695



City of Port Moody Report/Recommendation to Council

Date:July 23, 2024Submitted by:Community Development Department – Development Planning DivisionSubject:OCP Amendment and Rezoning – 2331-2335 St. Johns Street (Cornerstone
Architecture) – Bylaw Nos. 3471, 3470, and 3472

Purpose

To present for Council consideration the first and second readings of an application for OCP Amendment and Rezoning to facilitate a six-storey mixed-use development with a rooftop garden within 2231-2335 St. Johns Street (File: ORD00006).

Recommended Resolution(s)

THAT City of Port Moody Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2014, No. 2955, Amendment Bylaw No. 38, 2024, No. 3471 (2331-2335 St. Johns Street and a 5.5-metre-wide portion of Queens Street right-of-way) be read a first and second time as recommended in the report dated July 23, 2024, from the Community Development Department – Development Planning Division regarding OCP Amendment and Rezoning – 2331-2335 St. Johns Street (Cornerstone Architecture) – Bylaw Nos. 3471, 3470, and 3472;

AND THAT City of Port Moody Zoning Bylaw, 2018, No. 2937, Amendment Bylaw No. 97, 2024, No. 3470 (2331-2335 St. Johns Street and a 5.5-metre-wide portion of Queens Street right-of-way) (CD97) be read a first and second time;

AND THAT Bylaw No. 3470 and Bylaw No. 3471 be referred to Public Hearing;

AND THAT City of Port Moody Road Closure Bylaw – Portion of Queens Street, 2024, No. 3472 be read a first, second, and third time.

Background

Cornerstone Architecture (On behalf of Benedet Properties Ltd.) submitted a full application in July 2023 to amend the Official Community Plan (OCP) land use and storey designations and to rezone the subject properties at 2331-2335 St. Johns Street to a new Comprehensive Development (CD) zone to allow for the development of a mixed-used project.

The application was presented to the Land Use Committee (LUC) on September 7, 2023. The LUC endorsed the proposed project with a list of concerns for the applicant to address. These concerns included the sufficiency of commercial parking allocations, access, egress, turning radii designs, the need for traffic lights at St. Johns Street intersection, consideration of e-bike

696

parking, and more information about pedestrian safety at the intersection between the planned multi-use pathway and the stairs.

The application was also presented to the Advisory Design Panel (ADP) on October 26, 2023. The ADP endorsed it, subject to the applicant addressing several items. Some major items included incorporating heritage features and the neighbourhood character, using more vibrant materials or feature colours in the residential massing, and integrating green infrastructure along the streets. Additionally, they recommended investigating the inclusion of native, pollinatorfriendly plant species and bird-friendly features on building glass.

In addition, the application was presented to the Council members at the City Initiatives and Planning Committee (CIPC) on November 21, 2023. The discussion included intersection signalizing, St. Andrews Street lane width, traffic and pedestrian flow, family-friendly and rental inclusions, green roofing, architectural design of the upper floors, sustainability inclusions, and a inclusion of fresh produce in the grocery component. Detailed LUC and CIPC meeting minutes are included as **Attachment 1** and **2**. The applicant has made efforts to address above concerns, as well as those provided by staff, in the most recent application resubmission package.

Discussion

Property Description

The subject site consists of two lots at the southwest corner of St. Johns Street and Queens Street plus 5.5-metre-wide portion of City land within the Queens Street right-of-way. The location map is included as **Attachment 3**. The site is approximately 1,839m² (19,800ft²) in size and currently developed with four strata units on the western lot (2331 St. Johns Street) and a Thai restaurant on the eastern lot (2335 St. Johns Street). Neither property has heritage value, although the neighbouring lot on the west side is a protected heritage property (White Residence at 2329 St. Johns Street).

Official Community Plan (OCP)

The eastern subject lot (2335 St. Johns Street) is designated Mixed Use – Moody Centre in the Official Community Plan, allowing for a maximum height of four storeys, while the western subject lot (2331 St. Johns Street) is designated Multi-Family Residential, which permits up to a maximum of three storeys (**Attachment 4**). The proposal is not consistent with these designations and, therefore, requires an amendment to the OCP Bylaw.

The site falls within Development Permit Area 2 (DPA2) – Moody Centre and both lots are within the Moody Centre Heritage Conservation Area (HCA). The site is also located within Development Permit Area 5: Hazardous Conditions due to steep slopes and the potential of soil liquefaction during an earthquake event. Draft of Bylaw No. 3471 is included as **Attachment 5**.

<u>Zoning</u>

In terms of Zoning designations, the eastern lot of the subject site is currently zoned as General Commercial (C3), while the western one is Medium Density Townhouse Residential (RM4) (**Attachment 6**). Since the application does not fit with the designation requirements and regulations, the applicant has requested creation of a Comprehensive Development (CD) zone for this development. Draft of Bylaw No. 3470 is included as **Attachment 7**.

Neighbourhood Context

The subject site fronts onto St. Johns Street and backs onto St. Andrews Street. Surrounding development consists of the following:

697

- North: A property zoned as General Commercial (C3) that is designated as Mixed Use Moody Centre, and to its west, a Comprehensive Development Zone 14 (CD14) designated as Multi-Family Residential, permitting development of up to three storeys in accordance with the OCP.
- East: The lot on the east is currently a Shell Gas Station and is zoned Service Station Commercial (C4) in the OCP, allowing for the development of up to four storeys.
- South: Single-Detached Residential (RS1) lots, designated in the OCP as Single-Family Low Density.
- West: A protected heritage property zoned as Medium Density Townhouse Residential (RM4).

Development Proposal Description

The applicant seeks to rezone the subject site to a Comprehensive Development (CD) zone to construct a six-storey mixed-use commercial and residential building and a rooftop garden amenity space on top with enclosed and open space. The first two levels of the building would contain a specialty food store and a parkade for commercial use on the same ground level with a mezzanine and portion of residential parking stalls at the rear on the next floor. Above these levels, there would be a residential parkade and four residential floors proposed to be 100% market rental apartments. Due to the sloping nature of the site, the lowest commercial level facing St. Johns Street is considered to be a "basement" by Zoning Bylaw definition. St. Andrews Street is a full level above St. Johns Street. Additional specifics regarding the proposal are outlined as follows:

- Total gross floor area: 5,206m² (56,033.5ft²) spread across the following uses:
 - 885m² (9,525ft²) of commercial space over two floors fronting onto St. Johns Street.
 - 3,473m² (37,379ft²) of residential space over four upper floors with 48 market rental apartments, including the following unit mix:
 Unit Type

Unit Type	Number of Units	Percentage of Mix
Studio	8	17%
One-Bedroom	21	44%
Two-Bedroom	12	25%
Three-Bedroom	7	14%

- 50% adaptable dwellings (24 units out of the total 48) meeting Zoning Bylaw requirement for Adaptable Housing Requirements.
- A proposed floor space ratio (FSR) of 2.63.
- A total of 81 parking spaces with the following allocation:
 - 53 residential parking spaces located at the rear of the mezzanine level and parkade level on top of it for the 48 units with entry accessed from St. Andrews Street (48 is required);
 - o 23 commercial parking spaces accessed through Queens Street (22 is required);
 - \circ 5 visitor parking spaces for the residential units; and
 - o 2 accessible parking for residential and 1 for commercial area.
- 73 long term bicycle spaces and 6 short term stalls for residential portion.
- 1 long term bicycle spaces and 6 short term stalls for commercial portion.

698

- A patio area of approximately 113.4m² (1,221ft²) on the St. Johns Street right of way on the south side of the multi-use path.
- A total of 496.6m² (5,346ft²) rooftop garden and greenhouse space in the form of both indoor and outdoor areas (excluding roof circulation) as a communal amenity for the residents.
- Committed to comply with Energy Step Code 3 with a Low Carbon Energy System (LCES).

Proposed project plans and landscape plans are included as **Attachment 8** and **9**. A project Fact Sheet is provided as **Attachment 10**.

Staff Analysis – Items for Staff Consideration/Review

Housing

With the residential component of the project being entirely market rental, the development is exempt from the requirements of the Inclusionary Zoning – Affordable Housing policy. A housing agreement bylaw is required for the market rental component of the development.

Family Friendly Units Policy

The proposed bedroom mix for this project meets the current policy, updated on June 18, 2024.

Sustainability Report Card

The completed and scored Sustainability Report Card for the development proposal is included as **Attachment 11**. The development received the highest scores in the economic and environmental sustainability pillars.

Public Art Policy

According to the Public Art Corporate Policy 18-7940-01, amended on September 19, 2017, developer contributions are not required for developments exclusively for rental housing. However, the applicant is proposing to contribute 0.5% of the commercial components' construction budget, approximately \$20,240, for a single art piece. The artwork is planned to be hung over the public space under the canopies at the northeast corner, as in **Attachment 12**.

Amenity Spaces/Community Gathering Spaces

The project features a rooftop garden as an amenity space with indoor and outdoor sheltered areas, providing spaces for exercise, relaxation, and community meeting or recreational activities for residents. Additionally, the application proposes a 128.76 m² (1386 ft²) outdoor kids' play area on the first residential level.

Patio Area

Staff support the proposed patio area on the City property along St. Johns, south of the Multi-Use Path (MUP), considering the potentials for improving the vibrancy and activity along St. Johns Street. Since the applicant has indicated that this area is intended for the exclusive use by Bosa Foods, with discretionary use by the public, staff suggest considering either a Lease or a License of Occupation with an appropriate annual fee. This arrangement would retain the City's authority to request the removal or modification of the patio whenever needed in the future. The final decision will be made with the Development Permit and will be registered on title as part of the permit.

Overhang/weather protection

The applicant proposes a roof overhang/weather protection at the corner of the St. Johns Street and Queens Street for the entrance area of the Bosa Foods store, which encroaches on city

699

property. An Encroachment Covenant is required which will be identified in the Development Permit requirements, and it will be registered on the building title for this development.

Queens Street Road Closure

This project requires a 5.5-metre-width portion of the Queens Street right-of-way, totalling approximately 216.9m² (2,334.6ft²) in size, to be closed, purchased by the owner, and consolidated with the development site. If the development is approved, the owner has committed to purchasing this portion of Queens Street at market value. Based on the proposed uses of the development, the market value of the closure area is \$930,000, as assessed in the most recent appraisal report. A draft road closure bylaw, Bylaw No. 3472, is included as **Attachment 13**.

Concluding Comments

Overall, the project is well-developed, and staff are supportive of the application as proposed. It provides new commercial opportunities and increases the amount of market rental housing stock in the city. Additionally, it shows potential for enhancement along St. Johns Street with the patio, support for other adjacent public places, and encouraging more vibrancy through greater community presence.

Other Option(s)

THAT City of Port Moody Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2014, No. 2955, Amendment Bylaw No. 38, 2024, No. 3471 (2331-2335 St. Johns Street and a 5.5-metre-wide portion of Queens Street right-of-way) and City of Port Moody Zoning Bylaw, 2018, No. 2937, Amendment Bylaw No. 97, 2024, No. 3470 (2331-2335 St. Johns Street and a 5.5-metre-wide portion of Queens Street right-of-way) (CD97) be read a first time;

AND THAT the applicant address the following issues prior to second reading and referral to a Public Hearing:

• (list concerns).

Financial Implications

Community Amenity Contributions (CACs)

The exact CAC amount will be established at the development permit stage once the final residential floor area is confirmed and will be collected prior to the adoption of the rezoning bylaw. Based on the proposed development, the contribution is estimated to be approximately \$161,627.34, including deduction based on eligible credits for the existing residential floor area.

Public Art Contribution

The applicant is proposing to contribute 0.5% of the project's construction budget for the commercial component in the form of an artwork, with the approximate budget of \$20,240.

Off-Site Improvement Contributions

The development committed to provide a full traffic and pedestrian crosswalk signal at the intersection of St. Johns and Queens Street as required.

Road Closure

The report has been presented to the Council meeting to discuss the sale of the 5.5-metre-wide portion of Queens Street on the basis that the proposed floor area and land uses are distributed as if the road were a portion of the site for density calculation purposes. As part of this development, the applicant would be required to purchase this portion of Queens Street,

700

contingent upon the rezoning being approved by Council. The assessed value in the most recent appraisal report is \$930,000.00.

Communications and Public Engagement Initiatives

A notification sign informing the public of the development application has been placed on the subject site in accordance with the City of Port Moody Development Approval Procedures Bylaw, 2023, No. 3417. Should the rezoning application be given first and second readings, the public will have an opportunity to comment at the Public Hearing. The public hearing will be noticed by following at least two methods mentioned in the above bylaw and a decal placed on the application notification sign on the subject property.

Prior to adoption of the road closure bylaw, notice of Council's intention to dispose of the road must be given, providing the public with an opportunity to comment on the disposition in accordance with sections 26(3) and 40 of the *Community Charter*.

Developer Consultation

In addition, a Community Information Meeting was held by the applicant on Wednesday, August 30, 2023, from 4-7pm at the POMOARTS center, 2425 St. Johns Street with 30-40 individuals walked through the door. Total 22 comment sheets were submitted at the meeting, with 16 fully supporting, 5 supporting with comments and 1 supporting Bosa Foods but only 2 floors of rental part.

