B Map 11 Evergreen Line Sub-Areas Map
 - Schedule C Detail Map

715 Attachment 5

4.	Severa	bili	itν
		••••	-,

4.1 If a portion of this Bylaw is found invalid by a court, it will be severed, and the remainder of the Bylaw will remain in effect.


Read a first time this day of, 2024.	
Read a second time this day of, 2024.	
Read a third time this day of, 2024.	
Adopted this day of, 2024.	
M. Lahti Mayor	S. Lam City Clerk
I hereby certify that the above is a true copy of Bylonian S. Lam City Clerk	aw No. 3471 of the City of Port Moody.
Oity Oloik	


Attachment 5

Schedule A to Bylaw No. 3471 - Location Map


This is a certified true copy of the map referred to in section 2 of City of Port Moody Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2014, No. 2955, Amendment Bylaw No. 38, 2024, No. 3471 (2331-2335 St. Johns Street and a 5.5-metre-wide portion of Queens Street right-of-way).


Corporate Officer






Attachment 5





City of Port Moody Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2014, No. 2955, Amendment Bylaw No. 38, 2024, No. 3471 (2331-2335 St. Johns Street and a 5.5-metre-wide portion of Queens Street right-of-way) EDMS#636876



Attachment 7



City of Port Moody

Bylaw No. 3470

A Bylaw to amend City of Port Moody Zoning Bylaw, 2018, No. 2937 to facilitate the development of a mixed-use residential/commercial building at 2331-2335 St. Johns Street and a 5.5-metre-wide portion of Queens Street right-of-way.

The Council of the City of Port Moody enacts as follows:

1. Citation

1.1 This Bylaw may be cited as "City of Port Moody Zoning Bylaw, 2018, No. 2937, Amendment Bylaw No. 97, 2024, No. 3470 (2331-2335 St. Johns Street and a 5.5-metre-wide portion of Queens Street right-of-way) (CD97)".

2. Amendments

2.1 City of Port Moody Zoning Bylaw, 2018, No. 2937, is amended by rezoning the following lands from Medium Density Townhouse Residential (RM4) to Comprehensive Development Zone 97 (CD97):

Strata Lot 1 District Lot 202 Group 1 New Westminster District Strata Plan NW282 Together With An Interest In The Common Property In Proportion To The Unit Entitlement Of The Strata Lot As Shown On Form 1 PID: 001-257-692

Strata Lot 2 District Lot 202 Group 1 New Westminster District Strata Plan NW282 Together With An Interest In The Common Property In Proportion To The Unit Entitlement Of The Strata Lot As Shown On Form 1 PID: 000-765-040

Strata Lot 3 District Lot 202 Group 1 New Westminster District Strata Plan NW282 Together With An Interest In The Common Property In Proportion To The Unit Entitlement Of The Strata Lot As Shown On Form 1 PID: 001-257-706

Strata Lot 4 District Lot 202 Group 1 New Westminster District Strata Plan NW282 Together With An Interest In The Common Property In Proportion To The Unit Entitlement Of The Strata Lot As Shown On Form 1 PID: 001-257-714

as shown on the map in Schedule A of this Bylaw.

EDMS#636875 1

Considered at the July 23, 2024, Regular Council meeting

721

Attachment 7

2.2 City of Port Moody Zoning Bylaw, 2018, No. 2937, is further amended by rezoning the following land from General Commercial (C3) to Comprehensive Development Zone 97 (CD97):

Lot 22 Block 1 District Lot 202 Group 1 New Westminster District Plan 55 PID: 011-453-877

- 2.3 City of Port Moody Zoning Bylaw, 2018, No. 2937, is further amended by rezoning the 5.5-metre-wide portion of Queens Street right-of-way from unzoned to Comprehensive Development Zone 97 (CD97) as shown on the map in Schedule A of this Bylaw.
- 2.4 City of Port Moody Zoning Bylaw, 2018, No. 2937 is further amended by adding the following section CD97 to Schedule D:

"CD97. Comprehensive Development Zone (CD97)

CD97.1 Intent

The intent of this zone is to accommodate a six-storey mixed-use commercial/residential development with a rooftop garden on the subject lands.

CD97.2 Permitted Uses

The following uses are permitted:

	CD97 Zone
a. Principal Use	i. Assembly
	ii. Child Care
	iii. Civic
	iv. Commercial Athletic and Recreation
	v. Community Care
	vi. Office
	vii. Personal Service
	viii. Restaurant
	ix. Retail Food Service
	x. Retail
	xi. Multi-Residential, limited to Market
	Rental
b. Secondary Use	i. Home Occupation – Type A

CD97.3 Conditions of Use

- (a) Due to the sloping nature of the site, the lowest commercial level of the Building facing St. Johns Street is a "basement" by Zoning Bylaw definition.
- (b) Commercial uses are only permitted on the ground floor along St. Johns Street and the mezzanine of the Building.

City of Port Moody Zoning Bylaw, 2018, No. 2937, Amendment Bylaw No. 97, 2024, No. 3470 (2331-2335 St. Johns Street and a 5.5-metre-wide portion of Queens Street right-of-way) (CD97) EDMS#636875

722

Attachment 7

CD97.4 Lot Coverage

The maximum permitted lot coverage shall be 90.5%.

CD97.5 Residential Density

No more than 48 market rental residential dwelling units shall be permitted.

CD97.6 Floor Area Ratio (FAR)

The Floor Space Ratio permitted in the CD97 Zone shall be calculated in accordance with section 5.3.4 of the Zoning Bylaw and the maximum permitted Floor Area Ratio shall be 2.63 based on the developable site area, including the road allowance as shown in schedule A.

CD97.7 Building Height

- (a) Building height shall not exceed 6 storeys, excluding basement and all roof projections.
- (b) The maximum height of the Building shall not exceed 23.5m, including enclosed rooftop common amenity structure and all rooftop projections.

CD97.8 Setbacks

(a) Front: 0m;

(b) Rear: 0m;

(c) Exterior Side: 1.1m; and

(d) Interior Side: 1.3m.

CD97.9 Parking, loading, and Bicycle Parking

- (a) A minimum of 81 off-street Parking Spaces shall be provided for all uses combined, with 53 residential designated parking spaces, minimum 22 commercial ones, and at least 5 visitor-designated parking spaces;.
- (b) A minimum of 73 Long-Term Bicycle Parking Spaces.
- (c) 6 Short-Term Bicycle Parking Spaces shall be provided for residential portion.
- (d) 1 Long-Term Bicycle Parking Spaces and 6 Short-Term Bicycle Parking Spaces shall be provided for commercial portion.
- (e) One vehicular access shall be permitted from Queens Street for commercial area.
- (f) One vehicular access shall be permitted from St. Andrews Street for residential area.
- (g) A minimum of one loading bay shall be provided for commercial area.

City of Port Moody Zoning Bylaw, 2018, No. 2937, Amendment Bylaw No. 97, 2024, No. 3470 (2331-2335 St. Johns Street and a 5.5-metre-wide portion of Queens Street right-of-way) (CD97) EDMS#636875

Considered at the July 23, 2024, Regular Council meeting

723

Attachment 7

CD97.10 Landscaping

Refer to section 5.2.10 of this Bylaw for landscaping requirements.

CD97.11 Common Amenity Space

Amenity spaces in the CD97 Zone shall be in accordance with the following:

- (a) Common Amenity Structures on the rooftop of the building shall not count as a Storey.
- (b) Outdoor Common Amenity Spaces shall not be located within the setbacks.
- (c) The maximum amount of indoor rooftop amenity area is 132.85m².
- (d) The maximum amount of outdoor rooftop amenity area is 363.81m².
- (e) Common amenity structures (including both enclosed and unenclosed area) on the rooftops of the building, excluding roof circulation, shall be limited to a combined total of no more than 50.85% of the Floor Area of the Storey below.
- (f) The enclosed portion of the rooftop common amenity area shall not occupy more than 13.60% of the Floor Area of the Storey below, excluding roof circulation.
- (g) Notwithstanding the above, a separate outdoor amenity space dedicated for a children's play area shall be provided in the minimum amount of 128.76m²."

3. Attachments and Schedules

- 3.1 The following schedules are attached to and form part of this Bylaw:
 - Schedule A Location Map.

4. Severability

4.1 If a portion of this Bylaw is found invalid by a court, it will be severed, and the remainder of the Bylaw will remain in effect.

Read a first tin	ne this c	lay of	, 2024.
Read a second	I time this _	day of	, 2024.
Read a third ti	me this	day of	_, 2024.
Adopted this _	day of	, 2024.	

City of Port Moody Zoning Bylaw, 2018, No. 2937, Amendment Bylaw No. 97, 2024, No. 3470 (2331-2335 St. Johns Street and a 5.5-metre-wide portion of Queens Street right-of-way) (CD97) EDMS#636875


Considered at the July 23, 2024, Regular Council meeting

	724	Attachment 7
M. Lahti	S. Lam	
Mayor	City Clerk	
I hereby certify that the above is a	a true copy of Bylaw No. 3470 o	of the City of Port Moody.
S. Lam		
City Clerk		

Attachment 7

Schedule A - Location Map

This is a certified true copy of the map referred to in section 2 of City of Port Moody Zoning Bylaw, 2018, No. 2937, Amendment Bylaw No. 97, 2024, No. 3470 (2331-2335 St. Johns Street and a 5.5-metre-wide portion of Queens Street right-of-way) (CD97).



6

307 - 811 Alexander Street Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada VIIA 161 www.comeranth.com tel. 804-253-8800

Considered at the July 23, 2024, Regular Council meeting

726

Attachment 8



2335 St Johns

MIXED-USE RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT

Factor per units 3.1.17.1

Occupant loads in this table are worst-case and are used only for the numoses of determining exit widths Notwithstanding widths required by occupant loads, the following minimum widths shall apply:

Occupancy
Est Confidors and
Classification | Parisage weys

Group A, C, F, 1100 mm 1100 mm 1100 mm 800.00 mm

| Commercial occupant | Factor per | Units per males | Units per females | Units per f

2331, 2335 ST. JOHNS STREET PID(s): 001-257-706



	,
	,
A MODES	0
THE RESERVE	F
The state of the s	

Owner		1
Name:	Benedet Properties (St Johns) Ltd	- 1
Address:	1465 Kootenay Street	
	Vancouver, BC V5K4Y3	
Phone:	604.253.5578	
Contact:	Bruno Benedet Jr.	

Architectural
Name: Cornerstone Architecture
Address: #307 - 611 Alexander Street
Vancouver, BC V6A1E1
Phone: 604 - 253.8800
Contact: Simon Richards (Architect)
Scott Kennedy (CRP)

Contractor
Name: Noble Construction
Address: #200 - 4308 Dawson Street
Burnaby, BC V5C4B6
Phone: 604.294.1012
Contact: Christian Perizzolo

Geotechnical
Name: Geopacific Consultants Ltd
Address: 1779 W. 75th Ave
Vancouver, BC V6P6P2
Phone: 604.439.0922
Contact: Wyatt Johnson Bullding Envelope
Name: BC Building Science Ltd.
Address: 611 Bent Court
New Westminster, BC V3M0J8
Phone: 604.520.6456
Contact: Farshid Bagheri Landscape
Name: Prospect & Refuge
Address: 102-1661 W 2nd Ave.
Vancouver, BC V6J1H3
Project#: 2023-10
Contact: Samantha Hunt-Weeks

Surveyor
Name: Ken K. Wong & Associates
Address: 5824 E. Hastings Street
Burnaby BC V5B 1R4
Phone: 604.294.8881
Project#: TGA-773
Contact: Steve Wong

Name: Weiler Smith Bowers
Address: 118-3855 Henning Dr.
Burnaby, BC V5C6N3
Phone: 604.294.3753
Contact: Jeff Bugg

Mechanical
Name: Rocky Point Engeineering
Address: #208 - 20171 92A Ave
Langley, BC V1M3A5
604.888.7779
Contact: Richard Corra Fire Suppression

Electrical Name: Address: Project#: Contact:

CORNERSTONE


A0.0	Cover / Statistics
A1.1	Building Survey
A2.1	Ground floor commercial
A2.1.1	Level 1 Patio Use
A2.2	Mezzanine / Residential P1
A2.3	Residential P2
A2.4	Residential Level 1
A2.5	Residential Level 2
A2.6	Residential Level 3
A2.7	Residential Level 4
A2.8	Roof
A2.9	Upper Roof
A3.1	North Elevation
A3.2	East Elevation
A3.3	South Elevation
43.4	West Flewation
A4.1	Building Section A
A4.2	Building Section B
A4 3	Building Section C
A4.4	Building Section D
A4.5	Building Section E
A4.5	Building Section F
A4.7	Building Section G
A4.8	Section Context G
A5.1	Shadow Analysis
A6.0	Material Board
A6.1	Site Photos
A6.2	Site Photos
A6.3	Perspective view
A6.4	Perspective view
A6.5	Perspective view
AG.6	Perspective view
A6.7	Perspective view


	7	2024-06-14	Re-Issue for DP Application
	6	2024-03-22	Reissue for DP Application
	5	2023-06-19	Issue for DP Application
	4	2023-06-05	Issue for Review
	2	2023-04-19	Issue for Review
	2	2022-11-07	Issue for Preliminary DP Application
	1	2022-08-05	Issue for Review


Of fundamental attention
0" (unless noted otherwise
Jun 14, 202-
DRAWING N


	The state of the s	- N.A.	- 1	
	Call Control	THE SECOND	6 8	
		The state of the s	Carried Control	66- F.
	III a second	ZZ sences	es eye	Mill College all
	- O-			
A 2 A 22-	THE WAY WITH	The state of the s	100 10	Take 1
			Cat Wines to	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR
		12		
THE REAL PROPERTY.			10 St. 10 St.	
			第6号 DEVICE	
XX				
The state of the s				S. Cherry and
				建筑市场
Site Contour Scale: 1/64" = 1'-0"				