Engage Port Moody

The most recent Engage Port Moody summary report indicates a total of 21 comments were received up to June 26, 2024. Of those, 14 were supportive, 2 were opposed and 5 had a mixed reaction to the proposal. Verbatim comments are included in the summary (**Attachment 14**).

Council Strategic Plan Goals

The recommendations in this report align with the following Council Strategic Plan Goal(s):

- Strategic Goal 4.1 Improve the local business climate; and
- Strategic Goal 4.3 Leverage public spaces.

Attachment(s)

- 1. LUC meeting minutes.
- 2. CIPC meeting minutes.
- 3. Location map.
- 4. OCP Designation.
- 5. Draft Bylaw No. 3471 (OCP Amendment).
- 6. Zoning Designation.
- 7. Draft Bylaw No. 3470 (Rezoning).
- 8. Project plans.
- 9. Landscape plans.
- 10. Project Fact Sheet.
- 11. Scored Sustainability Report Card.
- 12. Public Art Concept.
- 13. Draft Bylaw No. 3472 (Road Closure).
- 14. Engage Port Moody Summary Report.

701

Report Author Armita Afsahi Development Planner

702

Report Approval Details

Document Title:	OCP Amendment and Rezoning – 2331-2335 St. Johns Street (Cornerstone Architecture) – Bylaw Nos. 3471, 3470, and 3472.docx
Attachments:	 Attachment 1 - LUC meeting minutes.pdf Attachment 2 - CIPC meeting minutes.pdf Attachment 3 - Location Map - 2331-2335 St. Johns Street.pdf Attachment 4 - OCP Designation - 2331-2335 St. Johns Street.pdf Attachment 5 - Draft Bylaw No. 3471 (OCP Amendment) - 2331- 2335 St. Johns Street.pdf Attachment 6 - Zoning Designation - 2331-2335 St. Johns Street.pdf Attachment 7 - Draft Bylaw No. 3470 (Rezoning) - 2331-2335 St. Johns Street.pdf Attachment 8 - Project Plans - 2331-2335 St. Johns Street.pdf Attachment 8 - Project Plans - 2331-2335 St. Johns Street.pdf Attachment 9 - Landscape plans - 2331-2335 St. Johns Street.pdf Attachment 10 - Project Fact Sheet - 2331-2335 St. Johns Street.pdf Attachment 11 - Scored Sustainability Report Card - 2331-2335 St. Johns Street.pdf Attachment 12 - Public Art Concept - 2331-2335 St. Johns Street.pdf Attachment 13 - Draft Bylaw No. 3472 (Road Closure) - 2331- 2335 St. Johns Street.pdf Attachment 14 - Engage Port Moody Summary Report.pdf
Final Approval Date:	Jul 16, 2024

This report and all of its attachments were approved and signed as outlined below:

Tracey Takahashi, Deputy Corporate Officer - Jul 11, 2024

Kate Zanon, General Manager of Community Development - Jul 12, 2024

Stephanie Lam, City Clerk and Manager of Legislative Services - Jul 12, 2024

Lindsay Todd, Manager of Communications and Engagement - Jul 12, 2024

Paul Rockwood, General Manager of Finance and Technology - Jul 12, 2024

Anna Mathewson, City Manager - Jul 16, 2024

Considered at the July 23, 2024, Regular Council meeting

703

Attachment 1

		City of Port Moody Minutes Land Use Committee	
		Minutes of the meeting of the Land Use Committee held on Thursday, September 7, 2023, via Zoom.	
Present		Councillor Kyla Knowles, Chair Alistair Henry Barbara Junker Jonathan Leighs Jeffery McLellan Bill Parneta Jessica Wakeling Stirling Ward (arrived at 7:09pm) Sarah Wellman	
Absent		Councillor Callan Morrison, Vice-Chair Jillian Eaton (Regrets) Amanda Welsh (Regrets)	
In Attendance		Armita Afsahi – Development Planner Jennifer Mills – Legislative Services Advisor Michael Olubiyi – Manager of Development Planning Wesley Woo – Assistant Manager of Planning	
	1.	Call to Order	
		The Chair called the meeting to order at 7:00pm and welcomed new Panel member, Jessica Wakeling.	
	2.	Territorial Land Acknowledgement	
		The Chair provided the territorial land acknowledgement.	
	3.	Adoption of the Agenda	
Agenda	3.1	<u>LUC23/013</u> Moved, seconded, and CARRIED THAT the agenda of the September 7, 2023, Land Use Committee be adopted as circulated.	

704

Attachment 1

	4.	Adoption of Minutes
Minutes	4.1	<u>LUC23/014</u> Moved, seconded, and CARRIED THAT the minutes of the Land Use Committee meeting held on July 6, 2023, be adopted.
	5.	Unfinished Business
	6.	New Business
LUC – OCP Amendment and Rezoning (Mixed Use Commercial and	6.1	Presentation: Development Planning Division Report: Community Development Department – Development Planning Division, dated September 7, 2023
Residential) – 2331-2335 St. Johns Street (Cornerstone		Staff gave a presentation entitled "2331-2335 St. Johns Street" and referred to slides contained in the on-table package.
Architecture)		Stirling Ward entered the meeting at this point.
		Committee discussion ensued regarding the following topics:
		 concerns about access and egress points and the need for a traffic light;
		 concerns about turning radii and loading areas in the parkade;
		 support for the unit mix, market rentals, patio, greenhouse on roof, rental units, adaptable units, and Bosa Foods;
		 concerns regarding the design and safety of the stairs located on the corner and the plaza extending into the future multi-use path;
		 opportunity to re-align Queens Street and install a traffic light, prior to building completion;
		 opportunity to improve overall safety through directing traffic back to Kyle Street by revising St. Andrews Street to a dead end, fire access only, or resident only street; concerns regarding the existing limited parking on St. Johns Street and the proposed shared residential and commercial parking; opportunity to include more two-bedroom units in the unit mix; concerns that the commercial parking allocation may not
		be adequate as Bosa Foods is a specialty grocer that will attract visitors from outside of Port Moody who will most likely travel in a vehicle;

705

Attachment 1

- opportunity to implement a green wave system to synchronize traffic lights and facilitate smoother functioning of traffic; and
- opportunity for secure commercial bike parking areas to encourage micromobility.

Staff noted the following in response to Committee member questions:

- the need for a traffic light has been raised by staff and supported at public information meetings, and is being considered to ensure the intersection operates safely and efficiently;
- the applicant has been asked to provide more details about the shared parking plan, including security coverage;
- the developer would typically be responsible for the installation and construction of a traffic light if warranted; and
- the residential and commercial parking are separate and have two different entrances.

LUC23/015

Moved, seconded, and CARRIED

THAT the Land Use Committee endorse the proposed project as presented in the report dated September 7, 2023, regarding LUC – OCP Amendment and Rezoning (Mixed Use Commercial and Residential) – 2331-2335 St. Johns Street (Cornerstone Architecture), subject to the applicant addressing the following specific items:

- the sufficiency of the current commercial parking allocation;
- the sufficiency of the access, egress, and turning radii designs;
- the need for a traffic light at the St. Johns Street intersection, prior to project completion;
- the consideration of e-bike parking;
- the need for review and information about the intersection between the planned multi-use pathway and the stairs, including information about pedestrian safety.

7. Information

Staff Updates

7.1 There were no staff updates at this time.

706

Attachment 1

8. Adjournment

The Chair adjourned the meeting at 7:57pm.

Councillor Kyla Knowles, Chair

Jennifer Mills, Legislative Services Advisor

Considered at the July 23, 2024, Regular Council meeting

707

Attachment 2



City of Port Moody

Minutes

City Initiatives and Planning Committee

Tuesday, November 21, 2023 5:05 pm Electronic Webinar via Zoom

Present:	Councillor S. Agtarap, Chairperson Mayor M. Lahti Councillor D. Dilworth Councillor K. Knowles Councillor A. Lubik Councillor H. Lurbiecki Councillor C. Morrison
In Attendance:	Tim Savoie – City Manager Mary De Paoli – Manager of Policy Planning Tyson Ganske – Manager of Financial Planning and Deputy CFO Stephanie Lam – City Clerk and Manager of Legislative Services Anna Mathewson – General Manager of Community Services Jeff Moi – General Manager of Engineering and Operations Angie Parnell – General Manager of Corporate Services Julie Pavey-Tomlinson – Director of Environment and Parks Paul Rockwood – General Manager of Finance and Technology Adam Shroff – Legislative Services Coordinator Marta Taylor – Manager of Corporate Planning Wesley Woo – Assistant Manager of Planning

1. Call to Order

Chairperson Agtarap called the meeting to order at 5:05pm.

2. Adoption of the Agenda

2.1 Agenda

<u>CIPC23/048</u>

THAT the agenda of the November 21, 2023, City Initiatives and Planning Committee meeting be adopted as circulated.

CARRIED

708

Attachment 2

3. Adoption of Minutes

3.1 Minutes

<u>CIPC23/049</u>

THAT the minutes of the City Initiatives and Planning Committee meeting of October 17, 2023, be adopted.

CARRIED

4. New Business

4.1 Early Input – OCP Amendment, Rezoning, and Development Permit – 2331-2335 St. Johns Street (Cornerstone Architecture)

Report: Community Development Department - Development Planning Division

Staff gave a presentation entitled "2331-2335 St. Johns Street – OCP Amendment, Rezoning, and Development Permit – Early Input" and referred to slides contained within the on-table package.

Staff answered questions regarding the following topics:

- intersection signalling;
- St. Andrews Street lane width; and
- traffic and pedestrian flow.

Discussion ensued regarding the following topics:

- the need for fresh produce;
- the family-friendly and rental inclusions;
- the green roof;
- the architectural design of the upper floors; and
- the sustainability inclusions.

CIPC23/050

THAT staff and the applicant consider the comments provided during the City Initiatives and Planning Committee meeting held on November 21, 2023, regarding the development application presented in the report dated November 21, 2023, from the Community Development Department – Development Planning Division regarding Early Input – OCP Amendment, Rezoning, and Development Permit – 2331-2335 St. Johns Street (Cornerstone Architecture).

CARRIED

709

Attachment 2

4.2 Early Input – OCP Amendment and Rezoning – 60 Williams Street and 3006-3020 Spring Street (PCI Developments)

Report: Community Development Department – Development Planning Division

Amended by resolution <u>CIPC24/002</u>

tion Councillor Agtarap declared a conflict of interest due to *potential business* interests in the subject property the proximity of their business to the subject-area and left the meeting at this point.

Councillor Dilworth assumed the role of Presiding Member at this point.

Staff gave a presentation entitled "60 Williams Street and 3006-3020 Spring Street" and referred to slides contained within the on-table package.

Staff answered questions regarding the following topics:

- the building height in storeys;
- the new OCP and framework in relation to this approval process;
- the location and time restrictions of access to the overpass, and the future impact to developments;
- the density transfer and inclusion in the current site's calculations;
- the City's affordability requirements for rental buildings; and
- the Floor-Area Ratio in relation to other developments in the City.

Discussion ensued regarding the following topics:

- the inclusion of additional native plants and vegetation;
- affordability, below-market, and family-friendly units;
- parking inclusion;
- traffic impacts;
- architecture and the spacing of the buildings;
- the importance of careful design to accommodate density;
- unit mix;
- district energy systems;
- implementation of the overpass;
- consultation with property owners in the area; and
- housing in high-transit infrastructure areas.

<u>CIPC23/051</u>

THAT staff and the applicant consider the comments provided during the City Initiatives and Planning Committee meeting held on November 21, 2023, regarding the development application presented in the report dated November 21, 2023, from the Community Development Department – Development Planning Division regarding Early Input – OCP Amendment and Rezoning – 60 Williams Street and 3006-3020 Spring Street (PCI Developments).

CARRIED

CIPC23/052

THAT staff report back on a revised inclusionary zoning policy that includes 100% rental buildings and review exceptions towards meeting the city's affordable housing needs.

CARRIED

710

Attachment 2

5. Rise and Report

5.1 Referral of City Initiatives and Planning Committee Resolutions

Councillor Agtarap returned to the meeting at this point and resumed the role of Presiding Member.

CIPC23/053

THAT the recommendations approved at the City Initiatives and Planning Committee meeting of November 21, 2023, be referred to the Council Standing Committee meeting of November 21, 2023, for ratification.

CARRIED

6. Adjournment

Chairperson Agtarap adjourned the meeting at 6:23pm.

Certified correct on the <u>20th</u> day of <u>February</u>, 2024, in accordance with section 148(a) of the *Community Charter*.

Councillo 8. Agtarap, Chairperson


S. Lam, City Clerk

Considered at the July 23, 2024, Regular Council meeting



712

Attachment 4



713

Attachment 5



City of Port Moody

Bylaw No. 3471

A Bylaw to amend City of Port Moody Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2014, No. 2955 to change the land use designation on a portion of the subject site at 2331 St. Johns Street from Multi-Family Residential to Mixed Use – Moody Centre and to change the proposed number of storeys for the subject properties at 2331-2335 St. Johns Street and a 5.5-metre-wide portion of Queens Street right-of-way from three (3) and four (4) to six (6) storeys.

The Council of the City of Port Moody enacts as follows:

1. Citation

 This Bylaw may be cited as "City of Port Moody Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2014, No. 2955, Amendment Bylaw No. 38, 2024, No. 3471 (2331-2335 St. Johns Street and a 5.5-metre-wide portion of Queens Street right-of-way)".

2. Amendments

2.1 The Official Community Plan Overall Land Use Plan – Map 1 in Schedule "A" of City of Port Moody Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2014, No. 2955 is amended as indicated on Schedule A of this Bylaw, to change the land use designation of the following properties from Multi-Family Residential to Mixed Use – Moody Centre:

> Strata Lot 1 District Lot 202 Group 1 New Westminster District Strata Plan NW282 Together With An Interest In The Common Property In Proportion To The Unit Entitlement Of The Strata Lot As Shown On Form 1 PID: 001-257-692

> Strata Lot 2 District Lot 202 Group 1 New Westminster District Strata Plan NW282 Together With An Interest In The Common Property In Proportion To The Unit Entitlement Of The Strata Lot As Shown On Form 1 PID: 000-765-040

> Strata Lot 3 District Lot 202 Group 1 New Westminster District Strata Plan NW282 Together With An Interest In The Common Property In Proportion To The Unit Entitlement Of The Strata Lot As Shown On Form 1 PID: 001-257-706

> Strata Lot 4 District Lot 202 Group 1 New Westminster District Strata Plan NW282 Together With An Interest In The Common Property In Proportion To The Unit Entitlement Of The Strata Lot As Shown On Form 1 PID: 001-257-714

714

Attachment 5

2.2 The Official Community Plan Overall Land Use Plan – Map 11 – Evergreen Line Sub-Areas Map in Schedule "A" of City of Port Moody Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2014, No. 2955 is amended by changing the maximum number of storeys permitted from three and four to six for the following properties as indicated on Map 11 – Evergreen Line Sub-Areas Map, attached to this Bylaw as Schedule B, and on Detail Map, attached to this Bylaw as Schedule C:

Strata Lot 1 District Lot 202 Group 1 New Westminster District Strata Plan NW282 Together With An Interest In The Common Property In Proportion To The Unit Entitlement Of The Strata Lot As Shown On Form 1 PID: 001-257-692

Strata Lot 2 District Lot 202 Group 1 New Westminster District Strata Plan NW282 Together With An Interest In The Common Property In Proportion To The Unit Entitlement Of The Strata Lot As Shown On Form 1 PID: 000-765-040

Strata Lot 3 District Lot 202 Group 1 New Westminster District Strata Plan NW282 Together With An Interest In The Common Property In Proportion To The Unit Entitlement Of The Strata Lot As Shown On Form 1 PID: 001-257-706

Strata Lot 4 District Lot 202 Group 1 New Westminster District Strata Plan NW282 Together With An Interest In The Common Property In Proportion To The Unit Entitlement Of The Strata Lot As Shown On Form 1 PID: 001-257-714

Lot 22 Block 1 District Lot 202 Group 1 New Westminster District Plan 55 PID: 011-453-877

2.3 The Official Community Plan Overall Land Use Plan – Map 11 – Evergreen Line Sub-Areas Map in Schedule "A" of City of Port Moody Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2014, No. 2955 is amended by designating the maximum number of six storeys for the 5.5-metre-wide portion of Queens Street right-of-way as indicated on Map 11 – Evergreen Line Sub-Areas Map, attached to this Bylaw as Schedule B, and on Detail Map, attached to this Bylaw as Schedule C.

3. Attachments and Schedules

- 3.1 The following schedules are attached to and form part of this Bylaw:
 - Schedule A Location Map
 - Schedule B Map 11 Evergreen Line Sub-Areas Map
 - Schedule C Detail Map

Considered at the July	v 23, 202	24, Regular	Council	meeting
	, ,	, 0		

		715	Attachment 5			
4.	Seve	erability				
	4.1	If a portion of this Bylaw is found invalid by a court, it will be sever remainder of the Bylaw will remain in effect.	ered, and the			
Rea	d a first	time this day of, 2024.				
Rea	Read a second time this day of, 2024.					
Rea	Read a third time this day of, 2024.					
Ado	pted thi	s day of, 2024.				
M. L May		S. Lam City Clerk				
I hereby certify that the above is a true copy of Bylaw No. 3471 of the City of Port Moody. S. Lam City Clerk						


Considered at the July 23, 2024, Regular Council meeting

716

Attachment 5


Schedule A to Bylaw No. 3471 - Location Map

This is a certified true copy of the map referred to in section 2 of City of Port Moody Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2014, No. 2955, Amendment Bylaw No. 38, 2024, No. 3471 (2331-2335 St. Johns Street and a 5.5-metre-wide portion of Queens Street right-of-way).



File: M: Mapping Requests - Internal-LOCATION MAPS -{St. Johns Street}2331-2335 St. Johns Street{2331-2335 St. Johns Street} - OCP-LanduseV2.pdf


City of Port Moody Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2014, No. 2955, Amendment Bylaw No. 38, 2024, No. 3471 (2331-2335 St. Johns Street and a 5.5-metre-wide portion of Queens Street right-of-way) EDMS#636876 4



City of Port Moody Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2014, No. 2955, Amendment Bylaw No. 38, 2024, No. 3471 (2331-2335 St. Johns Street and a 5.5-metre-wide portion of Queens Street right-of-way) EDMS#636876

718


Attachment 5



City of Port Moody Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2014, No. 2955, Amendment Bylaw No. 38, 2024, No. 3471 (2331-2335 St. Johns Street and a 5.5-metre-wide portion of Queens Street right-of-way) EDMS#636876

719

Attachment 6



720

Attachment 7



City of Port Moody

Bylaw No. 3470

A Bylaw to amend City of Port Moody Zoning Bylaw, 2018, No. 2937 to facilitate the development of a mixed-use residential/commercial building at 2331-2335 St. Johns Street and a 5.5-metre-wide portion of Queens Street right-of-way.

The Council of the City of Port Moody enacts as follows:

- 1. Citation
 - 1.1 This Bylaw may be cited as "City of Port Moody Zoning Bylaw, 2018, No. 2937, Amendment Bylaw No. 97, 2024, No. 3470 (2331-2335 St. Johns Street and a 5.5-metre-wide portion of Queens Street right-of-way) (CD97)".

2. Amendments

2.1 City of Port Moody Zoning Bylaw, 2018, No. 2937, is amended by rezoning the following lands from Medium Density Townhouse Residential (RM4) to Comprehensive Development Zone 97 (CD97):

Strata Lot 1 District Lot 202 Group 1 New Westminster District Strata Plan NW282 Together With An Interest In The Common Property In Proportion To The Unit Entitlement Of The Strata Lot As Shown On Form 1 PID: 001-257-692

Strata Lot 2 District Lot 202 Group 1 New Westminster District Strata Plan NW282 Together With An Interest In The Common Property In Proportion To The Unit Entitlement Of The Strata Lot As Shown On Form 1 PID: 000-765-040

Strata Lot 3 District Lot 202 Group 1 New Westminster District Strata Plan NW282 Together With An Interest In The Common Property In Proportion To The Unit Entitlement Of The Strata Lot As Shown On Form 1 PID: 001-257-706

Strata Lot 4 District Lot 202 Group 1 New Westminster District Strata Plan NW282 Together With An Interest In The Common Property In Proportion To The Unit Entitlement Of The Strata Lot As Shown On Form 1 PID: 001-257-714

as shown on the map in Schedule A of this Bylaw.

721

Attachment 7

2.2 City of Port Moody Zoning Bylaw, 2018, No. 2937, is further amended by rezoning the following land from General Commercial (C3) to Comprehensive Development Zone 97 (CD97):

Lot 22 Block 1 District Lot 202 Group 1 New Westminster District Plan 55 PID: 011-453-877

- 2.3 City of Port Moody Zoning Bylaw, 2018, No. 2937, is further amended by rezoning the 5.5-metre-wide portion of Queens Street right-of-way from unzoned to Comprehensive Development Zone 97 (CD97) as shown on the map in Schedule A of this Bylaw.
- 2.4 City of Port Moody Zoning Bylaw, 2018, No. 2937 is further amended by adding the following section CD97 to Schedule D:

"CD97. Comprehensive Development Zone (CD97)

CD97.1 Intent

The intent of this zone is to accommodate a six-storey mixed-use commercial/residential development with a rooftop garden on the subject lands.

CD97.2 Permitted Uses

The following uses are permitted:

	CD97 Zone
a. Principal Use	i. Assembly
	ii. Child Care
	iii. Civic
	iv. Commercial Athletic and Recreation
	v. Community Care
	vi. Office
	vii. Personal Service
	viii. Restaurant
	ix. Retail Food Service
	x. Retail
	xi. Multi-Residential, limited to Market
	Rental
b. Secondary Use	i. Home Occupation – Type A
	1

CD97.3

Conditions of Use

- (a) Due to the sloping nature of the site, the lowest commercial level of the Building facing St. Johns Street is a "basement" by Zoning Bylaw definition.
- (b) Commercial uses are only permitted on the ground floor along St. Johns Street and the mezzanine of the Building.

Considered at the July 23, 2024, Regular Council meeting

722

Attachment 7

CD97.4 Lot Coverage

The maximum permitted lot coverage shall be 90.5%.

CD97.5 **Residential Density**

No more than 48 market rental residential dwelling units shall be permitted.

CD97.6 Floor Area Ratio (FAR)

The Floor Space Ratio permitted in the CD97 Zone shall be calculated in accordance with section 5.3.4 of the Zoning Bylaw and the maximum permitted Floor Area Ratio shall be 2.63 based on the developable site area, including the road allowance as shown in schedule A.

CD97.7 **Building Height**

- Building height shall not exceed 6 storeys, excluding (a) basement and all roof projections.
- The maximum height of the Building shall not exceed 23.5m, (b) including enclosed rooftop common amenity structure and all rooftop projections.

CD97.8 Setbacks

- (a) Front: 0m;
- (b) Rear: 0m;
- Exterior Side: 1.1m; and (C)
- Interior Side: 1.3m. (d)

CD97.9 Parking, loading, and Bicycle Parking

- (a) A minimum of 81 off-street Parking Spaces shall be provided for all uses combined, with 53 residential designated parking spaces, minimum 22 commercial ones, and at least 5 visitordesignated parking spaces;.
- A minimum of 73 Long-Term Bicycle Parking Spaces. (b)
- (c) 6 Short-Term Bicycle Parking Spaces shall be provided for residential portion.
- (d) 1 Long-Term Bicycle Parking Spaces and 6 Short-Term Bicycle Parking Spaces shall be provided for commercial portion.
- One vehicular access shall be permitted from Queens Street (e) for commercial area.
- One vehicular access shall be permitted from St. Andrews (f) Street for residential area.
- A minimum of one loading bay shall be provided for (g) commercial area.

City of Port Moody Zoning Bylaw, 2018, No. 2937, Amendment Bylaw No. 97, 2024, No. 3470 (2331-2335 St. Johns Street and a 5.5-metre-wide portion of Queens Street right-of-way) (CD97) EDMS#636875

723

Attachment 7

CD97.10 Landscaping

Refer to section 5.2.10 of this Bylaw for landscaping requirements.

CD97.11 Common Amenity Space

Amenity spaces in the CD97 Zone shall be in accordance with the following:

- (a) Common Amenity Structures on the rooftop of the building shall not count as a Storey.
- (b) Outdoor Common Amenity Spaces shall not be located within the setbacks.
- (c) The maximum amount of indoor rooftop amenity area is 132.85m².
- (d) The maximum amount of outdoor rooftop amenity area is 363.81m².
- (e) Common amenity structures (including both enclosed and unenclosed area) on the rooftops of the building, excluding roof circulation, shall be limited to a combined total of no more than 50.85% of the Floor Area of the Storey below.
- (f) The enclosed portion of the rooftop common amenity area shall not occupy more than 13.60% of the Floor Area of the Storey below, excluding roof circulation.
- (g) Notwithstanding the above, a separate outdoor amenity space dedicated for a children's play area shall be provided in the minimum amount of 128.76m².".

3. Attachments and Schedules

3.1 The following schedules are attached to and form part of this Bylaw:

Schedule A – Location Map.

- 4. Severability
 - 4.1 If a portion of this Bylaw is found invalid by a court, it will be severed, and the remainder of the Bylaw will remain in effect.

Read a first time this ____ day of _____, 2024.

Read a second time this ____ day of _____, 2024.

Read a third time this ____ day of _____, 2024.

Adopted this ____ day of _____, 2024.

724

Attachment 7

M. Lahti Mayor	S. Lam City Clerk
I hereby certify that the above is a true co	ppy of Bylaw No. 3470 of the City of Port Moody.
	_
S. Lam City Clerk	
*	

City of Port Moody Zoning Bylaw, 2018, No. 2937, Amendment Bylaw No. 97, 2024, No. 3470 (2331-2335 St. Johns Street and a 5.5-metre-wide portion of Queens Street right-of-way) (CD97) EDMS#636875


Considered at the July 23, 2024, Regular Council meeting

725

Attachment 7

Schedule A – Location Map


This is a certified true copy of the map referred to in section 2 of City of Port Moody Zoning Bylaw, 2018, No. 2937, Amendment Bylaw No. 97, 2024, No. 3470 (2331-2335 St. Johns Street and a 5.5-metre-wide portion of Queens Street right-of-way) (CD97).