Site Area FSR Unit Count	19800 sqft 2.63 48								Accessible	Accessible		A1.1 A2.1 A2.1 A2.2 A2.3 A2.4 A2.5 A2.6 A2.7 A2.8 A3.1 A3.1 A3.2 A3.1 A4.1 A4.2 A4.3 A4.4 A4.5	Site Plan Building: Ground I Level 1 F Mezzani Resident Resident Resident Resident Resident Roof Upper Re	Nouvey Roser communicial Pallo Tisse Pallo Tisse Pallo Tisse Pallo Tisse Visal P2* Visal P2* Visal P2* Visal P2* Visal P2* Visal Level 1 Visal Level 2 Visal Level 3 Visal Level 4
Unit Type	Quantity	Distribution				Vehicles	Required	Provided	Required	Provided	Notes	A2.9 A3.1	Upper Ro North Ele	levation
Studio 1 Bed	8	17%				Commercial	22 35	23	1	1	6.3.1-1 space per 40 sqm 0.72 stalls/Dwelling unit (TIA recommended)	A3.3	East Eler South Ele	vation levation
1 Bed Adaptable	1 20	2% 42%				Residential Visitor	35 5	53 5	2	2	0.72 stalls/Dwelling unit (TIA recommended) 0.1 stalls/Dwelling unit (TIA recommended)	A3.4 A4.1	West Ele Building	evation Section A Section B
2 Bed 3 Bed	12	25%				Total	62	81	3	3	6.4.1- 3 accessible for 75-124 total stalls	A4.3	Building	Section C Section D Section E
3 Bed Adaptable	3 4	6% 8%				Bikes	Required	Provided			Notes	A4.5	Building :	Section E
Total	48	100%				Long term	73	73			6.10.3- 1.5 spaces per Dwelling unit	A4.6 A4.7 A4.8 A5.1 A6.0	Building: Section I	Section F Section G Context G
Total adaptable	24	50%			Commercial	Short term	6	6			6.10.3- 6 spaces per 50 Dwelling units 6.10.3- 1 space per 750 sqm of floor area	A5.1	Shadow Material	Analysis
					Commercial	Long Term Short Term	6	6			6.10.3- 1 space per 750 sqm of floor area 6.10.3- 6 space for building with over 750 sqm	A6.1 A6.2	Site Phot	otos otos
						5.3.4.(b)(iii)	5.3.4.(b)(vi)	5.3.4.(b)(v)	5.2.4 (b)(ii)	5.3.4.(b)(viii)		A6.3 A6.4	Perspect Perspect	tive view dive view
	Total	Gross Floor Area	Commercial	Residential	Common		Garbage ext.	Amenity ext.	Adaptable ext	HRV ext.	FSR Area	A6.1 A6.2 A6.3 A6.4 A6.5 A6.6 A6.7	Perspect Perspect	dive view
	Area (sqft)		9525.02 17.0%	37378.83	9129.60	1490.87	285.74 0.5%	1429,92	516.67	240.00	52070.24 92.9%	A6.7	Perspect	live view
	% of gross	100.0%	17.0%	66.7%	16.3%	2.7%	0.5%	2.6%	0.9%	0.4%	92.9%			
Ground Level Commercial	Unit type	Area (sqft)	Commercial	Residential		Wall exclusion	Garbage exclusion	Amenity exclusion	Adaptable exclusion	HRV exclusion				
C1 G1	Circulation Garbane	381.10 285.74			381.10 285.74		285.74					1		
Retail	Garbage Bosa Foods	6879.54	6879.54											
Mezzanine / P2 Parkade	Unit type	Area (sqft)	Commercial	Residential	Common	Wall exclusion							1	ı
P2 Parkade C1	Circulation	1284.79		. researching	1284 79							1		
Mechanical	Bosa Foods	118.36	118.36		1284.79								1	
Mezzanine Retail	Bosa Foods Bosa Foods	2449.20 77.92	2449.20 77.92									7 6	2024-06-14 2024-03-22	Re-Issue for DP Application Relative for DP Application
	DOSE 1 DOSS	11.22	11.02									5	2023-06-19	Issue for DP Application
P1 Parkade	Unit type	Area (sqft)	Commercial	Residential	Common	Wall						4 2	2023-06-05 2023-04-19	Issue for Review
C2	Circulation	392.03			392.03							2	2022-11-07	Issue for Preliminary DP Application
Level 4			_			Well		Amonity	Adaptable	upv		_1	2022-08-05	Issue for Review
Level 1 Residential	Unit type	Area (sqft)	Commercial		Common	Wall exclusion		Amenity exclusion	Adaptable exclusion	HRV exclusion		Num.	YY MM DD	▲ISSUE / REVISION ▼
1 01	3 Bed Adaptable 1 Bed Adaptable	1119.45 596.94		1119.45 596.94		39.56 7.22			21.53 21.53	5.00 5.00		1		
1 02 1 03	Studio	441.17		441.17		11.38 11.38			0.00	5.00				
1 04 1 05	Studio 1 Bed Adaptable	441.17 596.94		441.17 596.94 724.27		11.38 6.98 17.62			0.00 21.53 21.53	5.00 5.00				
1 06	1 Bed Adaptable 2 Bed	724.27 987.24		724.27		17.62			21.53 0.00					
1 08	2 Bed			987.24 987.25		34.82 34.83				5.00				
1 10	1 Bed Adaptable 1 Bed	725.71 716.74		725.71 716.74		397.58 14.00			21.53 0.00	5.00 5.00				
1 11 1 12	1 Bed Adaptable 2 Bed	610.44 1069.41		610.44 1069.41		13.06 47.79			21.53 0.00	5.00 5.00				
1 C	Circulation	1495.61		1009.41	1495.61	7.54			0.00	5.00			1	
Level 2	Outdoor amenity					Mall		1386.00	Adoptable	HRV		PRO.	JECT	
Level 2 Residential	Unit type	Area (sqft)	Commercial		Common	exclusion			Adaptable exclusion	exclusion		Bo	sa Fo	ods
2 01 2 02 2 03	3 Red Adaptable 1 Bed Adaptable	1170 18 597.83		1120 18 597.83		39 NS 7.22			21.53 21.53	5.00 5.00				
2 03	Studio Studio	441.78 441.78		441.78 441.78		11.38 11.38			0.00	5.00 5.00		233	35 St Johr	ns St
2 04 2 05 2 06	1 Bed Adaptable 1 Bed Adaptable	597.83 724.28		597.83 724.28		6.98 17.60			21.53 21.53	5.00				
	2 Bed	987.24		987.24 987.25		34.80 34.78			0.00 0.00	5.00 5.00		l —		
2 08 2 09 2 10	2 Bed 1 Bed Adaptable	987.25 728.14		728.14		22.30			21.53	5.00			DING CODE	BCBC 2018
2 10	2 Bed 1 Red Adaptable	855.27 612.81		855.27 612.81		16.82 13.06			0.00 21.53	5.00		ZONII	NG LOPMENT PER	RM4, C3
2 11 2 12	3 Bed	1359.63		1359.63		52.51			0.00	5.00			LOPMENT PER DING PERMIT #	
2 C	Circulation	1058.37			1058.37	0.00			0.00			_		
Level 3 Residential	Unit type	Area (sqft)	Commercial	Residential	Common	Wall exclusion			Adaptable exclusion	HRV			WING	
3 01 3 02	3 Bed Adaptable	1120.18		1120.18		39.56			21.53	5.00				eet and
3 02	1 Bed Adaptable Studio	597.83 441.78		597.83 441.78		7.22 11.38			21.53 0.00	5.00		Sta	atistics	j
3 03 3 04	Studio	441.78		441 78		11.38			0.00	5.00				
3 05 3 06 3 07	1 Bed Adaptable 1 Bed Adaptable	597.83 724.28		597.83 724.28		6.98 17.60			21.53 21.53	5.00				
3.07	2 Bed 2 Bed	987.25		987.25		34.80 34.78			0.00	6.00 5.00				
3 09 3 10	1 Bed Adaptable 2 Bed	728.14 855.27		728.14 855.27		22.30 16.82			21.53 0.00	5.00 5.00				
3 11	1 Bed Adaptable	612.81		612.81		13.06			21.53	5.00				
3 12 3 C	3 Bed Circulation	1359.63 1058.37		1359.63	1058.37	52.51 0.00			0.00	5.00				
Level 4 Residential	Unit type	Area (sqft)	Commercial	Residential	Common	Wall exclusion			Adaptable exclusion	HRV exclusion				
4 01 4 02	3 Bed Adaptable	1120.18		1120.18		39.56			21.53	5.00		1		
4 03	1 Bed Adaptable Studio	597.83 441.78		597.83 441.78		7.22 11.38			21.53 0.00	5.00 5.00				
4 04 4 05	Studio 1 Bed Adaptable	441.78 597.83		441.78 597.83		11.38 6.98				5.00 5.00				
4 06 4 07	1 Bed Adaptable	724.28		724.28		17.60			21.53 21.53	5.00				
4 07 4 08 4 09	2 Bed 2 Bed	987.24 987.25		987.24 987.25		34.80 34.78			0.00	5.00 5.00		Unless s authorit	signed & sealed, these d y or for reliance by a clie	drawings are not to be used for approval by an ent or third party, including the general public.
4 10	1 Bed Adaptable 2 Bed	728.14 855.27		728.14 855.27		22.30 16.82			21.53 0.00	5.00		SCAL	LE	-0" (unless noted otherwise
4 11 4 12	1 Bed Adaptable	612.81 1359.63		612.81		13.06 52.51			21.53 0.00	5.00				v (urness noted otherwise
4 12	3 Bed Oircutation	1359.63 1050.57		1359.63	1050.37	52.51			0.00	5.00		PLO1	T DATE	
Roof Residential	Unit type	Area (sqft)	Commercial	Residential	Common	Wall exclusion	Garbage exclusion	Amenity exclusion	Adaptable exclusion	HRV		DD0	JECT NO.	Jun 14, 2024 DRAWING NO
R A	Amenity	1429.92			1429.92	0.00	exclusion	exclusion 1429.92	0.00	exclusion		-		
R C	Circulation Outdoor amenity	685.30			685.30	41.95		3916.00	0.00			2	119	A0.0
												f		



























































































CORNERSTONE

www.comeranth.com tel. 804-253-8800

Considered at the July 23, 2024, Regular Council meeting

762

Attachment 8

Precedence Heritage Buildings













Roe & Abernethy Grocery Store 2407-2409 Clarke St

Old City Hall 2425 St. Johns St

P.Burns & Co. Butcher Shop 2419 Clarke St

John's Barber Shop 2337 Clarke St

Tremaine Residence 205 Second Ave

loco Groceteria Third Ave



Terra Cotta Colour



Shouldice Stone - Tapestry Finish Desert Buff



Shouldice Stone - Rock Finish Desert Buff



JamesHardie - Panel Siding Cedarmill - Iron Gray



JamesHardie - Panel Siding Smooth - Arctic White



LUX metal - V-Groove Siding Wood Grains - Pecan

	i	
7	2024-06-14	Re-Issue for DP Application
6	2024-03-22	Reissue for DP Application
5	2023-06-19	Issue for DP Application
4	2023-06-05	Issue for Review
2	2023-04-19	Iccus for Review
2	2022-11-07	Issue for Preliminary DP Application
1	2022-08-05	Issue for Review
Num.	YY MM DD	▲ISSUE / REVISION ▼

Bosa Foods 2335 St Johns St

BUILDING CODE
ZONING
DEVELOPMENT PERMIT #
BUILDING PERMIT #

Material Board

1/8" = 1'-0" (unless noted other	wise
PLOT DATE	
Jun 14,	2024

2119

A6.0

Considered at the July 23, 2024, Regular Council meeting

763

Attachment 8





CORNERSTONE architecture

Vancouver, British Colum Canada VBA 161 www.comeranch.com

ORTANT: Copyright reserved. This design and wing is the exclusive property of Comerstone hisecure and cannot be used for any purpose without written consent of the Architect. This drawing is not to used for construction until issued for that purpose by

or to commencement of the Work, it is the Contractor's poinstitify to review and verify drawing dimensions, the state of the paining Contract Documents shall be brought to the entition of the Architect for clarification prior to proceeding.

Top down view of the site





Southeast aerial view

0 2024-03-22 Release to CP Application
5 2023-06-19 house for People and
4 2023-06-06 line to Review
2 2022-06-06 line to Review
2 2022-01-07 house for Perkeriancy
1 2022-04-10 Review for Perkeriancy Papiloution
Num. YY MM DD AISSUE / REVISION ▼

Bosa Foods 2335 St Johns St

BUILDING CODE BC
ZONING
DEVELOPMENT PERMIT #
BUILDING PERMIT #

Site Photos

Unless signed & scaled, these charrings are not to be used for approval by an authority of for relaxed by a client or final party, valueing the general public.

SCALE

1/8" = 1'-0" (unless noted otherwise)

PROJECT NO. DRAWING NO

2119

A6.1

Considered at the July 23, 2024, Regular Council meeting

764

Attachment 8

CORNERSTONE architecture


Vancouver, British Columi Canada VBA 181 www.cornerarch.com 64 804-245-8900

RTANT: Copyright reserved. This design and ing is the exclusive property of Comerstone secture and cannot be used for any purpose without titlen consent of the Architect. This drawing is not to


or to commencement of the Work, it is the Contractor's ponsibility to review and verify drawing dimensions, turns and levels. Discrepancies between information on steading and actual site conditions and or the natining Contract Documents shall be brought to the



View across the Northwest intersection from the proposed podium level







View towards the East from the proposed level 6 deck



View towards the North from the proposed level 6 deck

Bosa Foods 2335 St Johns St

BUILDING CODE ZONING DEVELOPMENT PERMIT # BUILDING PERMIT #

Site Photos

is signed & sealed, these drawings are not to be used for approval by an ethy or for reliance by a client or third party, including the general public. ALE

PROJECT NO. DRAWING NO. 2119 A6.2

Considered at the July 23, 2024, Regular Council meeting

765

Attachment 8





CORNERSTONE

307 - 611 Alexander Stre Vancouver, British Colum Canada VBA 161 www.comeranch.com

APORTANT: Copyright reserved. This design and rawing is the exclusive property of Comerstone rehitecture and cannot be used for any purpose withou se written consent of the Architect. This drawing is not to the consent of the Architect.

to to commencement of the Work, it is the Contractor's ponsibility to review and verify drawing dimensions, turns and levels. Discrepancies between information on s drawing and actual site conditions and or the maining Contract Documents shall be brought to the edition of the Architect for chiffication price in proposation.

Num.	YY MM DD	▲ISSUE / REVISION ▼
1	2022-08-05	Issue for Review
2	2022-11-07	Issue for Preliminary DP Application
2	2023-04-19	Icous for Review
4	2023-06-05	Issue for Review
5	2023-06-19	Issue for DP Application
6	2024-03-22	Relaxue for DP Application
7	2024-06-14	Re-Issue for DP Application

Bosa Foods 2335 St Johns St

BUILDING CODE
ZONING
DEVELOPMENT PERMIT #
BUILDING PERMIT #

Northeast Perspective view

Unless speed it sealed. Never dowing are not to be used for approach per authority for the makes by a client of first part, including the general public.

SCALE

1/8" = 1'-0" (unless noted otherwise)

PLOT DATE

Jun 14, 2024

PROJECT NO. 2119

A6.3

Considered at the July 23, 2024, Regular Council meeting

766

Attachment 8



Vancouver, British Colum Canada V&A 1E1 www.comerand.com tel. 804-253-8800

MPORTANT: Copyright reserved. This design and recipied to the property of Comerstone rehitecture and cannot be used for any purpose withou se written consent of the Architect. This drawing is not a used for construction until issued for that purpose by

Prior to commencement of the Work, it is the Contractor's responsibility to review and verify drawing dimensions, datums and levels. Discrepancies between information or this drawing and actual site conditions and I or the remaining Centract Documents shall be brought to the



East commercial parkade entry from Queens St



7 203-6-0-5-4
6 202-6-0-2
7 202-6-0-2
1 202-2-0-1
1 202-2-0-6-5
Num. YYMM DO ASSUE / REVISION Y

Bosa Foods 2335 St Johns St

BUILDING CODE ZONING DEVELOPMENT PERMIT # BUILDING PERMIT #

Perspective view

Unless signed & scaled, these drawings are not to be used for approval by an authority or for relative by a client or filled party, including the general public.

SCALE

1/8" = 1'-0" (unless noted otherwise)

PLOT DATE

Jun 14, 2024

PROJECT NO. DRAWING NO.

2119

A6.4

Northeast retail entry from St Johns St

767

Attachment 8



CORNERSTONE

307 - 611 Alexander Stre Vancouver, British Colum Canada V&A 161 www.comerand.com

ORTANT: Copyright reserved. This design and ring is the exclusive property of Comerstone likecture and cannot be used for any purpose without written consent of the Architect. This drawing is not to lead for continuous milk issued for their purpose by

or to commencement of the Work, it is the Contractor's ponsibility to review and verify drawing dimensions, turns and levels. Discrepancies between information on sidnawing and actual site conditions and / or the naining Contract Documents shall be brought to the policion of the Architect for clarification prior to proceeding to the contract to the conditions of the Architect for charification prior to proceeding the contract to the contract of the co

Residential entry from St Andrews St



Num.		AISSUE / REVISION ▼
_ 1	2022-08-05	Issue for Review
2	2022-11-07	Issue for Preliminary DP Application
2	2023-04-19	Iccus for Review
4	2023-06-05	Issue for Review
5	2023-06-19	Issue for DP Application
6	2024-03-22	Reissue for DP Application
7	2024-06-14	Re-Issue for DP Application

Bosa Foods 2335 St Johns St

BUILDING CODE
ZONING
DEVELOPMENT PERMIT #
BUILDING PERMIT #

Perspective view

SCALE		
JUNEL	1/8" = 1'-0" (unless noted otherwise
PLOT D	ATE	
		Jun 14, 2024
		Jun 14, 2

PROJECT NO. 2119

A6.5

768

Attachment 8

CORNERSTONE

307 - 611 Alexander Stre Vancouver, British Colum Canada VBA 161 www.comerch.com

MPORTANT: Copyright reserved. This design and rawing is the exclusive property of Comerstone inherence and cannot be used for any purpose withou se written consent of the Architect. This drawing is not a used for construction until issued for that purpose by

rior to commencement of the Work, it is the Contractor's seponsibility to review and verify drawing dimensions, athums and levels. Discrepancies between information on is drawing and actual sits conditions and 7 or the maining Contract Documents shall be brought to the thorough the Architect for clarification prior to proceeding.



Northwest view from St Johns St



7 2024-05-14
6 2024-05-22
7 2025-05-05
1 2025-05-05
1 2025-05-05
1 2025-05-05
1 2025-05-05
1 2025-05-05
Norm. YY MM DO ASSSUE: REVISION \(\frac{\psi}{\psi}\)

Bosa Foods 2335 St Johns St

BUILDING CODE ZONING DEVELOPMENT PERMIT # BUILDING PERMIT #

Perspective view

blood signed 6 spains flowed drawings are not bit be used for operand by an authority of for features by a called of final purp, including the general public.