City of Port Moody Zoning Bylaw, 2018, No. 2937, Amendment Bylaw No. 97, 2024, No. 3470 (2331-2335 St. Johns Street and a 5.5-metre-wide portion of Queens Street right-of-way) (CD97) EDMS#636875


Considered at the July 23, 2024, Regular Council meeting

726



727


Attachment 8



Attachment 2


Attachment 2

728




729

Attachment 8



Attachment 2


Considered at the July 23, 2024, Regular Council meeting



Considered at the July 23, 2024, Regular Council meeting


731






Attachment 2

732



Attachment 2







0

G A4.7

10

(p 13)

6

6

Attachment 2

PLOT DATE

PROJECT NO.

2119

Ň

Jun 14, 2024


DRAWING NO.


A2.5





Considered at the July 23, 2024, Regular Council meeting












Considered at the July 23, 2024, Regular Council meeting





_


















750 Attachment 8 307 - 011 Alexander Street Vancouver, British Columbia Canvela VBA 1E1 www.comerarch.com tel: 804-253-8800 Property Line Property Line attention of the with the Work. Architect for clarification DO NOT SCALE THE D (PA) (pB) pC (pD) (pE)(el F) (pG) PH (pJ) рК pM 2024-06-14 2023-06-19 2023-06-05 2023-04-10 2022-11-07 2023-08-05 e for DI*Appl e for Review a for Review 1 2022-08-05 Issue for Review Num. YY MM DD ▲ISSUE / REVISION▼ PROJECT St. Andrews St. Bosa Foods 2335 St Johns St Patio M.U.P. St. Johns St. BUILDING CODE ZONING DEVELOPMENT PERMIT# BUILDING PERMIT# BCBC 2018 RM4, C3 DRAWING **Building Section G-2** Property Line Property Line Unless signed & sealed, these drawings are not to be used for approval by an authority or for reliance by a client or third party, including the general public. SCALE 1/8" = 1'-0" (unless noted otherwise) PLOT DATE Jun 14, 2024 PROJECT NO. DRAWING NO. A4.7.1 2119

Considered at the July 23, 2024, Regular Council meeting



Considered at the July 23, 2024, Regular Council meeting







Considered at the July 23, 2024, Regular Council meeting

754 Attachment 8 ٩, Existing fire hydrant (relocated onto boulevard north of MUP) 307 - 811 Alexander Street Vancouver, British Columbia Canada VBA 1E1 (p1) (p2) (p3) (p4) (el 5) (p6 (p7) (p8 (p11) (p12) (p9) (p10) (p13 www.comerandh.com tel. 804-255-8800 IMPOR drawing Archite the writ operty of Corners used for any pu inchitect. This dra datums this dra (pM) attention of the Architect for clarification prior to with the Work. DO NOT SCALE THE DRAWING \bigotimes ∂ рК рК D 82'3" 25.08m La Ld + 8 8 8 8 Ø EGRESS - 76'2" - 23.21m (pH)-- рн -24--(pG)-▼ (pG) 7 2024-06-14 5 2023-06-19 4 2023-06-05 2 2023-04-10 2 2022-11-07 1 2022-01-07 ssue for DP Appl ssue for Review coue for Review 1 j. el F pE 1 2022-08-05 Issue for Review Num. YY MM DD ▲ISSUE / REVISION▼ el F ЧV PE İ -77'9" 23.71m ¥--(pD)r-(pD PROJECT FXIT Bosa Foods Tread distance to at least one exit shall not be more han d5m per 3.4.2.5.(1).[c] 2335 St Johns St 58'5" 17.82m BUILDING CODE ZONING DEVELOPMENT PERMIT # BUILDING PERMIT # BCBC 2018 RM4, C3 HR FRR THE FRE 110'8" 33.73m DRAWING pC (pC) Level 1 Mezzanine Code New fire hydrant (to be determined) рВ рВ EXIT from residential podium ROWIDIO Ped Woosted Transformer (PRT) (pA) (pA) grade - 85.3'grade - 62.25' Unless signed & sealed, these drawings are not to be used for approval by an authority or for reliance by a client or third party, including the general public. 1/8" = 1'-0" (unless noted otherwise) (p11) (p10) (p0) (p12) (p1) (p2) PLOT DATE Jun 14, 2024 \mathbb{A} PROJECT NO. DRAWING NO. 2119 A5.3

Considered at the July 23, 2024, Regular Council meeting



Attach 24, Regular Council meeting



757

Attachment 8 307 - 011 Alexander Street Vancouver, British Columbia Canvela VBA 1E1 (p6) (p1) (p2) (p3) (p4) el 5 (p7) (p8) (p9) (p10) (p11) (p12) (p13 www.comerandh.com tel. 804-255-8800 PM attention of the Architect for clarification prior to p with the Work. DO NOT SCALE THE DRAWING рК рК Ð ··· [8] · La Ld + 1018 80 closet/ flex 18 (pH)рН EXIT 8101 (pG)--(pG) 2024-06-14 2023-06-19 2023-06-05 2023-04-19 2022-11-07 EXIT 📥 ssue for DP Appl ssue for Review coue for Review 8101 Notes . O(81**0**1 el F-1 2022-08-05 Issue for Review Num. YY MM DD ▲ISSUE / REVISION▼ (el F Mast 2 PE L: ,6..... P. Q. 1 closet/ flex water curtain______ Bedroom I water curtain ewater curtain water curtain (pD)-0 + (pD PROJECT water curtain Bosa Foods 2335 St Johns St water curtain BUILDING CODE ZONING DEVELOPMENT PERMIT # BUILDING PERMIT # BCBC 2018 RM4, C3 Alternative solution for exit exposure protection with water curtains HR FRR HILL THE FRE museum 2 HR FRR DRAWING pC -pC Level 4 Code рВ рВ (pA) (pA) Unless signed & sealed, these drawings are not to be used for approval by an authority or for reliance by a client or third party, including the general public. 10-7* 0-11 1/2* 1/8" = 1'-0" (unless noted otherwise) (p12) (p10) (p11)

(p0)

(p1) (p2)

Attachment 2

PLOT DATE

PROJECT NO.

2119

Ň

Jun 14, 2024

DRAWING NO.

A5.6

Attachment 8 307 - 011 Alexander Street Vancouver, British Columbia Canvela VBA 1E1 (p6) (p1) (p2) (p3) (p4) el 5 (p7) (p8) (p9) (p10) (p11) (p12) (p13 www.comerandh.com tel. 804-255-8800 (pM) attention of the Architect for clarification prior to with the Work. DO NOT SCALE THE DRAWING рК рК E F La Ld + 1018 80 closet/ flex <u>ng</u> (pH)рН EXIT 8101 (pG)--(pG 2024-06-14 2023-06-19 2023-06-05 2023-04-19 2022-11-07 EXI. A.A.A. ssue for DP Appl ssue for Review coue for Review 80 11-1-4-1-1 "O(- - -81**0**1 el F- 1 2022-08-05 Issue for Review Num. YY MM DD ▲ISSUE / REVISION▼ el F Maxie 2 (pE Bedrau 9,6mm closet/ flex -Master (pD)pD) 0 PROJECT Ð Bosa Foods 2335 St Johns St (Arrow Arrow) BUILDING CODE ZONING DEVELOPMENT PERMIT # BUILDING PERMIT # BCBC 2018 RM4, C3 HR FRR I.5 HR FRR museum 2 HR FRR DRAWING pC pC Level 5 Code рВ рВ (pA) (pA) Unless signed & sealed, these drawings are not to be used for approval by an authority or for reliance by a client or find party, including the general public. SCALE 10-7* 0-11 1/2* 1/8" = 1'-0" (unless noted otherwise) (p12) (p10) (p11) (p0) (p1) (p2) PLOT DATE Jun 14, 2024 Ň

PROJECT NO. DRAWING NO. 2119 A5.7

Attachment 2

758

759

Attachment 8 307 - 011 Alexander Street Vancouver, British Columbia Canvela VBA 1E1 (p6) (p1) (p2) (p3) (p4) el 5 (p7) (p8) (p9) (p10) (p11) (p12) (p13 www.comerandh.com tel. 804-255-8800 (pM) attention of the Architect for clarification prior to p with the Work. DO NOT SCALE THE DRAWING рК рК Ð - T La (La) 1018 80 closet/ flex <u>ng</u> (pH)рН EXIT 8101 (pG)--(pG) 2024-06-14 2023-06-19 2023-06-05 2023-04-19 2022-11-07 EXIT ssue for DP Appl ssue for Review coue for Review 80 01 81**0**1 el F- 1 2022-08-05 Issue for Review Num. YY MM DD ▲ISSUE / REVISION▼ el F Mast 2 (pE Bedrace 9,6mm closel/ flex -Master (pD)pD) 0 PROJECT Ð Bosa Foods 2335 St Johns St (Prime Area) BUILDING CODE ZONING DEVELOPMENT PERMIT # BUILDING PERMIT # BCBC 2018 RM4, C3 HR FRR 1.5 HR FRR museum 2 HR FRR DRAWING pC pC) Level 6 Code рВ рВ (pA) (pA) Unless signed & sealed, these drawings are not to be used for approval by an authority or for reliance by a client or find party, including the general public. SCALE 10-7* 0-11 1/2* 1/8" = 1'-0" (unless noted otherwise) (p11) (p12) (p10) (p0) (p1) (p2) PLOT DATE Jun 14, 2024 Ň PROJECT NO. DRAWING NO. 2119 A5.8

760 Attachment 8 307 - 811 Alexander Street Vancouver, British Columbia Canada VBA 1E1 (p1) (p2) el 5 (p6 (p8) (p10) (p11) (p3) (p4) (p7) (p9) (p12) www.comerarch.com tel. 804-253-8800 IMPOR drawing Archite operty of Corners a used for any pur datum. this draw (pM) iscrepancies between inform al site conditions and / or the locuments shall be brought attention of the Architect for clarification prior to with the Work. DO NOT SCALE THE DRAWING рК рК La La La enclosed (pH)рН 1 (pG)pG 7 2024-06-14 5 2023-06-19 4 2023-06-05 2 2023-04-10 2 2022-11-07 1 2022-08-05 X Issue for DP Apple Issue for Review Iccus for Review el F- 1 2022-08-05 Issue for Review Num. YY MM DD ▲ISSUE / REVISION▼ -el F EXIT 000PE enclosed (pD)pD) PROJECT Bosa Foods 2335 St Johns St BUILDING CODE ZONING DEVELOPMENT PERMIT # BUILDING PERMIT # BCBC 2018 RM4, C3 HR FRR 1.5 HR FRR HIR FRR DRAWING pC pC) Roof Code рВ рВ

(pA)

10-7* 0-11 1/2*

(p10)

(p0)

(p11) (p12)

(pA)

(p1) (p2)

Attachment 2

Unless signed & sealed, these drawings are not to be used for approval by an authority or for reliance by a client or third party, including the general public.

PLOT DATE

PROJECT NO.

2119

Ň


1/8" = 1'-0" (unless noted otherwise)

Jun 14, 2024

DRAWING NO.

A5.9

Attachment 2




Considered at the July 23, 2024, Regular Council meeting


762 Attachment 8 307 - 811 Alexander Street Vancouver, British Columbia Canada VBA 1E1 Precedence Heritage Buildings www.comerarch.com tel. 804-253-8800 ADSENSI attention of the Architect for clarification with the Work. DO NOT SCALE THE D Old City Hall 2425 St. Johns St P.Burns & Co. Butcher Shop 2419 Clarke St John's Barber Shop 2337 Clarke St Tremaine Residence 205 Second Ave loco Groceteria Third Ave Roe & Abernethy Grocery Store 2407-2409 Clarke St 1 2022-08-05 Issue for Review
 Num. YY MM DD ▲ISSUE / REVISION ♥ Terra Cotta Colour JamesHardie - Panel Siding Cedarmill - Iron Gray PROJECT Bosa Foods 2335 St Johns St BUILDING CODE ZONING DEVELOPMENT PERMIT# BUILDING PERMIT# BCBC 2018 RM4, C3 Shouldice Stone - Tapestry Finish Desert Buff JamesHardie - Panel Siding Smooth - Arctic White DRAWING Material Board Shouldice Stone - Rock Finish Desert Buff LUX metal - V-Groove Siding Wood Grains - Pecan Unless signed & scaled, these drawings are not to be used for approval by an authority or for reliance by a client or third party, including the general public. 1/8" = 1'-0" (unless noted otherwise) PLOT DATE Jun 14, 2024 PROJECT NO. DRAWING NO. 2119 A6.0

Considered at the July 23, 2024, Regular Council meeting

763




764




Considered at the July 23, 2024, Regular Council meeting

765



Considered at the July 23, 2024, Regular Council meeting

766



Considered at the July 23, 2024, Regular Council meeting

767






Considered at the July 23, 2024, Regular Council meeting


768





Considered at the July 23, 2024, Regular Council meeting

769











































Considered at the July 23, 2024, Regular Council meeting

784

Attachment 10

Project Fact Sheet

Applicant:	Cornerstone Architecture	
Application Type:	OCP Amendment, Rezoning and Development Permits	
Project Description:	A seven-storey mixed use commercial/residential building and a rooftop garden with enclosed and open spaces, with o 885 m ² (9,525 ft ²) of commercial space over first two floors and 3,472 m ² (37,379 ft ²) of residential space over four upper floors with 48 market rental apartments, and FSR of 2.63	
Development Permit Area:	Development Permit Area 2 (DPA2) – Moody Centre Heritage Conservation Area (HCA)	
	Development Permit Area 5: Hazardous Conditions	
Application Number:	ORD00006	
Address:	2331- 2335 St. Johns Street	
Existing Zoning:	General Commercial (C3)- Eastern lot (lot 2335)	
	Medium Density Townhouse Residential (RM4)- Western lot (lot 2331)	
Proposed Zoning:	Comprehensive Development (CD) zone (CD97)	
Existing OCP Designation:	Mixed Use – Moody Centre (4 storeys) – Eastern lot	
	Multi-Family Residential (3 storeys) – Western lot	

Surrounding Development:

	Zoning Designation	OCP Designation
North	- General Commercial (C3)	- Mixed Use – Moody Centre
	- Comprehensive Development Zone 14 (CD14)	- Multi-Family Residential
East	- Service Station Commercial (C4) - Currently Shell Gas	- Mixed Use – Moody Centre
	Station	
South	- Single-Detached Residential (RS1)	- Single-Family Low Density
West	- Medium Density Townhouse Residential (RM4)-	- Multi-Family Residential
	(Currently a protected heritage property)	

785

Development Statistics:	
	Proposed Development
Number of Residential Units:	48 market rental apartments with 8 studios, 21 one-bedroom units- 12 two- bedroom and 7 three-bedroom units
Density:	FSR 2.63
Height:	Seven-storeys and a rooftop garden that has enclosed and open spaces- Considered 8-storeys based on BCBD- 27.7 m (90'-11")
Parking	(Total 81)
Resident:	53
Visitor:	5
Commercial Loading:	23
Bicycle Parking:	73 long term and 6 short term stalls for residential 1 long term and 6 short term for commercial portion

786

Attachment 11

Sustainability Report Card Mixed Use Projects

Version 1.1, August 2022

PORT MOODY

Purpose

The Sustainability Report Card recognizes that developers, builders, designers, and others proposing changes to the built environment have an important role in creating a sustainable community. Buildings are a vital part of our community, providing shelter, employment, recreation opportunities, services, shopping and more. With the amount of time we spend in our buildings they play an essential role in how prepared we are for our changing climate, influence the vitality of our community, and shape our everyday lives.

The buildings we build today will be around for the next 60–80 years on average and it is crucial for the evolution of our community that these structures are built to the highest sustainability standards. In 2020 Council adopted the City's Climate Action Plan, a comprehensive strategy laying out a pathway to become a carbon neutral, resilient community by 2050. This Plan includes various building-related actions that project proposals should incorporate to work towards the vision that:

"Port Moody is a resilient community that honours climate justice, leading the urgent response to climate change through collective action."

- Climate Action Committee, 2019

Port Moody encourages innovative thinking in community design to achieve sustainable communities. To this end, the Report Card is a requirement for rezoning, development permit, heritage revitalization agreement, and heritage alteration permit applications. The Report Card identifies performance measures based on community sustainability values, and these measures are used to evaluate development proposals. The Report Card is a tool that summarizes overall project sustainability and is integrated with all other development approval requirements.

The Report Card focuses on performance criteria within four pillars of sustainability defined by the City as:

1. Cultural Sustainability	2. Economic Sustainability
Cultural sustainability recognizes the need to honour and transmit cultural beliefs, practices, heritage conservation, and culture for future generations. Cultural sustainability is about fostering cultural rights, local culture and cultural identity in a community. Cultural sustainability can be achieved through innovation and preservation of identity through different forms of creative expressions (e.g., art, events), celebrating cultural heritage and preserving and transmitting cultural heritage and customs through design of culturally inclusive landscapes, architecture, programs, and amenities.	Economic sustainability in the Port Moody context means offering a broad range of local employment opportunities that will reduce commute distances and times, encouraging creative and clean industry and jobs, and building a more sustainable financial future for the City. The impacts of this approach will reduce GHG emissions, enable active transportation as a more viable means of getting around, build more resilient local business communities, and strengthen the social fabric of the city.

78	⁷ Attachment 11
3. Environmental Sustainability	4. Social Sustainability
Environmental sustainability refers to the protection, management, and monitoring of ecosystems and natural assets to ensure the long-term productivity and health of resources to meet future economic and social needs. Environmental sustainability involves protecting, restoring, and connecting environmental systems to maintain function and ecological integrity. It also acknowledges that human civilisation takes resources to sustain our modern way of life, recognizes the elements that place stress on the environment and incorporates how technology will drive our greener future.	Social sustainability is about inclusive and resilient societies that understand what citizens need from the places where they live, work and play and where citizens feel supported in their well-being and are encouraged to evolve. Social sustainability combines physical design with support mechanisms to enhance social liveability, amenities, and systems that actively support the capacity of current and future generations to create healthy and livable communities. Socially sustainable communities promote diversity, equity and inclusion, foster connectivity and provide a high quality of life.

Process

The Sustainability Report Card forms part of the application materials for rezoning, development permit, heritage revitalization agreement, and heritage alteration permit proposals. There are five steps to follow in completing the Sustainability Report Card process:

- 1. Consult with City planning staff to discuss your proposal and determine if a Sustainability Report Card must be submitted with your development application.
- 2. Following the initial conversation with staff, and while preparing your application, complete the Sustainability Report Card by filling in the appropriate information that applies to your application and submit a completed copy to your file manager.
- 3. The Sustainability Report Card will be marked three times:
 - a. Prior to first reading;
 - b. Prior to Advisory Design Panel and Land Use Committee; and
 - c. Final scoring prior to Development Permit issuance.

At each of these marking intervals Planning staff will review the Report Card for completeness and accuracy and forward to staff in various departments for marking. Staff will make comments, determine a score, and provide the applicant an opportunity to revise the Report Card. The score and comments will be included in the land use reports that are distributed to the Advisory Design Panel, Land Use Committee, and City Council.

- 4. Commitments indicated in the Report Card will be secured through various means including, but not limited to, Development Permit conditions, securities, and various agreements (e.g., Servicing Agreement) or covenants. The method of securing the commitment is noted for each criteria.
- 5. If your application is approved by Council, your final Sustainability Report Card is maintained in the development file and a copy is provided to the City's Building Division.

788

Attachment 11

Instructions

- Your Report Card must contain sufficient detail to ensure each measure can be evaluated. Make reference to the appropriate plans, drawings, and reports that demonstrate how the performance measure is met where appropriate.
- The relevance of the questions will depend on the nature and scope of your project, so not all questions will be applicable to all projects. In this case, select N/A and the points will be subtracted from the overall points available to increase fairness. Some criteria do not include N/A as an option as this is expected/possible on each project.
- Key terms are defined in the <u>Glossary</u> at the end of the Report Card document.
- Refer to the Resources section in each criteria for links to web-based resources relevant to measures in the Sustainability Report Card.

Scoring

- Scoring of the Report Card reflects a project's overall ability to be a sustainable development. Criteria are assigned points to indicate their significance based on:
 - 1. the level of difficulty to integrate criteria into project design;
 - 2. the order-of-magnitude cost added to the project;
 - 3. alignment with identified City and community priorities;
 - 4. the level of urgency for Port Moody in terms of achieving community sustainability goals; and
 - 5. the degree of effectiveness for increasing overall project sustainability.
- Performance measures are ordered based on priority. The first performance measure under each topic area in each pillar is the highest priority. The highest priority performance measures typically offer the highest possible points.
- City staff score the completed Report Card based on the principle of best achievable on each site for each performance measure. Points for achieving various means are indicated. Where open ended responses are permitted, staff will make a fair assessment of the project's performance for the measure with respect to site conditions.
- Where criteria may not be applicable to your project due to constraints, select the N/A option and the points will be subtracted from the overall points available to increase fairness. Some criteria do not include N/A as an option as this is expected/possible on each project.
- The Report Card is an iterative process with the applicant. The applicant has an opportunity to comment and make changes to their proposal before the scores are considered final and shared with public advisory bodies and City Council.
- Additional space is provided for the applicant to address innovations and constraints not captured elsewhere in the Report Card. Innovation items have a maximum score of 3 points. Constraints are not scored but given specific mention in Council Reports. Applicants are required to provide a narrative of how the project is contributing to each pillar of sustainability.
- Staff will review your completed Report Card and provide feedback to give you the opportunity to achieve the highest score possible by the time the project is considered for adoption or permit approval.

789

Attachment 11

Monitoring

In general, the information required from the applicant for the Sustainability Report Card is similar to the information required for a typical development application. However, to ensure accountability, the City may request additional information, such as: photos of installed systems or products, design drawings, professional reports, copies of receipts, or other records that can be used to verify the implementation of the selected sustainability criteria. We encourage you to provide as much information as possible to assist City staff in their review of your development proposal.

Public Information

Copies of the Report Card are maintained by the Development Planning Division and are included as an attachment to Council reports related to the application. Therefore, Report Cards are part of the public record.

790

Attachment 11

Property and Applicant Information

Applicant:	Scott Kennedy		
Telephone:	604-253-8800 Email:	skennedy@cornerarch.com	
Registered owner:	Benedet Properties (St Johns) LTS.	[Inc#: BC1355917]	
Project address:	2331, 2335 St. Johns Street		
Proposed use:	Mixed-Use Moody Centre	Total floor space (m ²):	4789.17
Building type:	Wood frame and Concrete	Number of storeys:	6
Number of units:	48	_	

Final Score (staff to complete)

Pillar	Total possible points	Sum of N/A points	Sum of missed points	Applicant total points	Pillar % score
Cultural Sustainability	56	9	18	19	40
Economic Sustainability	93	15	40	38	48
Environmental Sustainability	172	20	77	75	49
Social Sustainability	165	3	98	64	39

Attachment 2

791

Cultural Sustainability		
rts		
1a (applicants can choose between C1a or C1b)	12 pointe possible)	Resources
	12 points possible)	Developer Public Art Guidelines
es the project designate space for artists or creative en ained for the lifetime of the project?	terprises to be	Art in Public Spaces Master Pla
Yes		Arts and Culture Master Plan
No		
N/A (applicants can choose between C1a or C1b)		Enforcement
/es:		- Units (market and below
Check all that apply:	(up to 12 points)	market) will be secured throug a Housing Agreement.
□ artist studios (2 points for first studio + 1 point for ea		
	max 8 points)	 Plaza/creative/exhibition spac & temporary artist space will b
☐ family-size live-work units – sold below market value	(3 points per unit, max 8 points)	confirmed through the Development Permit.
☐ family-size live-work units – sold at market value	(2 points per unit, max 6 points)	- Elements on Landscape Plans
 plaza, creative placemaking space, available for public use (e.g., outdoor stage) (4 points) 		will be subject to securities.
\Box temporary artist spaces on or off the site	(2 points)	 Formal written confirmation of arrangements for managing
publicly viewable exhibition space	(2 points)	spaces will be required.
□ developer identified need/opportunity	(up to 4 points)	
Please specify:		Staff comments
Provide the size and details of the proposed space(s): For the spaces being provided in this project, how will managed? (e.g., who is responsible for managing tenants etc)?		
		Score 0/

792	Attachment 11
	Resources
C1b (applicants can choose between C1a or C1b) (6 points possible)	Developer Public Art Guidelines
Does the project include artwork which is aligned with the Art in Public Spaces Master Plan and located in a publicly accessible or publicly owned	Art in Public Spaces Master Plan
space ? (Note: Public Art Policy encourages at least 0.5% of construction costs) (4 points)	Arts and Culture Master Plan
Yes	
□ No	Enforcement
□ N/A (applicants can choose between C1a or C1b)	 Formal written commitment to engage in a process to include
Applicants are encouraged to work with artists and/or art consultants early so that	public art will be required. Confirmation of the value of
artworks can be incorporated in meaningful and creative ways. Has an artist orart consultant been engaged for this project?(2 points)	this commitment and securing
Yes	this commitment through a letter of credit submitted prior
□ No	to issuance of Development Permit will be required.
OR	 Collection of public art funds prior to issuance of
	development permit will be
Does the project provide an in lieu financial contribution to the City's	required.
Artwork Reserve Fund in accordance with the City's Public Art Policy? (4 points)	
□ Yes	Staff comments
No	applicant states in following question that Doug Taylor (an
□ N/A (applicants can choose between C1a or C1b)	artist) is working with them, but applicant has selected "No"
What is the proposed contribution to the City's Artwork Peserve Fund?	Applicant changed answer to
What is the proposed contribution to the City's Artwork Reserve Fund? (Note: Public Art Policy encourages at least 0.5% of construction costs)	"yes" earning additional 2 score.
(up to 2 points)	
(2 points if contribution is at least 10% greater than recommendation)	
\$ amount: % of construction budget:	
	Score 6 /6