SCALE

1/8* = 1'-0" (unless noted otherwise)

PLOT DATE

Jun 14, 2024

PROJECT NO. 2119

A6.6

West view from the neighbour's yard

769

Attachment 8





Southeast view from Queens St




Bosa Foods 2335 St Johns St


Perspective view

PROJECT NO. 2119


Bird's eye view


A6.7






































784

Attachment 10

Project Fact Sheet

Applicant: Cornerstone Architecture

Application Type: OCP Amendment, Rezoning and Development Permits

Project Description: A seven-storey mixed use commercial/residential building and

a rooftop garden with enclosed and open spaces, with o 885 m 2 (9,525 ft 2) of commercial space over first two floors and 3,472 m 2 (37,379 ft 2) of residential space over four upper floors with 48 market rental apartments, and FSR of 2.63

Development Permit Area 2 (DPA2) – Moody Centre Heritage

Conservation Area (HCA)

Development Permit Area 5: Hazardous Conditions

Application Number: ORD00006

Address: 2331- 2335 St. Johns Street

Existing Zoning: General Commercial (C3)- Eastern lot (lot 2335)

Medium Density Townhouse Residential (RM4)- Western lot

(lot 2331)

Proposed Zoning: Comprehensive Development (CD) zone (CD97)

Existing OCP Designation: Mixed Use – Moody Centre (4 storeys) – Eastern lot

Multi-Family Residential (3 storeys) – Western lot

Surrounding Development:

	Zoning Designation	OCP Designation
North	- General Commercial (C3)	- Mixed Use – Moody Centre
	- Comprehensive Development Zone 14 (CD14)	- Multi-Family Residential
East	- Service Station Commercial (C4) - Currently Shell Gas	- Mixed Use – Moody Centre
	Station	
South	- Single-Detached Residential (RS1)	- Single-Family Low Density
West	- Medium Density Townhouse Residential (RM4)-	- Multi-Family Residential
	(Currently a protected heritage property)	

785

Attachment 10

Development Statistics:

Proposed Development

Number of Residential Units: 48 market rental apartments

with 8 studios, 21 one-bedroom units- 12 two-

bedroom and 7 three-bedroom units

Density: FSR 2.63

Height: Seven-storeys and a rooftop garden that has enclosed

and open spaces- Considered 8-storeys based on

BCBD- 27.7 m (90'-11")

Parking (Total 81)

Resident: 53
Visitor: 5
Commercial Loading: 23

Bicycle Parking: 73 long term and 6 short term stalls for residential

1 long term and 6 short term for commercial portion

Attachment 11



Sustainability Report Card Mixed Use Projects

Version 1.1, August 2022

Purpose

The Sustainability Report Card recognizes that developers, builders, designers, and others proposing changes to the built environment have an important role in creating a sustainable community. Buildings are a vital part of our community, providing shelter, employment, recreation opportunities, services, shopping and more. With the amount of time we spend in our buildings they play an essential role in how prepared we are for our changing climate, influence the vitality of our community, and shape our everyday lives.

The buildings we build today will be around for the next 60–80 years on average and it is crucial for the evolution of our community that these structures are built to the highest sustainability standards. In 2020 Council adopted the City's Climate Action Plan, a comprehensive strategy laying out a pathway to become a carbon neutral, resilient community by 2050. This Plan includes various building-related actions that project proposals should incorporate to work towards the vision that:

"Port Moody is a resilient community that honours climate justice, leading the urgent response to climate change through collective action."

- Climate Action Committee, 2019

Port Moody encourages innovative thinking in community design to achieve sustainable communities. To this end, the Report Card is a requirement for rezoning, development permit, heritage revitalization agreement, and heritage alteration permit applications. The Report Card identifies performance measures based on community sustainability values, and these measures are used to evaluate development proposals. The Report Card is a tool that summarizes overall project sustainability and is integrated with all other development approval requirements.

The Report Card focuses on performance criteria within four pillars of sustainability defined by the City as:

1. Cultural Sustainability

Cultural sustainability recognizes the need to honour and transmit cultural beliefs, practices, heritage conservation, and culture for future generations. Cultural sustainability is about fostering cultural rights, local culture and cultural identity in a community. Cultural sustainability can be achieved through innovation and preservation of identity through different forms of creative expressions (e.g., art, events), celebrating cultural customs, and preserving and transmitting cultural heritage and customs through design of culturally inclusive landscapes, architecture, programs, and amenities.

2. Economic Sustainability

Economic sustainability in the Port Moody context means offering a broad range of local employment opportunities that will reduce commute distances and times, encouraging creative and clean industry and jobs, and building a more sustainable financial future for the City. The impacts of this approach will reduce GHG emissions, enable active transportation as a more viable means of getting around, build more resilient local business communities, and strengthen the social fabric of the city.

787 Attachment 11

3. Environmental Sustainability

Environmental sustainability refers to the protection, management, and monitoring of ecosystems and natural assets to ensure the long-term productivity and health of resources to meet future economic and social needs. Environmental sustainability involves protecting, restoring, and connecting environmental systems to maintain function and ecological integrity. It also acknowledges that human civilisation takes resources to sustain our modern way of life, recognizes the elements that place stress on the environment and incorporates how technology will drive our greener future.

4. Social Sustainability

Social sustainability is about inclusive and resilient societies that understand what citizens need from the places where they live, work and play and where citizens feel supported in their well-being and are encouraged to evolve. Social sustainability combines physical design with support mechanisms to enhance social liveability, amenities, and systems that actively support the capacity of current and future generations to create healthy and livable communities. Socially sustainable communities promote diversity, equity and inclusion, foster connectivity and provide a high quality of life.

Process

The Sustainability Report Card forms part of the application materials for rezoning, development permit, heritage revitalization agreement, and heritage alteration permit proposals. There are five steps to follow in completing the Sustainability Report Card process:

- 1. Consult with City planning staff to discuss your proposal and determine if a Sustainability Report Card must be submitted with your development application.
- 2. Following the initial conversation with staff, and while preparing your application, complete the Sustainability Report Card by filling in the appropriate information that applies to your application and submit a completed copy to your file manager.
- 3. The Sustainability Report Card will be marked three times:
 - a. Prior to first reading;
 - b. Prior to Advisory Design Panel and Land Use Committee; and
 - c. Final scoring prior to Development Permit issuance.

At each of these marking intervals Planning staff will review the Report Card for completeness and accuracy and forward to staff in various departments for marking. Staff will make comments, determine a score, and provide the applicant an opportunity to revise the Report Card. The score and comments will be included in the land use reports that are distributed to the Advisory Design Panel, Land Use Committee, and City Council.

- 4. Commitments indicated in the Report Card will be secured through various means including, but not limited to, Development Permit conditions, securities, and various agreements (e.g., Servicing Agreement) or covenants. The method of securing the commitment is noted for each criteria.
- 5. If your application is approved by Council, your final Sustainability Report Card is maintained in the development file and a copy is provided to the City's Building Division.

788

Attachment 11

Instructions

- Your Report Card must contain sufficient detail to ensure each measure can be evaluated. Make
 reference to the appropriate plans, drawings, and reports that demonstrate how the performance
 measure is met where appropriate.
- The relevance of the questions will depend on the nature and scope of your project, so not all questions
 will be applicable to all projects. In this case, select N/A and the points will be subtracted from the
 overall points available to increase fairness. Some criteria do not include N/A as an option as this is
 expected/possible on each project.
- Key terms are defined in the Glossary at the end of the Report Card document.
- Refer to the Resources section in each criteria for links to web-based resources relevant to measures
 in the Sustainability Report Card.

Scoring

- Scoring of the Report Card reflects a project's overall ability to be a sustainable development. Criteria are assigned points to indicate their significance based on:
 - 1. the level of difficulty to integrate criteria into project design;
 - 2. the order-of-magnitude cost added to the project;
 - 3. alignment with identified City and community priorities;
 - 4. the level of urgency for Port Moody in terms of achieving community sustainability goals; and
 - 5. the degree of effectiveness for increasing overall project sustainability.
- Performance measures are ordered based on priority. The first performance measure under each topic
 area in each pillar is the highest priority. The highest priority performance measures typically offer the
 highest possible points.
- City staff score the completed Report Card based on the principle of best achievable on each site for
 each performance measure. Points for achieving various means are indicated. Where open ended
 responses are permitted, staff will make a fair assessment of the project's performance for the measure
 with respect to site conditions.
- Where criteria may not be applicable to your project due to constraints, select the N/A option and the
 points will be subtracted from the overall points available to increase fairness. Some criteria do not
 include N/A as an option as this is expected/possible on each project.
- The Report Card is an iterative process with the applicant. The applicant has an opportunity to comment and make changes to their proposal before the scores are considered final and shared with public advisory bodies and City Council.
- Additional space is provided for the applicant to address innovations and constraints not captured
 elsewhere in the Report Card. Innovation items have a maximum score of 3 points. Constraints are not
 scored but given specific mention in Council Reports. Applicants are required to provide a narrative of
 how the project is contributing to each pillar of sustainability.
- Staff will review your completed Report Card and provide feedback to give you the opportunity to achieve the highest score possible by the time the project is considered for adoption or permit approval.

789

Attachment 11

Monitoring

In general, the information required from the applicant for the Sustainability Report Card is similar to the information required for a typical development application. However, to ensure accountability, the City may request additional information, such as: photos of installed systems or products, design drawings, professional reports, copies of receipts, or other records that can be used to verify the implementation of the selected sustainability criteria. We encourage you to provide as much information as possible to assist City staff in their review of your development proposal.

Public Information

Copies of the Report Card are maintained by the Development Planning Division and are included as an attachment to Council reports related to the application. Therefore, Report Cards are part of the public record.

790

Attachment 11

Property and Applicant Information

Applicant: Scott Kennedy Telephone: 604-253-8800 Email: skennedy@cornerarch.com Registered owner: Benedet Properties (St Johns) LTS. [Inc#: BC1355917] Project address: 2331, 2335 St. Johns Street Proposed use: Total floor space (m²): 4789.17 Mixed-Use Moody Centre Number of storeys: 6 **Building type:** Wood frame and Concrete Number of units: 48

Final Score (staff to complete)

Pillar	Total possible points	Sum of N/A points	Sum of missed points	Applicant total points	Pillar % score
Cultural Sustainability	56	9	18	19	40
Economic Sustainability	93	15	40	38	48
Environmental Sustainability	172	20	77	75	49
Social Sustainability	165	3	98	64	39

Attachment 11

1. Cultural Sustainability

Arts		
24-		Resources
C1a (applicants can choose between C1a or C1b) (12	points possible)	Developer Public Art Guidelines
Ooes the project designate space for artists or creative enter etained for the lifetime of the project?	rprises to be	Art in Public Spaces Master Plan
☐ Yes		Arts and Culture Master Plan
■ No		
□ N/A (applicants can choose between C1a or C1b)		Enforcement
fyes:		- Units (market and below
Check all that apply:	(up to 12 points)	market) will be secured through a Housing Agreement.
□ artist studios (2 points for first studio + 1 point for each	additional studio, max 8 points)	- Plaza/creative/exhibition space
☐ family-size live-work units – sold below market value	(3 points per unit, max 8 points)	& temporary artist space will be confirmed through the Development Permit.
☐ family-size live-work units – sold at market value	(2 points per unit, max 6 points)	- Elements on Landscape Plans
 plaza, creative placemaking space, available for public us (e.g., outdoor stage) 	se (4 points)	will be subject to securities.
$\ \square$ temporary artist spaces on or off the site	(2 points)	 Formal written confirmation of arrangements for managing
□ publicly viewable exhibition space	(2 points)	spaces will be required.
☐ developer identified need/opportunity	(up to 4 points)	
Please specify:		Staff comments
For the spaces being provided in this project, how will of managed? (e.g., who is responsible for managing tenants, metc)?		
		Score 0 /12

792

	Resources
C1b (applicants can choose between C1a or C1b) (6 points pos	•
Does the project include artwork which is aligned with the Art in Public Spaces Master Plan and located in a publicly accessible or publicly own	
space? (Note: Public Art Policy encourages at least 0.5% of construction cos (4 p	ts) Arts and Culture Master Plan oints)
■ Yes	
□ No	Enforcement
□ N/A (applicants can choose between C1a or C1b)	 Formal written commitment to engage in a process to include
Applicants are encouraged to work with artists and/or art consultants early so artworks can be incorporated in meaningful and creative ways. Has an artist art consultant been engaged for this project? (2 p	that public art will be required. or Confirmation of the value of oints) this commitment and securing
■ Yes	this commitment through a letter of credit submitted prior
□ No	to issuance of Development Permit will be required.
OB	 Collection of public art funds
OR	prior to issuance of
	development permit will be
Does the project provide an in lieu financial contribution to the City's Artwork Reserve Fund in accordance with the City's Public Art Policy?	required.
(4 p	oints) Staff comments
☐ Yes	
	applicant states in following
■ No	question that Doug Taylor (an
■ No □ N/A (applicants can choose between C1a or C1b)	
□ N/A (applicants can choose between C1a or C1b) What is the proposed contribution to the City's Artwork Reserve Fund? (Note: Public Art Policy encourages at least 0.5% of construction costs)	question that Doug Taylor (an artist) is working with them, but applicant has selected "No" Applicant changed answer to "yes" earning additional 2 score.
 □ N/A (applicants can choose between C1a or C1b) What is the proposed contribution to the City's Artwork Reserve Fund? 	question that Doug Taylor (an artist) is working with them, but applicant has selected "No" Applicant changed answer to "yes" earning additional 2 score.
□ N/A (applicants can choose between C1a or C1b) What is the proposed contribution to the City's Artwork Reserve Fund? (Note: Public Art Policy encourages at least 0.5% of construction costs) (up to 2 p	question that Doug Taylor (an artist) is working with them, but applicant has selected "No" Applicant changed answer to "yes" earning additional 2 score. oints) ation)
□ N/A (applicants can choose between C1a or C1b) What is the proposed contribution to the City's Artwork Reserve Fund? (Note: Public Art Policy encourages at least 0.5% of construction costs) (up to 2 p (2 points if contribution is at least 10% greater than recommend	question that Doug Taylor (an artist) is working with them, but applicant has selected "No" Applicant changed answer to "yes" earning additional 2 score. oints) ation)
□ N/A (applicants can choose between C1a or C1b) What is the proposed contribution to the City's Artwork Reserve Fund? (Note: Public Art Policy encourages at least 0.5% of construction costs) (up to 2 p (2 points if contribution is at least 10% greater than recommend	question that Doug Taylor (an artist) is working with them, but applicant has selected "No" Applicant changed answer to "yes" earning additional 2 score. oints) ation)
□ N/A (applicants can choose between C1a or C1b) What is the proposed contribution to the City's Artwork Reserve Fund? (Note: Public Art Policy encourages at least 0.5% of construction costs) (up to 2 p (2 points if contribution is at least 10% greater than recommend	question that Doug Taylor (an artist) is working with them, but applicant has selected "No" Applicant changed answer to "yes" earning additional 2 score. oints) ation)
□ N/A (applicants can choose between C1a or C1b) What is the proposed contribution to the City's Artwork Reserve Fund? (Note: Public Art Policy encourages at least 0.5% of construction costs) (up to 2 p (2 points if contribution is at least 10% greater than recommend	question that Doug Taylor (an artist) is working with them, but applicant has selected "No" Applicant changed answer to "yes" earning additional 2 score. oints) ation)

793

	Enforcement
C2 Does the project include artistically designed (professionally designed) architectural elements that enhance the overall visual appeal of the development (e.g., water feature)? ■ Yes	 Architectural elements will be secured through the Development Permit. Elements on Landscape Plans will be subject to securities.
If yes, describe how:	Staff comments
ii yes, describe now.	Start comments
We are working with an artist (Doug Taylor) to develop a concept design for the project. www.dougtaylor.co	Score 2 /2
	Enforcement
Does the project include artistically designed (professionally designed) street furniture and/or streetscape enhancements (e.g., artistically designed benches, streetlights, tree grates)? (Note: consider maintenance and replacement of furniture/enhancements) Yes	 Elements on Landscape Plans will be subject to securities. Elements included in Civil Plans will be secured through the Servicing Agreement.
□ No	Stoff comments
□ N/A	Staff comments
If yes, describe the furniture/enhancements and how they will be maintained: Planters are designed to complement the architectural style of the surrounding buildings. The benches are incorporated into the planters to create a cohesive and visually interesting design. We are working with an artist to develop a concept design for the project.	Score 2 /2

794

	/ titaorii i i i
	Resources
C4 (2 points possible)	Art in Public Spaces Master Plan
Describe how your project aligns with the Art in Public Spaces and/or Arts	
and Culture Master Plans, or otherwise contributes to the overall cultural and artistic vitality of Port Moody:	Arts and Culture Master Plan
	Enforcement
The Bosa Foods project aligns with this program by providing a space for cultural activities that will be open to the public. The project's focus on Italian culture and cuisine will add to the city's cultural diversity and provide an	- N/A
opportunity for residents to learn about and experience different cultures. The demo kitchen will allow residents to learn new culinary skills and explore the flavours of Italy. By doing so, the project will be contributing to the overall	Staff comments
cultural and culinary landscape of Port Moody. Bosa Foods will also work with an artist to provide a kinetic sculpture.	previous report card included "The perforated panels on site can allow for artistic inputs and designs." are these being removed?
	Score 2 /2
C.F.	Score 2 /2 Enforcement
C5 (1 point possible)	
C5 (1 point possible) Does the project include artistic elements for the benefit of the residents/ occupants (e.g., artistic features in a private courtyard or main entryway)?	Enforcement
Does the project include artistic elements for the benefit of the residents/	- Architectural elements will be secured through the Development Permit.
Does the project include artistic elements for the benefit of the residents/ occupants (e.g., artistic features in a private courtyard or main entryway)?	Enforcement Architectural elements will be secured through the
Does the project include artistic elements for the benefit of the residents/ occupants (e.g., artistic features in a private courtyard or main entryway)? ☐ Yes	- Architectural elements will be secured through the Development Permit Elements on Landscape Plans
Does the project include artistic elements for the benefit of the residents/ occupants (e.g., artistic features in a private courtyard or main entryway)? ☐ Yes ■ No	- Architectural elements will be secured through the Development Permit Elements on Landscape Plans will be subject to securities.