793	Attachment 11
	Enforcement
C2 (2 points possible) Does the project include artistically designed (professionally designed) architectural elements that enhance the overall visual appeal of the	 Architectural elements will be secured through the Development Permit.
development (e.g., water feature)?	
Yes	 Elements on Landscape Plans will be subject to securities.
□ No	
If yes, describe how:	Staff comments
We are working with an artist (Doug Taylor) to develop a concept design for the project. www.dougtaylor.co	Score 2 /2
<u></u>	Enforcement
C3 (2 points possible) Does the project include artistically designed (professionally designed) street furniture and/or streetscape enhancements (e.g., artistically designed benches, streetlights, tree grates)? (Note: consider maintenance and replacement of furniture/enhancements) Yes	 Elements on Landscape Plans will be subject to securities. Elements included in Civil Plans will be secured through the Servicing Agreement.
	Staff comments
 N/A If yes, describe the furniture/enhancements and how they will be maintained: Planters are designed to complement the architectural style of the surrounding buildings. The benches are incorporated into the planters to create a cohesive and visually interesting design. We are working with an artist to develop a concept design for the project. 	Score 2 /2

794	Attachment 11
C4 (2 points possible)	Resources
C4 (2 points possible) Describe how your project aligns with the Art in Public Spaces and/or Arts and Culture Master Plans, or otherwise contributes to the overall cultural	Art in Public Spaces Master Plan Arts and Culture Master Plan
and artistic vitality of Port Moody:	Enforcement
The Bosa Foods project aligns with this program by providing a space for cultural activities that will be open to the public. The project's focus on Italian culture and cuisine will add to the city's cultural diversity and provide an	- N/A
opportunity for residents to learn about and experience different cultures. The demo kitchen will allow residents to learn new culinary skills and explore the flavours of Italy. By doing so, the project will be contributing to the overall cultural and culinary landscape of Port Moody. Bosa Foods will also work with	Staff comments
an artist to provide a kinetic sculpture.	previous report card included "The perforated panels on site can allow for artistic inputs and designs. " are these being removed?
	Score 2 /2
C5 (1 point possible)	Enforcement
CO (1 point possible) Does the project include artistic elements for the benefit of the residents/ occupants (e.g., artistic features in a private courtyard or main entryway)?	 Architectural elements will be secured through the Development Permit.
□ Yes	 Elements on Landscape Plans
No No	will be subject to securities.
If yes, please describe:	Staff comments
	Score 0 /1

795

Heritage	
	Resources
C6 (3 points possible)	Heritage Register
Have you consulted with City staff to determine if any of the structures on the subject property may have heritage value?	Enforcement
Yes	
□ No	 Submission of Statement of Significance with application
N/A	will be required.
If yes, does the project include a statement of significance for heritage	Staff comments
structures not listed on Heritage Register, prepared by a heritage conservation specialist where potential heritage value is observed?	Applicant has selected N/A
□ Yes	
□ No	
N/A	
	Score 0 /3
07	Enforcement
C7 (3 points possible)	 Submission of a conservation
Does the project include a heritage conservation plan prepared by a heritage conservation professional for a structure on the property of the application or on another property in the City?	plan will be required with application.
□ Yes	Staff comments
□ No	Applicant has selected N/A
■ N/A	
If yes, provide the address of the structure included in the heritage conservation plan:	
Address:	
	Score 0 /3

796	Attachment 11
	Enforcement
C8 (3 points possible)	Detaile will be included in
Does the project include reusing an existing heritage structure with heritage value through heritage restoration or heritage rehabilitation? (3 points) □ Yes No □ N/A	 Details will be included in Heritage Conservation Plan and formalized in a Heritage Revitalization Agreement or Development Permit. Formal protection typically involves heritage designation following completion of conservation work.
	Staff comments
C9 (2 points possible)	Resources
C9 (2 points possible) Where the preservation of a heritage structure in its original location cannot be accommodated, relocation may be considered.	Conservation of Historic Places in Canada: <u>historicplaces.ca</u>
Does this project include heritage relocation within Port Moody? (2 points)	Enforcement
□ Yes ■ No □ N/A	 Written confirmation of commitment to relocate structure and details of plans for the building in its new location will be required.
	Staff comments
	Score 0 /2

797	Attachment 11
	Enforcement
C10 (2 points possible)	- Depending on what is
Does the project salvage materials or artefacts from a historic place in Port Moody, or reuse materials or artifacts from architectural/landscape salvage in a manner which supports the authenticity of the site's character-defining elements?	proposed, incorporating these elements could be shown on landscape and/or architectural
□ Yes	plans included in the Development Permit.
No No	Development r ennit.
□ N/A	 Landscaped elements will be subject to securities. If the
If yes, please explain: (up to 2 points)	artifacts are used in public art then they will be secured through Public Art Securities.
	Staff comments
	Score 0 /2
C11 (2 points possible)	Resources
(Resources <u>Heritage Register</u>
Does the project involve the addition of a heritage structure to the City's Heritage Register? This only applies to a structure with heritage value that is NOT	
Does the project involve the addition of a heritage structure to the City's	Heritage Register Enforcement - Confirmation of intention to add
Does the project involve the addition of a heritage structure to the City's Heritage Register? This only applies to a structure with heritage value that is NOT already on the City's Heritage Register.	 Heritage Register Enforcement Confirmation of intention to add the heritage structure to the Heritage Register will be
Does the project involve the addition of a heritage structure to the City's Heritage Register? This only applies to a structure with heritage value that is NOT already on the City's Heritage Register.	Heritage Register Enforcement - Confirmation of intention to add the heritage structure to the
 Does the project involve the addition of a heritage structure to the City's Heritage Register? This only applies to a structure with heritage value that is NOT already on the City's Heritage Register. Yes No 	 Heritage Register Enforcement Confirmation of intention to add the heritage structure to the Heritage Register will be
 Does the project involve the addition of a heritage structure to the City's Heritage Register? This only applies to a structure with heritage value that is NOT already on the City's Heritage Register. Yes No 	 <u>Heritage Register</u> <u>Enforcement</u> Confirmation of intention to add the heritage structure to the Heritage Register will be required.

798		Attachment 11
C12 (2 p Does the project incorporate acknowledgement of historical of connections to the site (e.g., historical naming of the site, peo structures, architectural inspiration etc.)?		Enforcement - Follow up will depend on the method used to acknowledge cultural connections to the site. Determined by staff.
□ Yes		Staff comments
No		
If yes, please explain:	(up to 2 points)	
		Score 0 /2
Public Realm		
Does the project improve the streetscape beyond minimum C requirements by integrating lasting creative elements and der effort to optimize the project's beautification impact? Yes No If yes, check all that apply: Artistic stormwater management features	-	 Enforcement Architectural elements will be secured through the Development Permit. Elements on Landscape Plans will be subject to securities. Elements included in Civil Plans will be secured through the Servicing Agreement. Artistic elements will be
 Restores the frontage of an existing building in Historic Moody Centre Proposed artistic paving treatments 	(2 points) (1 point)	secured through Public Art securities.
Adds aesthetics to functional elements of the streetscape	(1 point)	
 Aesthetically pleasing and functional benches, bike rack, planter, lighting etc. upgrades Interaction of the project with the public e.g., edible landscape/foliage Artistic panels in entry foyer Other 	(1 point) (1 point) (1 point) (up to 1 point)	Staff comments artistic side panels are not included compared to last time. Is applicant walking back on this?
Are the streetscape elements designed by a local artist?	(4 points)	
□ Yes		
No No		Score 5 /8

799	Attachment 11
	Enforcement
C14 (3 points possible Does your project include any innovative cultural sustainability aspects not captured in the Report Card?	- Will depend on the type of
□ Yes	Staff comments
■ N/A	
If yes, please explain: (up to 3 points	Applicant has selected N/A
	Score 0 /3
C15	Enforcement
Does your project face any unique site constraints that limit cultural sustainability achievement?	- N/A
□ Yes	Staff comments
No No	
If yes, please explain:	

800	Attachment 11
	Enforcement
C16 (3 points possible)	 Highlighted in Council reports
Summarize the project's cultural sustainability contributions, including the performance criteria in this Report Card and additional elements not previously captured here.	Staff comments
The Bosa Foods project contributes to the cultural sustainability of Port Moody by providing a space for Italian cultural activities that will promote cultural diversity and add to the city's cultural and culinary landscape. The project's demo kitchen will allow residents to learn new culinary skills and explore the flavours of Italy, while the artistic elements in the children's play area and streetscape enhancements will create a visually interesting and dynamic environment. Additionally, the planters, screens, and benches are designed to complement the architectural style and define different spaces within the streetscape while providing privacy and adding a decorative element. We are currently working with an artist to develop a concept art piece design for the project. Overall, the project aligns with the city's Arts and Culture Master Plan and contributes to the overall cultural and artistic vitality of Port Moody.	
Total Cultural Sus	tainability Pillar Points = <mark>19</mark> /56

801

Attachment 11

2. Economic Sustainability

Complete Community		
504		Resources
EC1 (13	B points possible)	Master Transportation Plan
Does the project support active transportation to access shops and services by improving the circulation and connectivity of the site to retail shops, services, and employment near the project site?		Examples
		Enforcement
Yes		 Architectural elements are
□ No		secured through the
If yes, check all that apply for how this is achieved:	(up to 13 points)	Development Permit.
Creates connectivity to existing active transportation netwo	ork (up to 3 points)	 Elements on Landscape Plans will be subject to securities.
Eliminates barriers to access for active transportation		will be subject to securities.
(e.g., improving let-downs, accessibility)	(up to 3 points)	 Elements included in Civil Plans will be secured through
Enhances trails and bike paths	(1 point)	the Servicing Agreement.
Creates public amenity space	(1 point)	 Signage will be confirmed
Use of greenery and landscaping to serve pedestrians and to direct patrons to storefront entrances and transit	l (1 point)	through the Signage Plan.
 Wide sidewalks and separation from the road to encourage 		Staff comments
and promote pedestrian movement	c (1 point)	
\Box Blade or tab signs are incorporated as appropriate	(up to 2 points)	Applicant should clarify how development "Enhances trails
Seating, public art, and other amenities are incorporated		and bike paths" scoring conditional
into design of retail storefront area	(up to 3 points)	The patio and seating along the
Receiving/shipping areas are located off pedestrian routes	(1 point)	multi-use path allows for public use and creates a safe rest
□ Other – please explain:	(up to 3 points)	area
		Score 11 /13

802		Attachment 11
EC2 (12 p		Resources
(r	oints possible)	WalkScore
Does the project increase access to daily services or suppleme business composition?	ent the existing	
Yes		Enforcement
□ No		 Architectural elements will be
If yes:		secured through the
Check all that apply: (u	o to 12 points)	Development Permit.
Contiguous retail frontage to maintain continuity of retail storefronts	(2 points)	 Specific uses will be incorporated into the project zoping
 Enhances existing businesses through agglomeration as appropriate 	(2 points)	zoning.
Provides a variety of store widths or opportunity for a variety of storefront widths through combining individual unit	s (2 points)	Staff comments 4 of 6 due to applicant selection.
Provides daily goods and services that are missing or underserved in the current local area business composition	(2 points)	Applicant removed "Provides a
Please explain missing/underserved goods and services ide	ntified:	variety of store widths or opportunity for avariety of
□ Supports expansion of and/or leverages the existing		storefront widths through combining individual units" applicant is proposing grocery store but nothing more. Please clarify
business community in the area	(2 points)	
Please explain how:		
Other – please explain: What is the Walk Score of the proposed project?	(up to 2 points)	
		Score 4 /12

803	Attachment 11
EC3 (5 points possib)	Resources
What is the estimated increase to the current and proposed property assessed value, as would be determined by BC Assessment (market value) for each property classification?	e) <u>Official Community Plan land use</u> <u>plan map</u> <u>BC Assessment Property</u>
(Note that only estimates are required for proposed assessed value as actual assessed values would not be available at this point in the project)	Classification Enforcement
Current (Land & Improvement)	– N/A
Class 1 – residential assessed value: <u>\$2,440,000 + \$2,225,000</u>	-
Class 2 – utilities assessed value:	Staff comments
Class 3 – supportive housing assessed value:	
Class 4 – major industry assessed value:	
Class 5 – light industry assessed value:	-
Class 6 – business other assessed value: <u>\$750,000</u>	-
Estimated Proposed (Land & Improvement)	
Class 1 – residential assessed value: <u>\$5,000,000</u>	_ _
Class 2 – utilities assessed value:	_
Class 3 – supportive housing assessed value:	_
Class 4 – major industry assessed value:	_
Class 5 – light industry assessed value:	_
Class 6 – business other assessed value: <u>\$1,500,000</u>	-
Project provides more assessed value in a non-residential class (2,4,5,6) = 3 poir	nts
Proposed assessed value is higher than current assessed value = 2 poir	nts
Proposed assessed value is the same as current assessed value = 1 po	int
Proposed assessed value is lower than current assessed value = 0 poir	nts
	Score 4 /5