Heritage	
	Resources
C6 (3 points possible)	Heritage Register
Have you consulted with City staff to determine if any of the structures on	
the subject property may have heritage value?	Enforcement
☐ Yes	- Submission of Statement of
□ No	Significance with application will be required.
■ N/A	will be required.
If yes, does the project include a statement of significance for heritage	Staff comments
structures not listed on Heritage Register, prepared by a heritage conservation specialist where potential heritage value is observed?	Applicant has selected N/A
☐ Yes	
□ No	
■ N/A	
	Score 0 /3
	Enforcement
C7 (3 points possible)	 Submission of a conservation
Does the project include a heritage conservation plan prepared by a	plan will be required with
heritage conservation professional for a structure on the property of the application or on another property in the City?	application.
☐ Yes	Staff comments
□ No	Applicant has selected N/A
■ N/A	Applicant has sciected NA
If yes, provide the address of the structure included in the heritage	
conservation plan:	
Address:	
	Score 0 /3

	Allacillient 11
Does the project include reusing an existing heritage structure with heritage value through heritage restoration or heritage rehabilitation? (3 points) ☐ Yes ■ No ☐ N/A	- Details will be included in Heritage Conservation Plan and formalized in a Heritage Revitalization Agreement or Development Permit. Formal protection typically involves heritage designation following completion of conservation work. Staff comments Score 0 /3
C9 (2 points possible) Where the preservation of a heritage structure in its original location cannot be	Conservation of Historic Places in Canada: historicplaces.ca
accommodated, relocation may be considered.	Enforcement
Does this project include heritage relocation within Port Moody? (2 points) ☐ Yes ■ No ☐ N/A	- Written confirmation of commitment to relocate structure and details of plans for the building in its new location will be required.
	Staff comments Score 0 /2

797

	Enforcement
C10 (2 points possible)	- Depending on what is
Does the project salvage materials or artefacts from a historic place in Port Moody, or reuse materials or artifacts from architectural/landscape salvage in a manner which supports the authenticity of the site's character-defining elements?	proposed, incorporating these elements could be shown on landscape and/or architectural
☐ Yes	plans included in the Development Permit.
■ No	- Landscaped elements will be
□ N/A	subject to securities. If the
If yes, please explain: (up to 2 points)	artifacts are used in public art then they will be secured through Public Art Securities.
	Staff comments
	Score 0 /2
C11 (2 points possible)	Score 0 /2 Resources
C11 (2 points possible) Does the project involve the addition of a heritage structure to the City's Heritage Register? This only applies to a structure with heritage value that is NOT	Resources Heritage Register
Does the project involve the addition of a heritage structure to the City's Heritage Register? This only applies to a structure with heritage value that is NOT already on the City's Heritage Register.	Resources Heritage Register Enforcement
Does the project involve the addition of a heritage structure to the City's Heritage Register? This only applies to a structure with heritage value that is NOT already on the City's Heritage Register. Yes	Resources Heritage Register Enforcement - Confirmation of intention to add the heritage structure to the
Does the project involve the addition of a heritage structure to the City's Heritage Register? This only applies to a structure with heritage value that is NOT already on the City's Heritage Register. ☐ Yes ■ No	Resources Heritage Register Enforcement - Confirmation of intention to add
Does the project involve the addition of a heritage structure to the City's Heritage Register? This only applies to a structure with heritage value that is NOT already on the City's Heritage Register. Yes	Resources Heritage Register Enforcement - Confirmation of intention to add the heritage structure to the Heritage Register will be required.
Does the project involve the addition of a heritage structure to the City's Heritage Register? This only applies to a structure with heritage value that is NOT already on the City's Heritage Register. ☐ Yes ■ No	Resources Heritage Register Enforcement - Confirmation of intention to add the heritage structure to the Heritage Register will be

798

C42		Enforcement
Does the project incorporate acknowledgement of historical connections to the site (e.g., historical naming of the site, pe		- Follow up will depend on the method used to acknowledge cultural connections to the site. Determined by staff.
structures, architectural inspiration etc.)?		Determined by staff.
☐ Yes		Staff comments
If yes, please explain:	(up to 2 points)	
ii yes, piease explain.	(αρ το 2 μοιπιε)	Score 0 /2
Public Realm		
0.10		Enforcement
C13 Does the project improve the streetscape beyond minimum requirements by integrating lasting creative elements and deffort to optimize the project's beautification impact? ■ Yes □ No	-	 Architectural elements will be secured through the Development Permit. Elements on Landscape Plans will be subject to securities. Elements included in Civil
If yes, check all that apply:	(up to 4 points)	Plans will be secured through
 □ Artistic stormwater management features □ Restores the frontage of an existing building in Historic Moody Centre ■ Proposed artistic paving treatments 	(1 point) (2 points) (1 point)	the Servicing Agreement. - Artistic elements will be secured through Public Art securities.
■ Adds aesthetics to functional elements of the streetscape	(1 point)	Staff comments
 ■ Aesthetically pleasing and functional benches, bike rack, planter, lighting etc. upgrades ■ Interaction of the project with the public e.g., edible landscape/foliage ■ Artistic panels in entry foyer ■ Other Are the streetscape elements designed by a local artist? □ Yes ■ No 	(1 point) (1 point) (1 point) (up to 1 point) (4 points)	artistic side panels are not included compared to last time. Is applicant walking back on this?
		Score 5 /8

799

799		Attachment 11
C14 (3 points	s possible)	Enforcement
Does your project include any innovative cultural sustainability asp captured in the Report Card?	ects not	 Will depend on the type of innovation, determined by staff.
☐ Yes		Staff comments
■ N/A		
If yes, please explain: (up to	o 3 points)	Applicant has selected N/A
		Score 0 /3
C15		Enforcement
Does your project face any unique site constraints that limit cultura sustainability achievement?	ıl .	- N/A
□ Yes		Staff comments
■ No		
If yes, please explain:		

800 Attachment 11

Enforcement C16 (3 points possible) Highlighted in Council reports Summarize the project's cultural sustainability contributions, including the performance criteria in this Report Card and additional elements not previously Staff comments captured here. The Bosa Foods project contributes to the cultural sustainability of Port Moody by providing a space for Italian cultural activities that will promote cultural diversity and add to the city's cultural and culinary landscape. The project's demo kitchen will allow residents to learn new culinary skills and explore the flavours of Italy, while the artistic elements in the children's play area and streetscape enhancements will create a visually interesting and dynamic environment. Additionally, the planters, screens, and benches are designed to complement the architectural style and define different spaces within the streetscape while providing privacy and adding a decorative element. We are currently working with an artist to develop a concept art piece design for the project. Overall, the project aligns with the city's Arts and Culture Master Plan and contributes to the overall cultural and artistic vitality of Port Moody. Score 2 /3 Total Cultural Sustainability Pillar Points = 19 /56

Attachment 11

2. Economic Sustainability

Complete Community		
		Resources
EC1 (13	points possible)	
Does the project support active transportation to access shops and services by improving the circulation and connectivity of the site to retail shops, services, and employment near the project site?		Master Transportation Plan Examples Enforcement
■ Yes		
□ No		 Architectural elements are secured through the
		Development Permit.
If yes, check all that apply for how this is achieved:	(up to 13 points)	
■ Creates connectivity to existing active transportation network (up to 3 points)		 Elements on Landscape Plans will be subject to securities.
■ Eliminates barriers to access for active transportation (e.g., improving let-downs, accessibility)	(up to 3 points)	- Elements included in Civil
■ Enhances trails and bike paths	(1 point)	Plans will be secured through the Servicing Agreement.
■ Creates public amenity space	(1 point)	Signago will be confirmed
Use of greenery and landscaping to serve pedestrians and to direct patrons to storefront entrances and transit	(1 point)	 Signage will be confirmed through the Signage Plan.
Wide sidewalks and separation from the road to encourage and promote pedestrian movement	(1 point)	Staff comments
\square Blade or tab signs are incorporated as appropriate	(up to 2 points)	Applicant should clarify how development "Enhances trails
Seating, public art, and other amenities are incorporated into design of retail storefront area	(up to 3 points)	and bike paths" scoring conditional
■ Receiving/shipping areas are located off pedestrian routes	(1 point)	The patio and seating along the multi-use path allows for public
☐ Other – please explain:	(up to 3 points)	use and creates a safe rest area
		Score 11 /13

802

	/ tttdorilliont 11
EC2 (12 points possible)	Resources
	WalkScore
Ooes the project increase access to daily services or supplement the existing ousiness composition?	
☐ Yes	Enforcement
] No	Architectural alements will be
yes:	 Architectural elements will be secured through the
Check all that apply: (up to 12 points)	Development Permit.
■ Contiguous retail frontage to maintain continuity of retail storefronts (2 points)	 Specific uses will be incorporated into the project
☐ Enhances existing businesses through agglomeration as appropriate (2 points)	zoning.
☐ Provides a variety of store widths or opportunity for a variety of storefront widths through combining individual units (2 points)	Staff comments 4 of 6 due to applicant selection.
 □ Provides daily goods and services that are missing or underserved in the current local area business composition (2 points) 	Applicant removed "Provides a
Please explain missing/underserved goods and services identified:	variety of store widths or opportunity for avariety of storefront widths through combining individual units" applicant is proposing grocery store but nothing more. Please
☐ Supports expansion of and/or leverages the existing business community in the area (2 points) Please explain how:	clarify
□ Other – please explain: (up to 2 points) What is the Walk Score of the proposed project?	
	Score 4 /12

803

	Resources
EC3 (5 points	
What is the estimated increase to the current and proposed property assessed value, as would be determined by BC Assessment (market for each property classification?	DIAH IHAD
(Note that only estimates are required for proposed assessed value as acc	Classification
assessed values would not be available at this point in the project)	Enforcement
Current (Land & Improvement)	
Class 1 – residential assessed value: <u>\$2,440,000 + \$2,225,000</u>	– N/A
Class 2 – utilities assessed value:	Staff comments
Class 3 – supportive housing assessed value:	Stail Comments
Class 4 – major industry assessed value:	
Class 5 – light industry assessed value:	
Class 6 – business other assessed value: <u>\$750,000</u>	
Estimated Proposed (Land & Improvement)	
Class 1 – residential assessed value: \$5,000,000	
Class 2 – utilities assessed value:	
Class 3 – supportive housing assessed value:	
Class 4 – major industry assessed value:	
Class 5 – light industry assessed value:	
Class 6 – business other assessed value: \$1,500,000	
Project provides more assessed value in a non-residential class (2,4,5,6)	= 3 points
Proposed assessed value is higher than current assessed value	= 2 points
Proposed assessed value is the same as current assessed value	e = 1 point
Proposed assessed value is lower than current assessed value	= 0 points
	Score 4 /5

	/ titadrilliont 11
Local Economy	
	Resources
EC4 (20 points possible)	
Does the project increase the number of and variety of skilled employment on land	Official community Plan Overall Land Use Plan Map
designated as Industrial, Mixed Employment, or Mixed Use in the City's Official Community Plan?	NAICS
•	Metro Vancouver Industrial
Yes	Lands Strategy
□ No	Enforcement
f yes:	
List the estimated number of jobs: (up to 5 points)	 Commitment confirmed through Building Permit Plans re:
# of existing jobs on site: 2	space/occupant designation
# of proposed jobs on site: 16	 For owner spaces, proof of
% of jobs retained: 100	registration of the Strata Plan
If # of existing jobs is not retained = 0 points	at Land Title & Survey Authority submitted.
If # of existing jobs is retained = 3 points	•
If # of jobs is increased beyond existing = 5 points	Staff comments
Using the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS), list	
the type of jobs created. Classification to the Sector (first) level is sufficient.	
445298	
List the jobs to population ratio on site: (up to 15 points)	
Up to 0.5, each 0.1 = 1 point; Above 0.5, each 0.1 = 2 points	
16/48 = 0.33	
Are the Industrial, Mixed Employment, or Mixed Use areas leased or owned?	
□ Leased	
Owned	
☐ Other – please describe:	
Have you identified potential occupants for each land use?	
■ Yes □ No	
Bosa Foods Store	
	Cana 6 100
	Score 6 /20

805

	Enforcement
EC5 (12 points possible	
Does the project retain industrial uses on site? ☐ Yes	 Architectural elements will be secured through the Development Permit.
	0
□ No	 Occupancy will be confirmed as a part of the Building Permit.
■ N/A	
If yes:	Staff comments
Will the zoning restrict a portion of the project to light industrial uses? (5 points ☐ Yes ☐ No	Applicant has marked N/A
Will the industrial use(s) be multi-level (E.g., mezzanine)? (up to 5 points ☐ Yes ☐ No	;)
Will the proposed tenants intensify the use of industrial space? (2 points ☐ Yes ☐ No	s)
What is the industrial floor space ratio (FSR)?	Score 0 /12
That is the madstrar hoor space ratio (Forty).	
EC6 (7 points possible	Enforcement
Do the sizes and configuration of retail units in the project support a variety of occupants, employment, and uses for those units?	 Architectural elements will be secured through the Development Permit.
■ Yes	Staff comments
□ No	applicant has removed some
□ N/A	choices that did not make sense for this application.
If yes, check all that will be incorporated: (up to 7 points)	
■ Ceiling heights of at least 12' (floor to ceiling, not floor to slab) (1 point)	this was removed "Variety of storefront widths, depths,
☐ Appropriate setbacks to allow for seating, patios, public art, and other streetscape features and amenities (1 point)	ventilation, and glazing treatments etc. to meet the
For corner developments, a corner retail storefront with wraparound glazing (1 point)	
	are adaptable to accommodate different signage types to support tenant's branding while maintaining neighbourhood
wraparound glazing (1 point) Uariety of storefront widths, depths, ventilation, and glazing	are adaptable to accommodate different signage types to support tenant's branding while maintaining neighbourhood character.
wraparound glazing (1 point) Variety of storefront widths, depths, ventilation, and glazing treatments etc. to meet the needs of different tenants (1 point) Exterior lighting is positioned and integrated to enhance	are adaptable to accommodate different signage types to support tenant's branding while maintaining neighbourhood character.

806

F07		Resources
EC7	(6 points possible)	Canadian Circular Economy
Does the project contribute to a circular economy?		
☐ Yes		Enforcement
■ No		- Architectural elements will be
If yes, check all the circular economy initiatives:	(up to 6 points)	secured through the Development Permit.
□ re-use of resources (deconstruction for re-use, material recycled/reclaimed materials, materials made from na		Waste related initiatives may be subject to securities.
□ local repair café	(2 points)	- Other elements may be secured
$\hfill \Box$ collaboration between local enterprises/industry	(2 points)	with a Section 219 Covenant.
$\hfill \square$ design for the future/design for deconstruction	(2 points)	Staff comments
☐ maker-space/tool library	(2 points)	
$\hfill\Box$ foster a sharing initiative (e.g., car share, bike share e	tc.) (2 points)	
☐ Other – please describe:	(up to 2 points)	
		Score 0 /6
		Score 0 /6 Enforcement
EC8 Is the project expected to contribute to the daytime econopopulation comprised of workers and students) and/or the economy of Port Moody (i.e. commercial activities in the restaurant, entertainment, sports, culture, shops, etc.)? Yes	e nighttime	
Is the project expected to contribute to the daytime econopopulation comprised of workers and students) and/or the economy of Port Moody (i.e. commercial activities in the restaurant, entertainment, sports, culture, shops, etc.)?	omy (i.e. daytime e nighttime	- Architectural elements will be secured through the Development Permit Elements related to occupancies will be confirmed
Is the project expected to contribute to the daytime econor population comprised of workers and students) and/or the economy of Port Moody (i.e. commercial activities in the restaurant, entertainment, sports, culture, shops, etc.)? Yes	omy (i.e. daytime e nighttime	- Architectural elements will be secured through the Development Permit Elements related to occupancies will be confirmed through the Building Permit.
Is the project expected to contribute to the daytime econor population comprised of workers and students) and/or the economy of Port Moody (i.e. commercial activities in the crestaurant, entertainment, sports, culture, shops, etc.)? Yes No If yes, check any of the following sectors that you may be targeting: Incorporate office, institutional or light industrial space Food and beverage establishment	omy (i.e. daytime e nighttime evening e.g., (up to 5 points) (5 points)	- Architectural elements will be secured through the Development Permit Elements related to occupancies will be confirmed through the Building Permit.