804		Attachment 11
Local Economy		
EC4 (20 poir	nts possible)	Resources
Does the project increase the number of and variety of skilled employn designated as Industrial, Mixed Employment, or Mixed Use in the City' Community Plan?	ment on land	Official community Plan Overall Land Use Plan Map NAICS
Yes		Metro Vancouver Industrial Lands Strategy
□ No		Enforcement
f yes:		Linorgement
# of existing jobs on site: <u>2</u> # of proposed jobs on site: <u>16</u> % of jobs retained: <u>100</u>		 Commitment confirmed through Building Permit Plans re: space/occupant designation For owner spaces, proof of registration of the Strata Plan at Land Title & Survey
If # of existing jobs is not retain If # of existing jobs is retain If # of jobs is increased beyond exist Using the North American Industry Classification System (NA the type of jobs created. Classification to the Sector (first) level is	ned = 3 points ing = 5 points ICS), list	Authority submitted. Staff comments
445298 List the jobs to population ratio on site: (up Up to 0.5, each 0.1 = 1 point; Above 0.5, each 0	to 15 points) 0.1 = 2 points	
16/48 = 0.33		
Are the Industrial, Mixed Employment, or Mixed Use areas lea owned? □ Leased ■ Owned □ Other – please describe:	ised or	
 Have you identified potential occupants for each land use? ■ Yes □ No If yes, list all potential occupants identified and their intended use 	se:	
Bosa Foods Store		
		Score 6 /20

Considered at the July 23, 2024, Regular Council meeting

		Attachment 11
EC5 (12 points p		Enforcement
Does the project retain industrial uses on site?	oossible)	 Architectural elements will be secured through the Development Permit.
		 Occupancy will be confirmed as a part of the Building Permit.
		Otaff a summarity
If yes: Will the zoning restrict a portion of the project to light industrial uses? (Yes No	(5 points)	Staff comments Applicant has marked N/A
Will the industrial use(s) be multi-level (E.g., mezzanine)? (up to ☐ Yes ☐ No	5 points)	
Will the proposed tenants intensify the use of industrial space? (□ Yes □ No	(2 points)	
What is the industrial floor space ratio (FSR)?		Score 0 /12
EC6 (7 points p		Enforcement
Do the sizes and configuration of retail units in the project support a v of occupants, employment, and uses for those units?		 Architectural elements will be secured through the Development Permit.
■ Yes		Staff comments
□ No □ N/A		applicant has removed some choices that did not make sense for this application.
	nointc)	
If yes, check all that will be incorporated: (up to 7)	points)	this was removed "Variaty of
	(1 point)	this was removed "Variety of storefront widths, depths,
 Ceiling heights of at least 12' (floor to ceiling, not floor to slab) Appropriate setbacks to allow for seating, patios, public art, 		
 Ceiling heights of at least 12' (floor to ceiling, not floor to slab) Appropriate setbacks to allow for seating, patios, public art, and other streetscape features and amenities For corner developments, a corner retail storefront with 	(1 point)	storefront widths, depths, ventilation, and glazing treatments etc. to meet the needs of different tenants" this is questionable: Storefronts are adaptable to accommodate
 Ceiling heights of at least 12' (floor to ceiling, not floor to slab) Appropriate setbacks to allow for seating, patios, public art, and other streetscape features and amenities For corner developments, a corner retail storefront with wraparound glazing Variety of storefront widths, depths, ventilation, and glazing 	(1 point) (1 point)	storefront widths, depths, ventilation, and glazing treatments etc. to meet the needs of different tenants" this is questionable: Storefronts are adaptable to accommodate different signage types to support tenant's branding while maintaining neighbourhood
 Ceiling heights of at least 12' (floor to ceiling, not floor to slab) Appropriate setbacks to allow for seating, patios, public art, and other streetscape features and amenities For corner developments, a corner retail storefront with wraparound glazing Variety of storefront widths, depths, ventilation, and glazing treatments etc. to meet the needs of different tenants Exterior lighting is positioned and integrated to enhance 	(1 point) (1 point) (1 point)	storefront widths, depths, ventilation, and glazing treatments etc. to meet the needs of different tenants" this is questionable: Storefronts are adaptable to accommodate different signage types to support tenant's branding while
 Ceiling heights of at least 12' (floor to ceiling, not floor to slab) Appropriate setbacks to allow for seating, patios, public art, and other streetscape features and amenities For corner developments, a corner retail storefront with wraparound glazing Variety of storefront widths, depths, ventilation, and glazing treatments etc. to meet the needs of different tenants Exterior lighting is positioned and integrated to enhance architecture and storefront design Storefronts are adaptable to accommodate different signage types to support tenant's branding while maintaining 	(1 point) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point)	storefront widths, depths, ventilation, and glazing treatments etc. to meet the needs of different tenants" this is questionable: Storefronts are adaptable to accommodate different signage types to support tenant's branding while maintaining neighbourhood

806		Attachment 11
5.07		Resources
EC7 (6 points possible)	Canadian Circular Economy
Does the project contribute to a circular economy?		
Yes		Enforcement
No No		- Architectural elements will be
If yes, check all the circular economy initiatives:	(up to 6 points)	secured through the Development Permit.
re-use of resources (deconstruction for re-use, materials recycled/reclaimed materials, materials made from natural		 Waste related initiatives may be subject to securities.
□ local repair café	(2 points)	- Other elements may be secured
\Box collaboration between local enterprises/industry	(2 points)	with a Section 219 Covenant.
\square design for the future/design for deconstruction	(2 points)	Staff comments
□ maker-space/tool library	(2 points)	
\Box foster a sharing initiative (e.g., car share, bike share etc.)	(2 points)	
□ Other – please describe:	(up to 2 points)	
		Score 0 /6
500		Enforcement
EC8 (A Is the project expected to contribute to the daytime economy population comprised of workers and students) and/or the n economy of Port Moody (i.e. commercial activities in the ever restaurant, entertainment, sports, culture, shops, etc.)?	ighttime	 Architectural elements will be secured through the Development Permit. Elements related to occupancies will be confirmed through the Building Permit.
□ No		Staff comments
If yes, check any of the following sectors that you may be targeting:	(up to 5 points)	
\Box Incorporate office, institutional or light industrial space	(5 points)	
Food and beverage establishment (e.g., restaurant, coffee shop, etc.)	(3 points)	
□ Tourism business	(1 point)	
		Score 3 /5

807	Attachment 11
	Enforcement
EC9 (4 points possible Does the project provide a regional destination for commercial or institution land uses?	- Architectural elements will be
■ Yes □ No	 Elements related to occupancies will be confirmed through the Building Permit.
If yes, please check all that apply: (up to 4 points	s) Staff comments
specialized training/education (2 points)	5)
\Box specialized art (2 points	Applicant needs to address the selected choices. How does the
culture/heritage (2 points)	development provide from your selection?
\Box recreational opportunities (e.g., high performance training centre) (2 points	
Other – please describe: (up to 2 points)	
-The Food store provide specialty Italian products	
	Score 2 /4
EC10 (3 points possible	 Architectural elements will be
Will the project attempt to source local (Port Moody) labour, supply and materials?	secured through the Development Permit.
Yes	- Contractors will be confirmed
No No	through the Building Permit.
If yes, check all that apply: (up to 3 points	s) Staff comments
□ Local supply of materials (1 point	<i>t</i>)
Local labour (1 point	<i>t</i>)
Local contractors (1 point	<i>t</i>)
□ Local professional services (1 point	<i>t</i>)
□ Other – please describe: (1 point	<i>t</i>)
	Score 0 /3

808		Attachment 11
5044		Enforcement
EC11 (3)	points possible)	 Will depend on the type of
Does your project include any innovative economic sustainab not captured?	ility aspects	innovation, determined by staff.
		Staff comments
□ Yes		Applicant has marked N/A
If yes, please describe:	(up to 3 points)	
		Sec. 0 /2
		Score 0/3
EC12		Score 0 /3 Enforcement
	limit economic	
EC12 Does your project face any unique site constraints unique tha sustainability achievement?	t limit economic	Enforcement
Does your project face any unique site constraints unique tha	t limit economic	Enforcement - N/A
Does your project face any unique site constraints unique tha sustainability achievement?	t limit economic	Enforcement - N/A
Does your project face any unique site constraints unique tha sustainability achievement?	t limit economic	Enforcement - N/A
Does your project face any unique site constraints unique tha sustainability achievement? □ Yes ■ No	t limit economic	Enforcement - N/A
Does your project face any unique site constraints unique tha sustainability achievement? □ Yes ■ No	t limit economic	Enforcement - N/A
Does your project face any unique site constraints unique tha sustainability achievement? □ Yes ■ No	t limit economic	Enforcement - N/A

809		Attachment 11
EC13	2 nointe noosible)	Enforcement
	3 points possible)	- Highlighted in Council report
Summarize the project's economic sustainability contribution the performance criteria in this Report Card and additional e previously captured here:	-	Staff comments
The Bosa Foods project contributes to the economic sustainabilin several ways. It creates connectivity to the existing active tranetwork and eliminates barriers to access for active transportatilet-downs and accessibility. Additionally, it enhances trails and be the installation of the St. Johns Street multi-use path. The proje public amenity spaces, such as the rooftop garden and children incorporates greenery and landscaping to serve pedestrians and to commercial areas and transit. Wide sidewalks and separation encourage and promote pedestrian movement, while seating, p other amenities are incorporated into the design of the retail sto Finally, the receiving and shipping areas are located off pedestr Queen Street. Overall, these contributions promote economic s creating a vibrant and accessible commercial and public space residents and visitors alike.	nsportation on, improving ike paths with ct also creates s play area, and d direct patrons n from the road ublic art, and refront area. ian routes from ustainability by	
	Total Economic Su	ıstainability Pillar Points = <mark>38</mark> /93

<mark>810</mark>

Attachment 11

3. Environmental Sustainability

Natural Environment	
	Resources
EN1 (20 points possib	le) Tree Protection Bylaw
Does the project protect and enhance the urban forest, prioritizing tree retention and planting of native or adaptive tree species which provide mult storey habitat (groundcover, shrubs and trees) to increase ecological value	ti-
biodiversity, and resilience to climate change impacts?	, <u>Canadian Landscape Standard</u>
■ Yes	New canopy cover is calculated
	based on the type of trees that are being planted, at 20 year maturity.
	, ,
If yes: Outline the following:	Large Canopy Trees provide 125 m ² per tree (e.g., Douglas Fir, Deodor Cedar, Red Oak)
Number of existing significant mature trees protected on site (i.e., mature trees over 40 cm DBH): <u>0</u> (up to 5 point	Medium Canopy Trees provide 50 m ² per tree (e.g., Evergreen
Number of existing trees over 10 cm protected on site: <u>0</u> (up to 5 points)	maple, Giant Dogwood)
Replacement tree ratio: <u>1.57</u> :1 (total), 1.65:1 (onsite) <i>(up to 5 points)</i> (Note: Native tree species are preferred for areas immediately adjacent to Environmentally Sensitive Areas)	s) Sum Total Species Canopy Area for all proposed species and divide by gross site area to
Trees planted on-site: <u>13</u>	obtain mature canopy coverage percentage
Trees planted off-site: 9	Enforcement
Cash-in-lieu:	Linorcement
Existing canopy cover (%): <u>43%</u>	 Elements on Landscape Plans will be subject to securities.
Proposed canopy cover at 20 years post development (%): <u>40% (*)</u>	may be applied
If canopy cover is the same = 3 poin If proposed canopy cover exceeds existing = up to 5 poin	13
	Staff comments
Demonstrate ability of trees to reach full maturity. Check all that apply: (up to 5 points)	Applicant Changed to "Yes (*) 40% (Does not include offsite
Adequate soil volume as determined by the Canadian Landscape Standard (2 point)	replanting)" s)
Designated space for significant trees/stand of trees to reach full maturity (2 point)	and selected choices. Staff are
Proximity to infrastructure (e.g., Building(s), power lines) (1 point	
	Score 8 /20

811		Attachment 11
		Resources
EN2 (15 poes the site stormwater management plan provide adequate auality, volume and groundwater protection to address the relevant municipal government requirements for future rainfall explimate change?	evant senior	Metro Vancouver's Stormwater Source Control Guidelines Climate Action Plan
Yes		The Chines Integrated Stormwater Management Report (metrovancouver.org)
yes:		DFO Land Development
Do the site conditions work to restore stormwater flows to be closer to pre-development historical conditions?	(2 points)	Guidelines 2018 KWL IDF Curves for Climate Change
Yes		Enforcement
No Indicate which of these approaches the project will use:		 Submission of stormwater management plan that
A. <u>Nature-based Green Infrastructure solutions</u> Check all that apply: (up to 9 points)	addresses the goals indicated will be required.
□ Watercourse daylighting	(3 points)	- Elements on Landscape Plan
□ Constructed wetlands	(3 points)	will be subject to securities.
□ Rain gardens	(up to 3 points)	 Elements included on Civil Plans will be secured through
□ Bioswales	(up to 3 points)	the Servicing Agreement.
Green roof/wall	(up to 3 points)	Staff comments
☐ Other – please describe:	(up 2 points)	
B. <u>Engineered Green Infrastructure solutions</u> Check all that apply:	up to 4 points)	
□ Rainwater harvesting	(2 points)	
 Systems that support street trees (e.g., trenches, soil cel structural soils, etc.) 	ls, <i>(1 point)</i>	
\Box Roof downspout disconnection to Green Infrastructure	(1 point)	
□ Water quality structures	(1 point)	
Absorbent landscaping	(1 point)	
Other – please describe:	(up to 2 points)	
		Score 6 /1