807

F00		Enforcement
EC9	(4 points possible)	- Architectural elements will be
Does the project provide a regional destination for con land uses?	nmercial or institutional	secured through the Development Permit.
■ Yes		- Elements related to
□ No		occupancies will be confirmed through the Building Permit.
If yes, please check all that apply:	(up to 4 points)	04-55
■ specialized training/education	(2 points)	Staff comments
□ specialized art	(2 points)	Applicant needs to address the selected choices. How does the
■ culture/heritage	(2 points)	development provide from your selection?
\square recreational opportunities (e.g., high performance tr	aining centre) (2 points)	Applicant has selected
■ Other – please describe:	(up to 2 points)	"Perhaps in the "other" category" (satisfactory)
-Bosa Foods may host events and cooking classes -The Food store provide specialty Italian products		
		Score 2 /4
EC10	(3 points possible)	Enforcement
EC10 Will the project attempt to source local (Port Moody) la materials?		
Will the project attempt to source local (Port Moody) la		- Architectural elements will be secured through the Development Permit. - Contractors will be confirmed
Will the project attempt to source local (Port Moody) la materials?		- Architectural elements will be secured through the Development Permit.
Will the project attempt to source local (Port Moody) la materials? ☐ Yes		- Architectural elements will be secured through the Development Permit. - Contractors will be confirmed
Will the project attempt to source local (Port Moody) la materials? ☐ Yes ■ No	bour, supply and	- Architectural elements will be secured through the Development Permit Contractors will be confirmed through the Building Permit.
Will the project attempt to source local (Port Moody) la materials? ☐ Yes ■ No If yes, check all that apply:	bour, supply and (up to 3 points)	- Architectural elements will be secured through the Development Permit Contractors will be confirmed through the Building Permit.
Will the project attempt to source local (Port Moody) la materials? ☐ Yes ■ No If yes, check all that apply: ☐ Local supply of materials	(up to 3 points)	- Architectural elements will be secured through the Development Permit Contractors will be confirmed through the Building Permit.
Will the project attempt to source local (Port Moody) la materials? ☐ Yes ■ No If yes, check all that apply: ☐ Local supply of materials ☐ Local labour	(up to 3 points) (1 point) (1 point)	- Architectural elements will be secured through the Development Permit Contractors will be confirmed through the Building Permit.
Will the project attempt to source local (Port Moody) la materials? ☐ Yes ■ No If yes, check all that apply: ☐ Local supply of materials ☐ Local labour ☐ Local contractors	(up to 3 points) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point)	- Architectural elements will be secured through the Development Permit Contractors will be confirmed through the Building Permit.
Will the project attempt to source local (Port Moody) la materials? Yes No If yes, check all that apply: Local supply of materials Local labour Local contractors Local professional services	(up to 3 points) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point)	- Architectural elements will be secured through the Development Permit Contractors will be confirmed through the Building Permit.

808 Attachment 11 **Enforcement EC11** (3 points possible) - Will depend on the type of innovation, determined by staff. Does your project include any innovative economic sustainability aspects not captured? Staff comments ☐ Yes Applicant has marked N/A ■ N/A If yes, please describe: (up to 3 points) Score 0/3 **Enforcement EC12** - N/A Does your project face any unique site constraints unique that limit economic sustainability achievement? Staff comments ☐ Yes ■ No If yes, please describe:

809

Attachment 11

Enforcement EC13 (3 points possible) - Highlighted in Council report Summarize the project's economic sustainability contributions including the performance criteria in this Report Card and additional elements not Staff comments previously captured here: The Bosa Foods project contributes to the economic sustainability of Port Moody in several ways. It creates connectivity to the existing active transportation network and eliminates barriers to access for active transportation, improving let-downs and accessibility. Additionally, it enhances trails and bike paths with the installation of the St. Johns Street multi-use path. The project also creates public amenity spaces, such as the rooftop garden and children's play area, and incorporates greenery and landscaping to serve pedestrians and direct patrons to commercial areas and transit. Wide sidewalks and separation from the road encourage and promote pedestrian movement, while seating, public art, and other amenities are incorporated into the design of the retail storefront area. Finally, the receiving and shipping areas are located off pedestrian routes from Queen Street. Overall, these contributions promote economic sustainability by creating a vibrant and accessible commercial and public space that benefits residents and visitors alike. Score 2 /3

Total Economic Sustainability Pillar Points = 38/93

810

Attachment 11

3. Environmental Sustainability

Natural Environment		
		Resources
EN1 (20 p	oints possible)	Tree Protection Bylaw
Does the project protect and enhance the urban forest, prioritize retention and planting of native or adaptive tree species which	provide multi-	I-tree Canopy
storey habitat (groundcover, shrubs and trees) to increase eco biodiversity, and resilience to climate change impacts?	logical value,	Canadian Landscape Standard
■ Yes		New canopy cover is calculated
□ No		based on the type of trees that are being planted, at 20 year maturity.
□ N/A		maturity.
If yes: Outline the following:		Large Canopy Trees provide 125 m² per tree (e.g., Douglas Fir, Deodor Cedar, Red Oak)
Number of existing significant mature trees protected on site		ii, beddor dedar, red dary
(i.e., mature trees over 40 cm DBH):	up to 5 points)	Medium Canopy Trees provide 50 m² per tree (e.g., Evergreen magnolia, Honey locust)
	up to 5 points)	Small Canopy Trees provide 25 m² per tree (e.g., Japanese maple, Giant Dogwood)
Replacement tree ratio: 1.57:1 (total), 1.65:1 (onsite) (Note: Native tree species are preferred for areas immediately adjacent to Environmentally Sensitive Areas) Trees planted on-site: 13 Trees planted off-site: 9		Sum Total Species Canopy Area for all proposed species and divide by gross site area to obtain mature canopy coverage percentage
		Enforcement
Cash-in-lieu: Existing canopy cover (%): 43%		Elements on Landscape Plans will be subject to securities.
Proposed canopy cover at 20 years post development (%): 40%	% (*)	- Tree Protection Covenants
If canopy cover is the s		may be applied.
If proposed canopy cover exceeds existing	= up to 5 points	Staff comments
Demonstrate ability of trees to reach full maturity. Check all that apply:	up to 5 points)	Applicant Changed to "Yes (*) 40% (Does not include offsite
Adequate soil volume as determined by the Canadian Landscape Standard	(2 points)	replanting)"
□ Designated space for significant trees/stand of trees to reach full maturity	(2 points)	Scoring for replacement trees and selected choices. Staff are providing contingent scoring on
■ Proximity to infrastructure (e.g., Building(s), power lines)	(1 point)	applicants ability to follow through with bottom selection
		Score 8 /20

811

		Resources
EN2 (15	points possible)	Matra Vanas
Does the site stormwater management plan provide adequat quality, volume and groundwater protection to address the reand municipal government requirements for future rainfall exclimate change?	elevant senior	Metro Vancouver's Stormwater Source Control Guidelines Climate Action Plan
■ Yes		The Chines Integrated Stormwater Management Report
□ No		(metrovancouver.org)
If yes:		DFO Land Development
Do the site conditions work to restore stormwater flows to be closer to pre-development historical conditions?	to (2 points)	Guidelines 2018 KWL IDF Curves for Climate Change
■ Yes		Enforcement Enforcement
□ No		Emorcement
Indicate which of these approaches the project will use:		 Submission of stormwater management plan that
A. <u>Nature-based Green Infrastructure solutions</u> Check all that apply:	(up to 9 points)	addresses the goals indicated will be required.
☐ Watercourse daylighting	(3 points)	- Elements on Landscape Plans
☐ Constructed wetlands	(3 points)	will be subject to securities.
☐ Rain gardens	(up to 3 points)	 Elements included on Civil Plans will be secured through
☐ Bioswales	(up to 3 points)	the Servicing Agreement.
■ Green roof/wall	(up to 3 points)	Staff comments
☐ Other – please describe:	(up 2 points)	
B. Engineered Green Infrastructure solutions Check all that apply: Rainwater harvesting Systems that support street trees (e.g., trenches, soil of structural soils, etc.) Roof downspout disconnection to Green Infrastructure	(1 point)	
	, , ,	
☐ Water quality structures	(1 point)	
■ Absorbent landscaping	(1 point)	
□ Other – please describe:	(up to 2 points)	
		Score 6 /15

812

EN3 (applicants choose A or B) (15	or 6 points possible)	Resources
A. Does the project protect, restore and/or compensate for site		Naturescape Policy 13-6410-03
ecology on-site?		Enforcement
☐ Yes		- Elements on Landscape Plans
■ No		will be subject to securities.
□ N/A (applicants choose A or B)		
If yes, check all that apply:	(up to 15 points)	Staff comments (A)
☐ Watercourse daylighting	(5 points)	
☐ Constructed wetlands (3 points)		
☐ No increase in existing impervious area	(4 points)	
Area (m²):		
☐ Riparian Area Restoration	(up to 3 points)	
☐ Aquatic restoration	(2 points)	
☐ Non-riparian forest restoration	(2 points)	
☐ Native/"naturescape" landscaping	(2 points)	
☐ Removal of invasive plant species from natural are	as (2 points)	
☐ Other biodiversity and habitat enhancement	(1 point)	
☐ Salvage replanting	(1 point)	
☐ Other – please describe:	(up to 3 points)	
		Staff comments (B)
		Applicant is encouraged to
OR		review selection and naturescape policy in
B. Does the project provide other biodiversity enhancem setting?	nent in an urban	combination with their application.
■ Yes		
□ No		
□ N/A (applicants choose A or B)		
If yes, check all that apply:	(up to 6 points)	
Other biodiversity and habitat enhancement	(1 point)	
Native/"naturescape" landscaping	(2 points)	
☐ Other – please describe:	(up to 3 points)	
		Score 3 /15 or 6

			Resources
EN4		(10 points possible)	
Is the prop (ESA)?	posed property located in an Environmenta	ally Sensitive Area	Official Community Plan Map 13: Environmentally Sensitive Areas and Appendix 2: Development
☐ Yes			Permit Area Guidelines
■ N/A			Enforcement
If yes: i. WI	hat is the designation of the ESA?		 Environmentally Sensitive Area DP, other means of protection are required as established in criteria ii.
ii Wi	hat are the means of ESA protection?	(up to 8 points)	Staff comments
	Dedication	(3 points)	Applicant has selected N/A
	Covenant	(1 point)	
	Monitoring	(up to 2 points)	
	Other – please explain:	(up to 2 points)	
iii. H	low is the ESA being improved?	(up to 2 points)	
			Score 0 /10

814

		Resources
EN5 (5 p	points possible)	Water meter Specifications
Does the project reduce potable water use from existing site of	onditions	Enforcement
and/or per capita? ■ Yes		Elements included on Civil Plans will be secured through the Servicing Agreement.
□ No		- Elements on Landscape Plans
If yes, check all that apply:	(up to 5 points)	will be subject to securities.
■ Drought tolerant landscaping (xeriscaping) with native speci	es (0.5 points)	 Low flow/flush and greywater systems will be confirmed
 Installation of a water meter display to show consumption for occupants more frequently than billing 	(0.5 points)	through the Building Permit. - Elements noted on Mechanical
 Non-water dependent materials/features for ground cover treatment 	(0.5 points)	Drawings will be confirmed through the Building Permit.
Irrigation system with central control, rain sensors, and drip irrigation on and off-site	(0.5 points)	Staff comments
☐ Captured rainwater irrigation or greywater system	(0.5 points)	Applicant will be expected to adhere to proposed selections. Scoring is conditional
☐ Other – please explain:	(up to 2 points)	Scoring is conditional
		Score 1 /5
		Resources
EN6 (5 p	ooints possible)	Green Shores
Is the project located along the Burrard Inlet foreshore or other by coastal flooding (e.g., sea level rise, coastal squeeze, etc.)?	-	Port Moody Zoning Bylaw Section 5.3.5
☐ Yes		Enforcement
■ N/A If yes, describe how the project will mitigate risks and impacts flooding events in current and future climate scenarios:	of coastal (up to 5 points)	Setbacks and minimum building elevation are confirmed through the Development Permit and Building Permit. Staff comments Applicant has selected N/A

815

		Resources
EN7	(5 points possible)	Brownfields
Does the project redevelop and rehabilitate a brownfield	ld site? (5 points)	
□ Yes		Contaminated Sites Regulations
■ N/A		Enforcement
		Proof of compliance with provincial contaminated sites regulations will be required.
		Staff comments
		Applicant has selected N/A
		Score 0 /5
ENO		Resources
EN8 Does the design of outdoor lighting incorporate technolograms harmful effects of light pollution?	(4 points possible) blogy to minimize the	International Dark Sky Association for Dark Sky Friendly Lighting
■ Yes		Enforcement
□ No		Lighting details will be
Check all that apply to ensure that lights are:	(up to 4 points)	Lighting details will be confirmed through the Building Permit and will be subject to
Only on when needed	(0.5 points)	securities through Landscape Plans.
Only light the area that needs it	(0.5 points)	
■ No brighter than necessary	(0.5 points)	Staff comments
Minimizes blue light emissions	(0.5 points)	elements will need to be
Fully shielded (pointing downward)	(0.5 points)	addressed at later stages.
■ LED lights	(0.5 points)	
■ Non-reflective pavement surface	(0.5 points)	
 Other – describe the lighting plan for the site and its dark sky friendly features: 	(up to 0.5 points)	

816

ENO		Resources
EN9 (3	points possible)	Vancouver Bird Strategy
Does the project provide bird-friendly development through landscaping features that provide habitat to native species and building design that		Enforcement
reduces bird collisions?	asign that	Enforcement
■ Yes		Elements included on Landscape Plans will be
□ No		subject to securities.
If yes, check all that apply:	(up to 3 points)	- Architectural elements will be
Building design minimizes the quantity of glass	(0.5 points)	secured through the Development Permit.
☐ Incorporation of visual markers	(0.5 points)	0. "
Incorporation of features to block reflections	(0.5 points)	Staff comments
Landscaping is appropriate distance from glass features	(0.5 points)	
■ Reduces light pollution	(0.5 points)	
Building design reduces trapping potential by ensuring op ventilation grates and drains are inaccessible to birds	en pipes, (0.5 points)	
Landscaping plan incorporates a diversity of native plants provide food options for birds throughout the year	that (0.5 points)	
Landscaping plan creates habitat complexity by including shrubs, understory, and canopy layers in a stepped patte		
☐ Other – please explain:	(0.5 points)	
		2 2/2
		Score 3 /3
EN10 (2	? points possible)	Resources
		Fire Smart Canada
Does the project include forest fire prevention measures or f building features?	ire smart	Enforcement
☐ Yes		Materials will be confirmed
■ No		through the Building Permit.
■ No		
If yes, list all features:	(up to 2 points)	Staff comments
	(up to 2 points)	Staff comments
	(up to 2 points)	Staff comments

817

EN11 (2 points possible	Resources
(=	Salmon Safe BC Certification
Is the project seeking third party environmental certifications (e.g., Salmon Safe BC certification)?	Enforcement
,	Linordement
■ No	 Certification will be confirmed through Section 219 Covenant.
□ N/A	an oagn occion z to octonana
	Staff comments
	Score 0 /2
Air Quality – Low Carbon Mobility	
EN40	Resources
EN12 (12 points possible	Port Moody Zoning Bylaw
Does the project provide sustainable transportation infrastructure for user	Port Moody Electric Vehicle
groups of each land use type, which contributes to reducing greenhouse gas emissions from this development beyond requirements in the Zoning Bylaw?	
■ Yes	Enforcement
□ No	Elements noted on Architectural Plans will be
If yes, check all that apply: (up to 12 points)	confirmed through the Development Permit and
☐ Unbundled and/or district parking (2 points)	Building Permit Plans.
■ Level 2 EVSE installed (as defined in technical bulletin) (2 points)	- Transit passes/unbundled &
☐ Public EV DC Fast Charging EVSE installed (2 points)	an eagir a decitor 210
☐ More than 20% of commercial EV charging infrastructure provided (1 point)	Covenant.
☐ Subsidized transit pass and transit information package (1 point)	 End of trip facilities/parking uses included on Architectural
 Micro e-charging is provided for a minimum of 10% of storage/parking spaces (e.g., e-bicycle, e-scooter) (1 point) 	Plans will be confirmed through
■ Energized EV Charging for visitor parking (1 point)	the Bevelopment Fermit.
☐ End of trip bicycle facilities (1 point)	
☐ Bike share and assigned parking (1 point)	
☐ Car share and assigned parking space provision in nearby	
on-street/public parking (1 point)	
□ Other – please describe: (up to 2 points)	
	Score 4 /12

Attachment 11

Considered at the July 23, 2024, Regular Council meeting

818

Resources **EN13** (11 points possible) Port Moody Master Does the project incorporate measures to support and increase active Transportation Plan transportation? **Enforcement** Yes - Elements included in Civil □ No Plans will be included in Servicing Agreement. If yes, check all that apply: (up to 11 points): - Elements included in ■ Connects to existing pedestrian/cycling routes and Architectural Plans will be priority destinations secured through Development (1 point) Permit. ■ Improved crossings of busy streets (1 point) Improved local pedestrian routes, local bike networks/trails (1 point) Staff comments ■ Safe, secure, accessible, and sustainable footpaths (1 point) Scoring is conditional. Applicant Pedestrian clearway sufficient to accommodate pedestrian flow (1 point) needs to address staff comments concerning the civil Covered outdoor waiting areas, overhangs, or awnings (1 point) drawings and traffic study. Once Pedestrian scale lighting (1 point) File manager is satisfied points will not be conditional Pedestrian/bike only zones (1 point) Improves connections to transit (bus/SkyTrain/ Provided by traffic study and West Coast Express) (1 point) civil ☐ Other – please describe: (up to 2 points) Score 9 /11

819

Greenn	ouse Gas Emissions and Energy Reductions	
		Resources
prioritizes water heat	(12 points poss roject provide a low carbon energy system (LCES), which on-site local energy systems that provide heating, cooling and ing? (Note: systems should meet a Coefficient of Performance of 2 amples include: solar; district energy; heat pump; or geo exchange.	Energy Step Code Corporate Policy hot or Vancouver low carbon energy
■ Yes		Refrigerants & Environmental Impacts: A Best Practice Guide - Integral Group
If yes:		Enforcement
Descril • Hea	ting mechanical system Up to 10 point Up to 5 point Description: In-suite heat pump and HRV combo unit Fuel source (e.g., electricity, renewable etc.): electricity water mechanical system (up to 5 point (up to 5 poi	ints) Energy Step Code Corporate Policy commitment and Building Permit. - Mechanical systems confirmed
_	Description: Centralized CO2 heat pump with electric back-up Fuel source (e.g., electricity, renewable etc.): electricity	Staff comments
Does the (GWP). Yes	ling mechanical system Description: In-suite heat pump and HRV combo unit Fuel source (e.g., electricity, renewable etc.): electricity ne system use refrigerants with low global warming potential?	had "How will the project mitigate refrigerant leakage?"
□ N/A		
=	es, check the low GWP system being installed: (up to 2 poi Centralized system (e.g., communal heat pump) using ammonia, R744, water, CO2, R1234ze, or R1234yf, R454b, R513a, R32, R410a, R407c, R134a (2 po Distributed system (e.g., VRF) using R32 or equivalent (2 po	ints)
	Individual system (e.g., split or individual heat pump) using R290 propane, R744, or R134a (2 po	
	Other (up to 2 po	ints)
Compa	act all in one in-suite heat pump with factory installed refrigerant e, will reduce the refrigerant pipe length installation. Units will be ed by a registered installer with the manufacturer of the system.	Score 12 /12

820

EN15 (11 points possil	ble) Life Cycle Assessment (LCA)
Vill the project include strategies to reduce lifecycle (embodied) greenhous pas emissions from the project and increase carbon sequestration? Note that projects should aim to have total embodied carbon emissions below 500 kgCO ₂ e/m²)	Practice Guide [Carbon Leadership Forum] CLF Embodied Carbon Policy Toolkit - Carbon Leadership Forum Icm-public-sector-guide.pdf
Yes	(gov.bc.ca)
□ No	Methodology to Calculate Embodied Carbon of Materials
f yes:	[RICS] (PDF)
Check all that apply: (up to 10 poin ☐ Tracking and reporting project embodied emissions ☐ Embodied emissions third-party certification: (1 point po	Assessment: Reference Building Structure and Strategies [ASCE]
List the certification:	Procurement of Renewable
■ Wood frame construction (2 point	ts) Energy [Architecture 2030] (PDF) Carbon Smart Materials Palette
Low carbon concrete construction (1 poi	[Architecture 2030]
□ materials sourced locally to reduce transportation emissions (1 poi	7 tiriona impaot Edimator
☐ labour sourced locally to reduce transportation emissions (1 poi	Calculator for Construction
☐ Selecting materials with environmental product declarations (1 poi	Activities Activities
☐ Low embodied emissions disposal of materials (1 poi	, <u>01001</u>
☐ Utilization of natural insulation products (1 poi	
☐ Targeting third party certification under ISO 14040, ISO 14044, and/or EN15978 (2 poin	<u>Tally</u>
☐ Commitment to reduce at least 40% of embodied emissions compared to project embodied emissions baseline: (2 point % reduction committed to:	calculator that is not listed above
■ Submission of pre- and post-construction lifecycle assessment (1 poi	Enforcement
☐ Low embodied emissions material selection policy (1 poi	
☐ Benchmarking embodied emissions performance (1 poi	through Section 219 Covenant.
☐ Other – please describe: (1 poi	nt)
	Staff comments
Please state the estimated embodied emissions of the project: (1 points) over the building's estimated lifespan: in kgCO ₂ e/m²: Provide the name of the calculator used to provide an estimate and/or name of the organization who provided the embodied emissions analysis	the
	Score 5 /11

821

		Resources
EN16 (1	0 points possible)	Built Green Canada
Will the project achieve a recognized industry standard for s	sustainable	LEED Canada
design? (Note that the City does not accept equivalencies and a	applicants must	Zero Carbon Building Standard
complete full certification)		Energy Star
☐ Yes		BOMA BEST
■ No		Canadian Passive House
□ N/A		Institute ILFI – Zero Carbon Certification
If yes, check all that apply:		
☐ Built Green Canada – certification level:	(10 points)	Enforcement
		 Commitment will be secured through Section 219 Covenant.
☐ LEED – certification level:	(10 points)	through Section 219 Covenant.
☐ Zero Carbon Building Standard	(10 points)	Staff comments
☐ Energy Star	(10 points)	
□ BOMA BEST	(10 points)	
☐ Canadian Passive House Institute	(10 points)	
☐ International Living Future Institute – Zero Carbon Certifi	cation (10 points)	
☐ Other – please describe:	(up to 10 points)	
		Score 0 /10
ENIAS		Resources
EN17	8 points possible)	Pacific Climate Impacts
Does the project include strategies to ensure buildings do n		Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files
		Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive
Does the project include strategies to ensure buildings do n		Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit
Does the project include strategies to ensure buildings do n future climate change scenarios?		Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit Enforcement
Does the project include strategies to ensure buildings do n future climate change scenarios? Yes		Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit
Does the project include strategies to ensure buildings do n future climate change scenarios? ■ Yes □ No	ot overheat in	Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit Enforcement - Elements included in Architectural Plans will be secured through the
Does the project include strategies to ensure buildings do n future climate change scenarios? ■ Yes □ No If yes, check all that apply:	ot overheat in (up to 8 points)	Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit Enforcement - Elements included in Architectural Plans will be
Does the project include strategies to ensure buildings do n future climate change scenarios? ■ Yes □ No If yes, check all that apply: ■ Natural/passive ventilation	ot overheat in (up to 8 points) (1 point)	Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit Enforcement - Elements included in Architectural Plans will be secured through the Development Permit and
Does the project include strategies to ensure buildings do n future climate change scenarios? ■ Yes □ No If yes, check all that apply: ■ Natural/passive ventilation □ Stacked windows □ Earth tempering ducting □ Passive evaporative cooling	(up to 8 points) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point)	Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit Enforcement - Elements included in Architectural Plans will be secured through the Development Permit and confirmed through the Building Permit.
Does the project include strategies to ensure buildings do not future climate change scenarios? ■ Yes □ No If yes, check all that apply: ■ Natural/passive ventilation □ Stacked windows □ Earth tempering ducting □ Passive evaporative cooling ■ Fixed/operable external shading	(up to 8 points) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point)	Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit Enforcement - Elements included in Architectural Plans will be secured through the Development Permit and confirmed through the Building
Does the project include strategies to ensure buildings do not future climate change scenarios? ■ Yes □ No If yes, check all that apply: ■ Natural/passive ventilation □ Stacked windows □ Earth tempering ducting □ Passive evaporative cooling ■ Fixed/operable external shading ■ Natural shading	(up to 8 points) (1 point)	Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit Enforcement - Elements included in Architectural Plans will be secured through the Development Permit and confirmed through the Building Permit.
Does the project include strategies to ensure buildings do not future climate change scenarios? Yes No If yes, check all that apply: Natural/passive ventilation Stacked windows Earth tempering ducting Passive evaporative cooling Fixed/operable external shading Natural shading Natural shading Low window to wall area ratio	(up to 8 points) (1 point)	Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit Enforcement - Elements included in Architectural Plans will be secured through the Development Permit and confirmed through the Building Permit.
Does the project include strategies to ensure buildings do not future climate change scenarios? ■ Yes □ No If yes, check all that apply: ■ Natural/passive ventilation □ Stacked windows □ Earth tempering ducting □ Passive evaporative cooling ■ Fixed/operable external shading ■ Natural shading ■ Natural shading ■ Low window to wall area ratio □ Thermal massing	(up to 8 points) (1 point)	Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit Enforcement - Elements included in Architectural Plans will be secured through the Development Permit and confirmed through the Building Permit.
Does the project include strategies to ensure buildings do no future climate change scenarios? Yes No If yes, check all that apply: Natural/passive ventilation Stacked windows Earth tempering ducting Passive evaporative cooling Fixed/operable external shading Natural shading Natural shading Low window to wall area ratio Thermal massing Building Energy Model using future climate weather files	(up to 8 points) (1 point)	Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit Enforcement - Elements included in Architectural Plans will be secured through the Development Permit and confirmed through the Building Permit.
Does the project include strategies to ensure buildings do not future climate change scenarios? Yes No If yes, check all that apply: Natural/passive ventilation Stacked windows Earth tempering ducting Passive evaporative cooling Fixed/operable external shading Natural shading Natural shading Low window to wall area ratio Thermal massing Building Energy Model using future climate weather files High-efficiency low carbon mechanical cooling	(up to 8 points) (1 point)	Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit Enforcement - Elements included in Architectural Plans will be secured through the Development Permit and confirmed through the Building Permit.
Does the project include strategies to ensure buildings do n future climate change scenarios? Yes No If yes, check all that apply: Natural/passive ventilation Stacked windows Earth tempering ducting Passive evaporative cooling Fixed/operable external shading Natural shading Natural shading Low window to wall area ratio Thermal massing Building Energy Model using future climate weather files High-efficiency low carbon mechanical cooling Other – please describe:	(up to 8 points) (1 point)	Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit Enforcement - Elements included in Architectural Plans will be secured through the Development Permit and confirmed through the Building Permit.
Does the project include strategies to ensure buildings do not future climate change scenarios? Yes No If yes, check all that apply: Natural/passive ventilation Stacked windows Earth tempering ducting Passive evaporative cooling Fixed/operable external shading Natural shading Natural shading Low window to wall area ratio Thermal massing Building Energy Model using future climate weather files High-efficiency low carbon mechanical cooling	(up to 8 points) (1 point)	Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit Enforcement - Elements included in Architectural Plans will be secured through the Development Permit and confirmed through the Building Permit.

822

EN140		Resources
EN18	(8 points possible)	Reducing Urban Heat Islands to
Does the project reduce the heat island effect on the site?		Protect Health in Canada
■ Yes		Enforcement
□ No		 Landscaped elements will be subject to securities.
If yes, check all that apply:	(up to 8 points)	- Elements included in
☐ Water features on site	(1 point)	Architectural Plans will be secured through the
■ Natural shade around the structures (trees, climbing plan	ts) (1 point)	Development Permit.
■ Increase canopy cover compared to existing canopy cover	er (1 point)	 Active transportation commitments will be confirmed
☐ Green infrastructure such as green roofs, rain gardens,		through the Servicing Agreement and Development
absorbent landscaping etc.	(1 point)	Permit as noted on Civil Plans
☐ Use of low-albedo materials	(1 point)	and other plans noted above.
☐ Reducing waste heat production through energy efficience and active transportation	ey (1 point)	Staff comments
☐ Other – please describe:	(up to 2 points)	
		Score 2 /8
		Resources
EN19	(6 points possible)	Building Bulow
Which Step of the Energy Step Code will the project be desi	igned	Building Bylaw BC Energy Step Code
to comply with?		Energy Step Code Corporate
Part 9		Policy
☐ Step 3	(0 points)	Enforcement
☐ Step 4	(2 points)	- Step Code commitment will be
□ Step 5 Part 3	(3 points)	confirmed through the Building Permit and a Section 219 Covenant.
□ Step 2	(0 points)	Covenant.
■ Step 3 (2 points for large residential, 3 points		Staff comments
☐ Step 4	(3 points)	
		Score 2 /6

823

	Resources
EN20 (2 points possible)	7
Does the project allocate sufficient and accessible recycling	Zoning Bylaw
and garbage storage space in multi-residential and commercial buildings	Metro Vancouver's Technical
and complexes compatible with Metro Vancouver's Technical	Specifications for Recycling and Garbage Amenities in Multi-
Specifications for Recycling and Garbage Amenities in Multi Family and Commercial Developments? (1 point)	family and Commercial Developments
■ Yes	
□ No	Bear Resistant Guidelines for Solid Waste, Organics, and
If yes, outline the space provided for each (m²):	Recycling Enclosures &
	<u>Containers</u>
Residential recycling: 12.68	Enforcement
Residential garbage: <u>(22.88-12.68)/2 = 5.1</u>	 Elements included on the
Residential green waste: <u>(22.88-12.68)/2 = 5.1</u>	Architectural Plans will be
Commercial recycling: 10	secured through the
Commercial garbage: 5	Development Permit.
Commercial green waste: 5	
	Staff comments
	Staff comments
Does the design of the waste area provide safe and universally accessible access in a secure common area? (1 point)	Staff comments
Does the design of the waste area provide safe and universally	Staff comments
Does the design of the waste area provide safe and universally accessible access in a secure common area? (1 point)	Staff comments
Does the design of the waste area provide safe and universally accessible access in a secure common area? (1 point) Yes	Staff comments
Does the design of the waste area provide safe and universally accessible access in a secure common area? (1 point) ■ Yes □ No	Staff comments
Does the design of the waste area provide safe and universally accessible access in a secure common area? (1 point) Yes No If yes – please explain:	Staff comments
Does the design of the waste area provide safe and universally accessible access in a secure common area? (1 point) Yes No If yes – please explain:	Staff comments
Does the design of the waste area provide safe and universally accessible access in a secure common area? (1 point) Yes No If yes – please explain:	Staff comments
Does the design of the waste area provide safe and universally accessible access in a secure common area? (1 point) Yes No If yes – please explain: Elevator and leveled access Does the design of the waste area align with the Bear Resistant Guidelines	Staff comments
Does the design of the waste area provide safe and universally accessible access in a secure common area? (1 point) Yes No If yes – please explain: Elevator and leveled access	Staff comments
Does the design of the waste area provide safe and universally accessible access in a secure common area? (1 point) Yes No If yes – please explain: Elevator and leveled access Does the design of the waste area align with the Bear Resistant Guidelines	
Does the design of the waste area provide safe and universally accessible access in a secure common area? (1 point) Yes No If yes – please explain: Elevator and leveled access Does the design of the waste area align with the Bear Resistant Guidelines for Solid Waste, Organics, and Recycling Enclosures & Containers?	Staff comments Score 2 /2

	, accommond
	Enforcement
EN21 (3 points possib	
Does your project include any innovative environmental sustainability aspects not captured?	 Will depend on the type of innovation, determined by staff.
■ Yes	Staff comments
□ N/A	
If yes, please describe:	
Waste heat reuse (from freezers), Heat recovery ventilators	
	Score 3 /3
	30016 3 13
	Score 13
EN22	Enforcement
EN22	Enforcement
Does your project face any unique site constraints unique that limit	
Does your project face any unique site constraints unique that limit environmental sustainability achievement?	Enforcement
Does your project face any unique site constraints unique that limit	Enforcement - Highlighted in Council reports.
Does your project face any unique site constraints unique that limit environmental sustainability achievement?	Enforcement - Highlighted in Council reports.
Does your project face any unique site constraints unique that limit environmental sustainability achievement? ☐ Yes	Enforcement - Highlighted in Council reports.
Does your project face any unique site constraints unique that limit environmental sustainability achievement? ☐ Yes ■ No	Enforcement - Highlighted in Council reports.
Does your project face any unique site constraints unique that limit environmental sustainability achievement? ☐ Yes ■ No	Enforcement - Highlighted in Council reports.
Does your project face any unique site constraints unique that limit environmental sustainability achievement? ☐ Yes ■ No	Enforcement - Highlighted in Council reports.

825

		Enforcement
EN23	(3 points possible)	- Highlighted in Council reports.
Summarize the project's environmental sustainability	_	
the performance criteria in this Report Card and addit	ional elements not	Staff comments
	794	Stair Comments
The project incorporates several environmental sustainal including a site stormwater management plan, biodiversi with native landscaping, and bird-friendly development the and building design. The outdoor lighting is designed to repollution and reduce bird collisions. The project also provide transportation infrastructure, including EV charging static active transportation. The low carbon energy system price energy systems for heating, cooling, and hot water heatin includes strategies to reduce lifecycle greenhouse gase elevated buildings do not overheat in future climate change scena heat island effect. Finally, the project will be designed to step code and utilize waste heat from the commercial retermination.	ty enhancements rough landscaping ninimize light rides sustainable ns and facilities for ritizes on-site local ng. The project also missions, ensure rios, and reduce the step 3 of the energy	Staff comments
		Score 3 /3
	otal Environmental Susta	inability Pillar Points = 75 /172

826

Attachment 11

4. Social Sustainability

04		Resources
S1	(30 points possible)	Community Amenity Contribution
Does the project provide voluntary amenities?		Policy
■ Yes		Enforcement
□ No		
□ N/A (select if making contribution to the City's amenity res	erve)	 Elements on Architectural Plans will be secured through Development Permit.
If yes:		Development Fermit.
Check all that apply: ☐ Community centre/facility ☐ Space for growing food ☐ Child play areas ☐ Gathering place/space ☐ Usable public park/greenspace ☐ Community facilities ☐ Arts and cultural facilities ☐ Streetscape and pedestrian improvements ☐ Accessible landscaped roof deck ☐ Dog runs/ dog wash station ☐ Work space ☐ Other – please describe: Bike wash station	(up to 15 points) (15 points) (3 points) (1 point) (1 points) (3 points) (3 points) (3 points) (2 points) (3 points) (2 points) (2 points) (2 points) (2 points) (2 points) (2 points)	 Elements on Landscape Plans will be subject to securities. Section 219 Covenant relating to childcare spaces and community + arts and cultural facilities will be required. Housing related amenities will be included in the Housing Agreement. Public Art Securities will be applied to any public art amenities. Parkland contributions to the City will be formalized through the subdivision and parkland dedication process.
Does the project provide dedicated space for childcar Yes No If yes, is the dedicated space for childcare being of a non-profit? Yes No OR Does the project contribute to the General Community An Reserve as per the Community Amenity Contribution Poli Yes No No N/A (select if amenities are provided on site)	perated by (5 points) nenity Contribution	Staff comments Applicant is encouraged to review City bylaws and definitions of selected choices here. Some are questionable
If yes, what is the \$ amount contributed:		Score 18 /30

827

		Resources
S2	(25 points possible)	Dest Manada Affandalda Harrian
Does the project provide new purpose-built market renta	_	Port Moody Affordable Housing Reserve Fund Policy
affordable rental housing or contributes to the city's afformation of affordable housing?	ordable housing	
·		Interim Affordable Housing Guidelines
Check all that apply:		
☐ 5% shelter rate housing	(up to 25 points)	Community Amenity Contribution Policy
☐ 15% Affordable rental housing	(up to 15 points)	Enforcement
■ 20% Purpose-built market rental housing	(up to 5 points)	
☐ 15% Rent to own	(up to 2 points)	 Commitments will be secured through Housing Agreement
☐ Affordable housing fund contribution	(1 point)	and Community Amenity Contribution collection process.
□ None	(0 points)	
If none, describe other measures or amenities provided in lie affordable housing:	eu of provision of	Staff comments
If purpose-built/affordable rental, provide the following info	ormation:	
Types (e.g., purpose-built or affordable): Purpose-built		
	1B: 12 2B: 7 2B	
Description (bedroom number breakdown): 8-Studio; 21-1B; 12-2B; 7-3B		
% of total housing units: <u>100</u>		
If financial contribution, what is the total amount of dollars Affordable Housing Reserve Fund?	_	
Does this amount exceed the \$2/sqft requirement? ☐ Yes	(1 point)	
□ No		Score 5 /25

828

		Resources
S3 (23	points possible)	
Does the project provide accessible residential unit(s) and accessible project design features for multi-residential developments beyond City		BC Building Code Accessibility Handbook
requirements?		Enforcement
■ Yes		Architectural elements will be
□ No		secured through the Development Permit and Building Permit.
If yes, check all the residential unit feature options: (Note: adaptable and accessible units are as defined in the BC Bu	(up to 21 points) illding Code)	Staff comments
☐ 60% of single storey residential units are adaptable units	(1 point)	
\square 70% of single storey residential units are adaptable units	(1 point)	
☐ 80% of single storey residential units are adaptable units	(1 point)	
☐ 90% of single storey residential units are adaptable units	(1 point)	
☐ 100% of single storey residential units are adaptable units	(1 point)	
☐ 10% of single storey residential units are accessible units	(2 points)	
☐ 20% of single storey residential units are accessible units	(2 points)	
☐ 30% of single storey residential units are accessible units	(2 points)	
☐ 40% of single storey residential units are accessible units	(2 points)	
☐ 50% of single storey residential units are accessible units	(2 points)	
☐ 60% of single storey residential units are accessible units	(2 points)	
☐ 70% of single storey residential units are accessible units	(2 points)	
☐ 80% of single storey residential units are accessible units	(2 points)	
☐ 90% of single storey residential units are accessible units	(2 points)	
☐ 100% of single storey residential units are accessible units	(2 points)	
Project incorporates adaptable and accessible design featur in the site/building circulation and bathrooms in all other use		
If no, list any additional accessible features provided that are	not already	
required by the BC Building Code:	(up to 2 points)	
Examples include:		
Accessible amenity features		
Number of elevators exceeds Building Code requirement		
Automated door opening		
		Score 1 /23

Allacillient i i
Enforcement
Linit to up a public and a supple
 Unit types will be secured through the Housing Agreement.
Staff comments
Score 10 /10
Resources
Interim affordable housing Policy
Enforcement
- Commitment will be secured
through the Housing Agreement.
Staff comments

830

830	Attachment 11
22	Resources
\$6 (10 points possible)	Age Friendly Plan
Does the project support aging in place? (Refer to Age Friendly Plan recommendations) (i.e., adult care, assisted living space, independent senior living space)	Fraser Health Family Guide to Services for Seniors
□ Yes	Enforcement
■ No	- Elements on Architectural Plans will be secured through
□ N/A	the Development Permit.
If yes, list all the supports for aging in place: (up to 10 points) Note: this criterion does not include adaptable and/or accessible units.	Elements on Landscape Plans will be subject to securities.
	Staff comments
	Score 0 /10
_	Enforcement
S7 Does the development include a mix of housing types? ☐ Yes ■ No If yes, list the number of units per housing type: (up to 9 points) • Live-work units:	 Elements on Architectural Plans will be secured through Development Permit and Building Permit. Rental units will be secured through the Housing Agreement. Staff comments
	Score 0 /9

831

		Resources
S8 (7 point	ts possible)	Guidance for Cleaner Air Spaces
Will the project ensure occupants have clean, cool air during times		During Wildfire Smoke Events
air quality and/or wildfire events beyond Building Code requiremen	nts?	Guide to Air Cleaners in the
■ Yes		<u>Home</u>
□ No		Enforcement
If yes, check all that apply: (up	to 7 points)	Commitment will be secured through Building Permit.
■ Improved mechanical ventilation		through Bullang Formit.
(e.g., proper commissioning, increase outdoor air intake)	(1 point)	Staff comments
■ Improved air filtration (e.g., HEPA particulate air filtration)	(1 point)	
■ Airtightness better than ACH of 2	(1 point)	Applicant was provided scoring earlier for provided selection.
■ No indoor combustion appliances (e.g., gas stove or fireplace)	(1 point)	However, staff cannot find supporting documentation that
■ Homeowner/occupant health and safety information	(1 point)	supports choices here. this scoring is/ was contingent on
□ Other – please describe: (up	to 2 points)	ability to provide elements. Scoring will be lost moving forward if nothing is available
		Score 5 /7

832

22		Resources
S9	(7 points possible)	Strengthening Neighbourhood
Does the design of the project help to facilitate mental hea	lth, wellness and	Resilience
social connectedness?		Enforcement
Yes		- Elements on Architectural
□ No		Plans will be secured through
f yes:		the Development Permit.
Check all that apply:	(up to 7 points)	- Elements on Landscape Plans
■ Semi-public gathering space with comfortable seating	(1 point)	will be subject to securities.
■ All weather recreation areas/wellness space	(1 point)	 Pet friendly units will be secured through a Section 219
☐ Pet friendly units / amenities (e.g., dog run)	(1 point)	Covenant.
■ Greenspace that facilitates socialization	(1 point)	Staff comments
■ Prioritizing pedestrians	(1 point)	"All weather recreation
■ Creative design to promote social interaction	(1 point)	areas/wellness space" is
☐ Other – please describe:	(up to 2 points)	questionable.
Reference to plans (e.g., landscape plans/architectural	plans, etc.)	Applicant states"Rooftop amenity and greenhouse provides indoor and outdoor sheltered area." as all weather. Staff disagree
		Score 4 /7

833

	Resources
S10 (6 points possible)	A Guide to Community
Does the project provide urban vitalization by involving land owner and	Revitalization
occupants, community groups, and end user groups who may be affected by the proposal in the planning process to identify and showcase Port Moody's	Enforcement
unique assets (i.e. goes above and beyond standard notification and consultation)?	 Summary of community engagement will be required.
Example: Host a community-building workshop with the neighbourhood at the time of a project's inception to determine values and identify unique assets to leverage through design	Staff comments
□ Yes	
■ No	
If yes:	
List all the stakeholders and their involvement: (1 point)	
Identify actions taken in response to stakeholder input (up to 5 points)	
	Score 0 /6

834

S11	(5 points possible)	City of Venezuwar Bulletin
Does the project provide or designate spaces for growing common areas including on-site secure composting to supactivities?	food in private or	City of Vancouver Bulletin: Sustainable Large Development (PDF)
■ Yes		Applies to large developments (2+ Acres).
□ No		Enforcement
□ N/A		- Elements on Architectural
If yes:		Plans will be secured through
Check all that apply:	(up to 5 points)	Development Permit.
■ Community garden	(2 points)	 Elements on Landscape Plans will be subject to securities.
☐ Secure on-site community compost	(2 point)	·
■ Secure features		Staff comments
(e.g., fencing to prevent wildlife access, tool storage etc		Applicant needs to clarify
■ Other – please describe:	(up to 2 points)	selected elements. Scoring is conditional
 Indoor rooftop greenhouse Tool storage and access is secured on the rooftop Every unit also have private balconies for growing foo 	d/gardening	
		Score 5 /5
040		Score 5 /5 Enforcement
S12 Will the project undertake any of the following analysis?	(3 points possible)	Enforcement - Acoustic analysis identified as
Will the project undertake any of the following analysis?		Enforcement Acoustic analysis identified as a Development Permit
	(3 points possible) (1 point) (1 point)	Enforcement - Acoustic analysis identified as
Will the project undertake any of the following analysis? ■ Acoustic analysis	(1 point)	- Acoustic analysis identified as a Development Permit application requirement, as appropriate to the project
Will the project undertake any of the following analysis? ■ Acoustic analysis □ Thermal comfort analysis	(1 point) (1 point)	- Acoustic analysis identified as a Development Permit application requirement, as appropriate to the project location. - Requirement for Thermal Comfort Analysis would be identified through the rezoning
Will the project undertake any of the following analysis? ■ Acoustic analysis □ Thermal comfort analysis	(1 point) (1 point)	- Acoustic analysis identified as a Development Permit application requirement, as appropriate to the project location. - Requirement for Thermal Comfort Analysis would be identified through the rezoning process.

	Attachment
0.40	Resources
S13 (3 points possible)	CPTED
Does the design of the site prevent crime through crime prevention through environmental design principles (CPTED)?	Enforcement
□ Yes	- A CPTED analysis is required
■ No	for submission.
If yes, describe the crime prevention design measures: (up to 3 points)	 Elements on Architectural Plans will be secured through the Development Permit.
	Elements on Landscape Plans will be subject to securities.
	Staff comments
	Score 0 /3
844	Score 0 /3 Resources
S14 (3 points possible)	
Will the project allow for pet friendly rental units?	Resources
Will the project allow for pet friendly rental units? ■ Yes □ No If yes, describe how the project will allow for pet friendly rental units and any other pet friendly details of the project	Resources Pets OK BC
Will the project allow for pet friendly rental units? ■ Yes □ No If yes, describe how the project will allow for pet friendly rental	Resources Pets OK BC Enforcement - A Section 219 Covenant related to no prohibition on pet rental for strata developments
Will the project allow for pet friendly rental units? ■ Yes □ No If yes, describe how the project will allow for pet friendly rental units and any other pet friendly details of the project	Resources Pets OK BC Enforcement - A Section 219 Covenant related to no prohibition on pet rental for strata developments will be required.

836

	Enforcement
S15 (2 points possible)	
Does the development provide diversification by increasing the mix of uses for the particular site and its neighbourhood?	 Elements on Architectural Plans will be secured through Development Permit.
■ Yes	
□ No	Staff comments
If yes, describe the proposed uses: (up to 2 points for 3 uses)	
Residential % total floorspace/site area: 189%	
Commercial % total floorspace/site area: 49%	
Industrial % total floorspace/site area: 0	
Institutional % total floorspace/site area: 0	
Park (note type) % total floorspace/site area:	
0	Score 1 /2
Gathering space % total floorspace/site area: 44%	
040	Enforcement
S16 (2 points possible)	Elements included in Civil
Climate change is expected to bring more frequent and intense weather that	Plans will be secured through
may result in increased and prolonged power outages. Will this project be designed to provide occupants basic needs amid prolonged power outage	the Servicing Agreement.
and extreme weather? (e.g., back-up power supply, energy independent features)	- Energy and mechanical
□ Yes	systems will be confirmed through the Building Permit.
■ No	
If yes, list all measures: (up to 2 points)	Staff comments
	Score 0 /2

837

	Enforcement
S17 (2 points possible) Does the project provide education and awareness of the sustainable features of the project for owners/occupants?	Written commitment from applicant detailing education and awareness.
Examples include:	- Common property features are
 Document is given to new owners at time of sale, covenant on title, inclusion/protection of features in strata bylaws 	required to be included in Strata Bylaws.
Signage/display/art recognizing design, etc.	Staff comments
■ Yes	Applicant needs to explain how
□ No	in the text form field to earn scoring
If yes, list all the education and awareness initiatives: (up to 2 points)	
-ĀĀĀ ĀĀ Āwiments will be given to new owners which shows the sustainable systems been used on this project	
	Score 0 /2
	Enforcement
C10	- A shadow/viewscape study is
S18 (2 points possible)	
Is the project design adapted to minimize shadow or privacy impacts to and from adjacent buildings? (1 point)	required through the Development Permit.
Is the project design adapted to minimize shadow or privacy	
Is the project design adapted to minimize shadow or privacy impacts to and from adjacent buildings? (1 point)	Development Permit. Staff comments
Is the project design adapted to minimize shadow or privacy impacts to and from adjacent buildings? (1 point)	Development Permit.
Is the project design adapted to minimize shadow or privacy impacts to and from adjacent buildings? (1 point) Yes No	Development Permit. Staff comments Shadow study is available in
Is the project design adapted to minimize shadow or privacy impacts to and from adjacent buildings? (1 point) ■ Yes □ No	Development Permit. Staff comments Shadow study is available in
Is the project design adapted to minimize shadow or privacy impacts to and from adjacent buildings? (1 point) Yes No	Development Permit. Staff comments Shadow study is available in
Is the project design adapted to minimize shadow or privacy impacts to and from adjacent buildings? Yes No N/A AND / OR Does the project design integrate the results of a viewscape	Development Permit. Staff comments Shadow study is available in
Is the project design adapted to minimize shadow or privacy impacts to and from adjacent buildings? Yes No N/A AND / OR Does the project design integrate the results of a viewscape study with respect to water and mountain views? (1 point)	Development Permit. Staff comments Shadow study is available in

838

Attachment 11 **Enforcement S19** (3 points possible) Will depend on the type of Does your project include any innovative social sustainability aspects not innovation, determined by staff. captured? Staff comments ☐ Yes ■ N/A Applicant has selected N/A If yes, please describe: (up to 3 points) Score 0 /3 **Enforcement S20** - N/A Does your project face any unique site constraints that limit social sustainability achievement? **Staff comments** ☐ Yes ■ No If yes, please explain:

S21	(3 points possible)	Enforcement			
Summarize the project's social sustainability contributions including the performance criteria in this Report Card and additional elements not previously captured here:		Highlighted in Council reports.			
		Staff comments			
This project contributes to social sustainability in multiple woluntary amenities such as space for growing food, child pathering space, streetscape and pedestrian improvements accessible landscaped roof deck. Additionally, it provides 1 built market rental housing, including adaptable and access features in the site/building circulation and bathrooms in all project offers a range of unit sizes for various household ty 25% of the total project units being 2 and 3-bedroom units, the total project units being 3-bedrooms or more. The proje beyond the Building Code requirements to ensure that occool air during times of poor air quality and/or wildfire event the project aims to facilitate mental health, wellness, and sconnectedness, with semi-public gathering spaces, all-wea areas/wellness space, green spaces that facilitate socializa pedestrians, and creative design to promote social interaction project provides or designates spaces for growing food in c such as a community garden with secure features like fencistorage, to support gardening activities.	lay areas, s, and an 200% new purpose- ible design other uses. The coes, with at least and at least 5% of ct also goes upants have clean, s. The design of coial ther recreation tion, prioritization of consumers, the common areas,	Score 2 /3			
	Total Social Sustai	nability Pillar Points = 64 /165			

840

Attachment 11

Glossary of Terms

Accessible housing: Housing designed and constructed to be universally accessible to people of diverse ages and abilities.

Adaptable unit: A dwelling unit that provides flexible design features that meet BC Building Code minimum requirements; it can be adapted to meet the changing needs of any occupant for reasons of disability, lack of stamina, and progressing through different life stages to support independent living.

Accessible housing/unit: Housing with fixed design features to enable independent living for persons with disabilities, such as those in wheelchairs.

ACH: Air changes per hour.

Affordable market housing: Housing that is affordable to moderate income households achieved through tenure, location, reduced parking, modesty in unit size, level of finishing, and design and durability over time as the buildings age.

Beautification: The process of making visual improvements appropriate to a specific place, including but not limited to building facades, landscaping, decorative or historic-style street elements, selection of paving/fencing materials and their treatment, etc. Improvements contribute to Port Moody's reputation as City of the Arts in a sustainable manner.

BC Energy Step Code: BC Energy Step Code is a voluntary provincial roadmap that establishes progressive performance targets (i.e., steps) that support market transformation from the current energy-efficiency requirements in the BC Building Code to net zero energy ready buildings.

Brownfield: A term used in urban planning to describe land previously used for industrial purposes or some commercial uses where the expansion, redevelopment, or reuse of the property may be complicated by the potential presence of a hazardous substance, pollutant, or contaminant.

Car/Bike share network: Arrangements between two or more persons to share the use of a vehicle or bicycle for a specified cost and period of time.

Character-defining elements: The materials, forms, location, spatial configurations, uses, and cultural associations or meanings that contribute to the heritage value of a historic place, which must be retained to preserve its heritage value.

Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED): The design and effective use of the built environment to reduce the incidence of crime and improve the quality of life.

Coastal Flooding: A flood is a general and temporary inundation of normally dry land areas. When a coastal process—such as waves, tides, storm surge, or heavy rainfall from coastal storms—produces that flood, it is called a coastal flood. Coastal areas, like all areas, can also flood from high rainfall or overflowing streams.

Coefficient of Performance (COP): Is a ratio of useful heating or cooling provided to work (energy) required. Higher COPs equate to higher efficiency, lower energy (power) consumption and thus lower operating costs.

District energy systems: A system that uses renewable energy to pipe energy to buildings within a specified area for space heating, hot water, and air conditioning.

Ecological inventory: An inventory that identifies the ecological values in a natural habitat and is usually the first step in an environmental impact assessment.

Electric vehicle (EV): An automobile that uses one or more electric motors or traction motors for propulsion. An electric vehicle may be powered through a collector system by electricity from off-vehicle sources or may be self-contained with a battery or generator to convert fuel to electricity.

841

Attachment 11

Embodied greenhouse gas emissions: Embodied emissions refers to the greenhouse gas emissions created in various phases of a building or piece of infrastructure's full life cycle (e.g., material extraction, manufacturing, construction, maintenance, and end of life/disposal).

Environmentally Sensitive Areas: Land designated as areas that need special protection because of its environmental attributes, such as rare ecosystems, habitats for species at risk and areas that are easily disturbed by human activities. Refer to Map 13 of OCP.

Greenfield: Undeveloped land in a city or rural area either used for agriculture or landscape design or left to evolve naturally. These areas of land are usually agricultural, or amenity properties being considered for urban development.

Greyfield: Economically obsolescent, out-dated, declining, and/or underutilized land, often with the presence of abundant surface parking.

Greywater: Wastewater from lavatories, showers, sinks, and washing machines that do not contain food wastes and that can be reused for purposes such as irrigation or flushing toilets.

Habitat corridor: Habitat areas, generally consisting of native vegetation, linking with larger areas of similar wildlife habitat. Corridors are critical for the maintenance of ecological processes, providing food, and allowing for the movement of animals and the continuation of viable populations.

Heat island effect: Heat islands form as vegetation is replaced by hard surfaces to accommodate growing populations. These surfaces absorb, rather than reflect, the sun's heat, causing surface temperatures and overall ambient temperatures to rise.

Heritage rehabilitation: The action or process of making possible a continuing or compatible contemporary use of a historic place through repair, alterations, and/or additions while protecting its heritage value.

Heritage restoration: Returning a historic place back to how it looked at any time in its past.

Invasive plant species: An invasive plant is a non-native species whose interaction causes economic harm, harm to human health, and/or environmental harm.

Light pollution: Brightening of the night sky caused by streetlights and other man-made sources, which has a disruptive effect on natural cycles and inhibits the observation of stars and planets.

Market rental housing: market rent is the rent that an apartment, without rent or income restrictions or rent subsidies, would command in the open market considering its location, features, and amenities.

Naturescape planting: Landscaping with species that are naturally adapted to local climate, soils, predators, pollinators, and disease and, once established, require minimal maintenance.

Non-human companion: Means any domestic or feral pet under the care, custody, or ownership of a person.

Non-market rental housing: Subsidized rental housing for those unable to pay market-level rents including, but not limited to, public housing owned and operated by government agencies, non-profit housing owned and operated by public and private non-profit groups, and co-operative housing owned and managed by co-operative associations of the residents.

On-site power generation: The ability to generate power without transporting it from its source to where it can be utilized.

On-site renewable energy generation: The generation of naturally replenished sources of energy, such as solar, wind power, falling water, and geothermal energy.

842

Attachment 11

Lock-off suites: Lock-off units are self-contained units which are smaller than the principal dwelling unit. Each unit must have direct access to a hallway, corridor or the outside, and a shared internal door which can be locked enabling both units to be independent.

Low albedo: Albedo is the measure of the reflectivity of a material. A high albedo means it reflects a lot of light and a low albedo means it absorbs a lot of light.

Low Carbon Energy System: Low carbon energy systems ("LCES") supply heat energy primarily derived from highly efficient and renewable sources in order to provide space heating and conditioned ventilation air for buildings seeking to achieve low greenhouse gas emissions. These systems may also provide domestic hot water and cooling service.

Passive design: An approach to building design that uses the building architecture to minimize energy consumption and improve thermal comfort.

Public space: A social space that is generally open and accessible to people.

R-2000-Certified New Home: Best-in-class, energy-efficient homes with even higher levels of energy efficiency than ENERGY STAR-qualified new homes, as well as clean air and environmental features.

Sea Level Rise: Sea level rise is an increase in the level of the world's oceans due to the effects of global warming.

Sequestered carbon: a natural or artificial process by which carbon dioxide is removed from the atmosphere and stored.

Smart technology: Technologies that allow sensors, databases, and/or wireless access to collaboratively sense, adapt to, and provide for users within the environment.

Statement of significance: The first essential step in any conservation project, which involves identifying and describing the character-defining elements; it is important in defining the overall heritage value of the historic place. Refer to the Standards and Guidelines for the Conservation of Historic Places in Canada (see Resources glossary).

Streetscape: The visual elements of a street, including the road, adjoining buildings, sidewalks, street furniture, trees, and open spaces that combine to form the street's character.

Storm water management plan: The management of water occurring as a result of development or precipitation that flows over the surface into a sewer system.

Transit oriented development (TOD): A mixed-use residential and commercial area designed to maximize access to public transportation; it often incorporates features to encourage transit ridership. A TOD neighbourhood typically has a centre with a transit station or stop (train station, metro station, tram stop, or bus stop), surrounded by relatively high-density development with progressively lower-density development spreading outward from the centre. TODs generally are located within a radius of 400 to 800 metres from a transit stop, as this is considered to be an appropriate distance for walkability.

Triangulation: Triangulation is spatial design that gives people a reason to be in the space. In order to promote public interaction, common areas should contain amenities people don't have in their private residences such as a splash pad or play structure with triangular configuration in mind.

Universal access: This term refers to broad-spectrum ideas meant to produce buildings, products, and environments that are inherently accessible to both people without disabilities and people with disabilities.

Urban infill: An urban planning term that refers to new development that is sited on vacant or undeveloped land within an existing community, and that is enclosed by other types of development.

Urban forest: The total collection of trees and associated plants growing in a city or town. It includes trees in parks and yards, along roadways and paths, and in other areas, both on public and private lands.

843

Attachment 11

Urban vitalization: The urban planning process of rehabilitating a place or "taking a place to a higher level" using a community-building process (early stage community involvement) to define the key characteristics that make a place unique or special; and applying the concepts of urban conservation to leverage a community's assets, most often in accordance with approved City plans.

Viewscape: The natural and built environment that is visible from a viewing point.

Walkability: The extent to which the built environment is friendly to the presence of people living, shopping, visiting, enjoying, or spending time in an area; improvements in walkability lead to health, economic, and environmental benefits.

Xeriscaping: Refers to landscaping and gardening in ways that reduce or eliminate the need for supplemental water from irrigation. Xeriscaping refers to a method of landscape design that minimizes water use.






846 Attachment 12 2012 DOUG TAYLOR

As metaphor, I chose to represent the vascular anatomy of the leaf for it's potency as a renewable energy system of incredible efficiency. Clearly the ability to photosynthesize water, sunlight and CO2 into stored carbon energy while expiring oxygen to balance the biosphere is a process that enables our survival. The leaf is a biological converter of immense capacity. It feeds us ,it keeps us warm and puts gas in our tank!

https://vimeo.com/417304009

Attachment 12




These sails catch the breeze and rotate the turbine. I have been testing a model of *Air Leaf Mobile* for the past 4 years. In strong winds the sails self govern by rotating 360 degrees...they perform a delightful dance in the breeze.

https://vimeo.com/245775671





, , ,



Attachment 13



City of Port Moody

Bylaw No. 3472

A Bylaw to close a portion of Queens Street.

WHEREAS pursuant to s. 40 of the *Community Charter*, Council may adopt a bylaw to close a portion of a highway to traffic and remove its dedication as highway;

AND WHEREAS notice of adoption of this Bylaw has been published in accordance with City of Port Moody Public Notice Bylaw, 2023, No. 3430 prior to adoption and Council has provided an opportunity for persons who consider they are affected to make presentations to Council;

NOW THEREFORE, the Council of the City of Port Moody enacts as follows:

1. Citation

1.1 This Bylaw may be cited as "City of Port Moody Road Closure Bylaw – Portion of Queens Street, 2024, No. 3472".

2. Legal Description

2.1 That the 216.9m² area shown in heavy black outline and identified as Parcel A on Reference Plan EPP139218, a copy of which is attached hereto as Schedule "A" and forms a part of this Bylaw, is hereby stopped up and closed to traffic of all kinds and the dedication as highway is removed.

3. Authorization

3.1 The Mayor and Corporate Officer are hereby authorized to execute all documentation necessary to give effect to the provisions of this Bylaw, including the plan attached hereto.

4. Attachments and Schedules

- 4.1 The following schedules are attached to and form part of this Bylaw:
 - Schedule A Reference Plan EPP139218.

5. Severability

5.1 If a portion of this Bylaw is found invalid by a court, it will be severed, and the remainder of the Bylaw will remain in effect.


EDMS#636948

851	Attachment 13
Read a first time this day of, 2024.	
Read a second time this day of, 2024.	
Read a third time this day of, 2024.	
Adopted this day of, 2024.	
M. Lahti	S. Lam
Mayor	City Clerk
I hereby certify that the above is a true copy of Byla	aw No. 3472 of the City of Port Moody.

S. Lam City Clerk

Attachment 13

Schedule A to Bylaw No. 3472 Reference Plan EPP139218





Attachment 14
100 Newport Drive, Port Moody, B.C., V3H 5C3, Canada
Tel 604.469.4500 Fax 604.469.4550

www.portmoody.ca

2331-2335 St. Johns St. Development Application

UPDATE 1: Public input received on Engage Port Moody from August 8, 2023 to June 26, 2024

This encompasses the period from the day the project launched on engage.portmoody.ca to the day the report was pulled for Council input. Comments are presented verbatim, including typos and grammatical errors. Profane or abusive language, or personally identifying information has been removed where indicated by "[omitted]".


Engagement highlights

Highlights of project engagement to date:

- 21 engaged participants contributed to one or more feedback tools
- 134 informed participants visited multiple project pages, contributed to a tool, or downloaded documents
- 341 aware visitors viewed this project page

What is your overall feedback on this development application?

	Support	Oppose	Mixed
From launch until second reading: Aug 8, 2023 to June 26, 2024	14	2	5



854

Attachment 14

Comments 1 through 13 were included in the first public engagement summary shared with Council at early input

Comments

- 1. Fully support this development
- 2. Looks Great and a retail food store is needed for this area.
- 3. This proposal should be approved as is. It meets the long term vision of OCP and suspect the new OCP will have higher density/storeys on St. John's street. The City should consider changing St. Andrews street to one way westbound direction. This would discourage the rat runners that use it when traffic is backed up on St. John's and encourage residents of this development to use future light at elgin. This section of St. Andrew is currently very dangerous for two way traffic due to blind hill and parked cars.
- 4. Overall I am in favour of this development application. There are a few things I would like to point out:
 - 1) How has the developer and/or the city evaluated how this may impact the traffic flow along St. Andrews Street, in particular from Kyle Street? The street goes through a parking lot for the PoMo Arts Center/Kyle Center and increased traffic will make this area unsafe. Even with the current traffic volume, it can be a bit sketchy walking from the parking lot to the Arts Center. Albeit, this is only during certain times of the day (such as when a class or camp is about to begin or end) it increases the risk for patrons.
 - 2) Accessibility concerns
 - a) The report shows that there will be 2 disability stalls for the residential building and 1 disability stall for the retail space. Is this enough? With an aging community, there will be more individuals with the needs for disability stalls. Will you be able to add more after the fact? How will this be addressed?
 - b) The concept drawings of the building from St.Johns' street looks amazing. I understand that there will be stairs so that people can access the store from the street level. Will there be a slope for those with mobility issues or with strollers? Or are these individuals expected to get into the store from the parking lot from which there is direct store access (I understand that it is easy to access the parking lot from the store with a shopping buggy so I am assuming it is accessible). If there is no slope, and individuals with mobility scooters/wheelchairs/strollers are supposed to use the parking lot entrance, is this area going to get busy or congested with shoppers and cars? Will it be safe for them? It just doesn't seem as convenient for them and thus seems like they are an after thought rather being completely inclusive and barrier free for them.

Thank you for taking the time to read this.

5. Love the idea of Bosa moving in and a few cafes what I don't want to see are Canibus stores nor SRO housing I am ok with this provided the structures are not higher than six stories i worry with all this development mountain views will be gone

To add has anyone considered things like an already too busy hospital? With all this development happening with this new council have you considered the fact we already have hospital doctor shortages?

855

Attachment 14

- ABSOLUTELY NO WAY SHOULD THIS GO FORWARD, WE ARE EXPLODING AT THE SEAMS AS IT IS. FIX THE INFRASTRUCTURE FIRST!
- 7. This proposal is exactly the kind of development needed in downtown Port Moody. It addresses the housing shortage in the region by building homes. Moreover, it is walking distance from Moody Centre Station, and adds more retail to the area, allowing people to live car-free or carlight, which is an essential response to the current climate emergency. Including bicycle parking in the proposal is a nice feature.
- 8. Good addition to this neighbourhood as it needs a grocery store in vicinity. Also like the rental apartments aspect of this development.
- 9. This area desperately needs a greengrocer, not another boutique drygoods shop that will compete with local european and latin markets. There are many people in this area who need to drive to buy fresh fruit and vegetables, and the inclusion of a greengrocer would make a big positive impact on the area's status as a "fresh food desert".
- 10. Have you done the math? It already takes 45 minutes to get across from the barnet to the fire station in traffic jams every single day.

Most people drive to work, and hoping people might one day take the train is ridiculous. They all drive to get groceries and other activities. The traffic is already insane.

Please don't make us have to move out of Port Moody because the traffic is worse here than in Toronto.

- 11. I love the plan and I think it will make Port Moody more lively and attractive.
- 12. This area is on a busy road near the Skytrain and higher density makes sense here. I would rather higher density here than on the shireline
- 13. Very much need the grocery store dislike the cinder block style slender towers better

Comments 14 through 21 have been received since the first public engagement summary was shared with Council at early input

- 14. I think this is a great idea commercial space for example grocery / food store etc in this building. Port Moody is down to one real grocery store Thrifty's Very disappointed IGA has moved out
- 15. This looks great. Appropriately sized in the neighbourhood with a much needed ammenity.
- 16. I think it is an excellent application.

The use is perfect - retail on ground floor and apartments above.

Architecture is nice. Symmetry and balance is appreciated, will improve the streetscape a lot.

Well done!

856

- 17. Great to have a grocery store in an area where there has not been previously and greatly needs...however...we do NOT need a specialty shop....We need a proper grocery store where people can WALK to for all grocery needs.
- 18. I do not think this proposal meets the goals for affordable housing. I like the building design and the mixed-use with commercial on the bottom. However, there are no below-market units included in this building. That is not appropriate and does not fit with what the community needs. Also, the proposed 400 sq ft studios are inappropriately small, that is not a real living space. They have tried to cram too many units into the building.
- 19. Looks good! Big fan of mixed use development. We need more affordable / non-market housing, though. Also the parking space is excessive.
- 20. I think that this proposal adds value to the community because it provides access to groceries for people in this area of St. Johns. I also think that it enriches the cultural community by having an ethnic grocery store that focuses on real, fresh foods and not junk food. I like the look or the building. More parking would be my only tweak to this proposal.
- 21. The area/community desperately needs a grocery store.