	812	Attachment 11
		Resources
EN3 (applicants choose A or B)	(15 or 6 points possible)	Naturescape Policy 13-6410-03
a. Does the project protect, restore and/or component ecology on-site?	ensate for site	Enforcement
□ Yes		
No		 Elements on Landscape Plan will be subject to securities.
□ N/A (applicants choose A or B)		
If yes, check all that apply:	(up to 15 points)	Staff comments (A)
Watercourse daylighting	(5 points)	Stari comments (A)
□ Constructed wetlands (3 points)		
No increase in existing impervious area	(4 points)	
Area (m²):		
Riparian Area Restoration	(up to 3 points)	
□ Aquatic restoration	(2 points)	
□ Non-riparian forest restoration	(2 points)	
Native/"naturescape" landscaping	(2 points)	
$\Box\;$ Removal of invasive plant species from nat	ural areas (2 points)	
Other biodiversity and habitat enhancemen	t (1 point)	
Salvage replanting	(1 point)	
□ Other – please describe:	(up to 3 points)	
		Staff comments (B)
		Applicant is encouraged to
OR		review selection and naturescape policy in
Does the project provide other biodiversity en setting?	hancement in an urban	combination with their application.
Yes		
□ No		
□ N/A (applicants choose A or B)		
If yes, check all that apply:	(up to 6 points)	
Other biodiversity and habitat enhancemen	t (1 point)	
Native/"naturescape" landscaping	(2 points)	
□ Other – please describe:	(up to 3 points)	
		Score 3 /15 or

	81	13	Attachment 11
			Resources
EN4 Is the pro (ESA)? □ Yes	posed property located in an Environmentally	(10 points possible) Sensitive Area	Official Community Plan Map 13: Environmentally Sensitive Areas and Appendix 2: Development Permit Area Guidelines
			Enforcement
■ N/A If yes: i. W	hat is the designation of the ESA?		 Environmentally Sensitive Area DP, other means of protection are required as established in criteria ii.
			Staff comments
I II. W	hat are the means of ESA protection?	(up to 8 points)	Applicant has selected N/A
	Covenant	(3 points) (1 point)	
	Monitoring	(up to 2 points)	
	Other – please explain:	(up to 2 points) (up to 2 points)	
iii. F	How is the ESA being improved?	(up to 2 points)	
			Score 0/10

814		Attachment 11
		Resources
EN5 (5	points possible)	Water meter Specifications
Does the project reduce potable water use from existing site of and/or per capita?	conditions	Enforcement
■ Yes		 Elements included on Civil Plans will be secured through the Servicing Agreement.
□ No		 Elements on Landscape Plans
If yes, check all that apply:	(up to 5 points)	will be subject to securities.
Drought tolerant landscaping (xeriscaping) with native spece	cies (0.5 points)	 Low flow/flush and greywater systems will be confirmed
Installation of a water meter display to show consumption for occupants more frequently than billing	(0.5 points)	through the Building Permit. – Elements noted on Mechanical
Non-water dependent materials/features for ground cover treatment	(0.5 points)	Drawings will be confirmed through the Building Permit.
Irrigation system with central control, rain sensors, and drip irrigation on and off-site	(0.5 points)	Staff comments
Captured rainwater irrigation or greywater system	(0.5 points)	Applicant will be expected to adhere to proposed selections.
□ Other – please explain:	(up to 2 points)	Scoring is conditonal
		Score 1 /5
ENG		Resources
EN6 (5	points possible)	Green Shores
Is the project located along the Burrard Inlet foreshore or othe by coastal flooding (e.g., sea level rise, coastal squeeze, etc.)	-	Port Moody Zoning Bylaw Section 5.3.5
□ Yes		Enforcement
N/A		 Setbacks and minimum
If yes, describe how the project will mitigate risks and impacts flooding events in current and future climate scenarios:	s of coastal (up to 5 points)	building elevation are confirmed through the Development Permit and Building Permit.
		Staff comments
		Applicant has selected N/A
		Score 0 /5

815 Attachment 11 Resources EN7 (5 points possible) **Brownfields** Does the project redevelop and rehabilitate a brownfield site? (5 points) **Contaminated Sites Regulations** □ Yes Enforcement N/A - Proof of compliance with provincial contaminated sites regulations will be required. Staff comments Applicant has selected N/A Score 0 /5 Resources EN8 (4 points possible) International Dark Sky Does the design of outdoor lighting incorporate technology to minimize the Association for Dark Sky Friendly harmful effects of light pollution? Lighting Yes Enforcement 🗆 No - Lighting details will be confirmed through the Building Check all that apply to ensure that lights are: (up to 4 points) Permit and will be subject to securities through Landscape Only on when needed (0.5 points) Plans. Only light the area that needs it (0.5 points) Staff comments No brighter than necessary (0.5 points) Minimizes blue light emissions (0.5 points) elements will need to be addressed at later stages. Fully shielded (pointing downward) (0.5 points) LED lights (0.5 points) Non-reflective pavement surface (0.5 points) □ Other – describe the lighting plan for the site and its dark sky friendly features: (up to 0.5 points) Score 4 /4

816		Attachment 11
		Resources
EN9	(3 points possible)	Management Divid Oberta ma
Does the project provide bird-friendly development through landscaping		Vancouver Bird Strategy
features that provide habitat to native species and building reduces bird collisions?	design that	Enforcement
Yes		 Elements included on
		Landscape Plans will be subject to securities.
	(up to 2 points)	 Architectural elements will be
If yes, check all that apply:	(up to 3 points) (0.5 points)	secured through the
Building design minimizes the quantity of glass	(0.5 points)	Development Permit.
Incorporation of visual markers	(0.5 points)	Staff comments
Incorporation of features to block reflections	(0.5 points)	
Landscaping is appropriate distance from glass features	(0.5 points)	
Reduces light pollution	(0.5 points)	
Building design reduces trapping potential by ensuring o ventilation grates and drains are inaccessible to birds	pen pipes, (0.5 points)	
Landscaping plan incorporates a diversity of native plant provide food options for birds throughout the year	s that (0.5 points)	
Landscaping plan creates habitat complexity by including shrubs, understory, and canopy layers in a stepped patt		
Other – please explain:	(0.5 points)	
		Score 3 /3
		Resources
EN10	(2 points possible)	Fire Oreset Oresede
Does the project include forest fire prevention measures or	fire smart	Fire Smart Canada
building features?		Enforcement
□ Yes ■ No		 Materials will be confirmed through the Building Permit.
If yes, list all features:	(up to 2 points)	Staff comments
		Score 0/2

817 Attachment 11 Resources **EN11** (2 points possible) Salmon Safe BC Certification Is the project seeking third party environmental certifications (e.g., Salmon Safe BC certification)? Enforcement □ Yes - Certification will be confirmed through Section 219 Covenant. No No Staff comments Score 0 /2 Air Quality – Low Carbon Mobility Resources **EN12** (12 points possible) Port Moody Zoning Bylaw Does the project provide sustainable transportation infrastructure for user Port Moody Electric Vehicle groups of each land use type, which contributes to reducing greenhouse gas charging Infrastructure Bulletin emissions from this development beyond requirements in the Zoning Bylaw? Enforcement Yes - Elements noted on 🗆 No Architectural Plans will be confirmed through the If yes, check all that apply: (up to 12 points) **Development Permit and** Building Permit Plans. □ Unbundled and/or district parking (2 points) Transit passes/unbundled & Level 2 EVSE installed (as defined in technical bulletin) (2 points) district parking will be secured □ Public EV DC Fast Charging EVSE installed (2 points) through a Section 219 Covenant. □ More than 20% of commercial EV charging infrastructure provided (1 point) Subsidized transit pass and transit information package (1 point) - End of trip facilities/parking uses included on Architectural Micro e-charging is provided for a minimum of 10% of Plans will be confirmed through storage/parking spaces (e.g., e-bicycle, e-scooter) (1 point) the Development Permit. Energized EV Charging for visitor parking (1 point) □ End of trip bicycle facilities Staff comments (1 point) □ Bike share and assigned parking (1 point) □ Car share and assigned parking space provision in nearby on-street/public parking (1 point) □ Other – please describe: (up to 2 points) Score 4 /12

818		Attachment 11
EN13 (11 po	ints possible)	Resources
Does the project incorporate measures to support and increase transportation?	active	Port Moody Master Transportation Plan
Yes		Enforcement
□ No		 Elements included in Civil Plans will be included in Servicing Agreement.
If yes, check all that apply: (up	to 11 points):	
Connects to existing pedestrian/cycling routes and priority destinations	(1 point)	 Elements included in Architectural Plans will be secured through Development
Improved crossings of busy streets	(1 point)	Permit.
Improved local pedestrian routes, local bike networks/trails	(1 point)	Staff comments
Safe, secure, accessible, and sustainable footpaths	(1 point)	
Pedestrian clearway sufficient to accommodate pedestrian flo	w (1 point)	Scoring is conditional. Applicant needs to address staff
Covered outdoor waiting areas, overhangs, or awnings	(1 point)	comments concerning the civil
Pedestrian scale lighting	(1 point)	drawings and traffic study. Once File manager is satisfied points
Pedestrian/bike only zones	(1 point)	will not be conditional
Improves connections to transit (bus/SkyTrain/		Provided by traffic study and
West Coast Express)	(1 point)	civil
$\Box \text{Other} - \text{please describe:} \qquad (t)$	up to 2 points)	
		Score 9 /11

	819	Attachment 11
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	and Energy Reductions	
		Resources
EN14	(12 points possible)	Energy Step Code Corporate
Does the project provide a low carbon en prioritizes on-site local energy systems water heating? (Note: systems should me greater) Examples include: solar; district en	that provide heating, cooling and hot et a Coefficient of Performance of 2 or	<u>Vancouver low carbon energy</u> system policy
Yes		<u>Refrigerants & Environmental</u> Impacts: A Best Practice Guide -
□ No		Integral Group
£		Enforcement
f yes:		 LCES confirmed through the
Describe the system type:Heating mechanical system	(up to 10 points) (up to 5 points)	Energy Step Code Corporate
C P	and HRV combo unit	Policy commitment and Building Permit.
 Fuel source (e.g., electricity, rer 		_
Hot water mechanical system	(up to 3 points)	 Mechanical systems confirmed through Building Permit Plans.
· · · · · ·	neat pump with electric back-up	
- Fuel source (e.g., electricity, re	newable etc.): <u>electricity</u>	Staff comments
Cooling mechanical system	(up to 2 points)	previous report card submission
 Description: <u>In-suite heat pump</u> 	o and HRV combo unit	had "How will the project mitigate refrigerant leakage?"
 Fuel source (e.g., electricity, re 	newable etc.): <u>electricity</u>	answered. Applicant should
Does the system use refrigerants wit (GWP)? ■ Yes	th low global warming potential	Applicant has "Added the previous response back concerning leakage"
If yes, check the low GWP system		
Centralized system (e.g., comm ammonia, R744, water, CO2, F R454b, R513a, R32, R410a, R	R1234ze, or R1234yf,	
□ Distributed system (e.g., VRF) u	using R32 or equivalent (2 points)	
Individual system (e.g., split or i using R290 propane, R744, or		
□ Other	(up to 2 points)	
How will the project mitigate refriger	ant leakage?	
Compact all in one in-suite heat pump charge, will reduce the refrigerant pipe installed by a registered installer with t	length installation. Units will be	
		Score 12 /12

820

Attachment	11	

	Allachment T
	Resources
N15 (11 points poss	ible) Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) Practice Guide [Carbon
II the project include strategies to reduce lifecycle (embodied) greenho	
s emissions from the project and increase carbon sequestration? the that projects should aim to have total embodied carbon emissions	CLF Embodied Carbon Policy Toolkit - Carbon Leadership
low 500 kgCO ₂ e/m²)	Forum
Yes	<u>lcm-public-sector-guide.pdf</u> (gov.bc.ca)
No	Methodology to Calculate
res:	Embodied Carbon of Materials [RICS] (PDF)
Check all that apply: (up to 10 point	
□ Tracking and reporting project embodied emissions (1 pc	bint) Assessment: Reference Build Structure and Strategies [ASC
\Box Embodied emissions third-party certification: (1 pc	Dint) Zero Code – Off-Site
List the certification:	Procurement of Renewable
Wood frame construction (2 points)	ints) Energy [Architecture 2030] (P
Low carbon concrete construction (1 pc	<i>Carbon Smart Materials Palet</i> [Architecture 2030]
\Box materials sourced locally to reduce transportation emissions (1 pc	
\Box labour sourced locally to reduce transportation emissions (1 pc	Dint) Environment Agency's Carbo
\Box Selecting materials with environmental product declarations (1 pc	<i>Calculator for Construction</i> <u>Activities</u>
\Box Low embodied emissions disposal of materials (1 pc	
□ Utilization of natural insulation products (1 pc	oint) One Click LCA
 Targeting third party certification under ISO 14040, ISO 14044, and/or EN15978 (2 point 2010) 	<u>Tally</u>
□ Commitment to reduce at least 40% of embodied emissions compared	Project teams may use a carb calculator that is not listed abo
to project embodied emissions baseline: (2 poi	
% reduction committed to:	assessment
Submission of pre- and post-construction lifecycle assessment (1 po	Enforcement
□ Low embodied emissions material selection policy (1 po	
□ Benchmarking embodied emissions performance (1 pc	through Section 219 Coven
□ Other – please describe: (1 pc	pint)
	Staff comments
Please state the estimated embodied emissions of the project: (1 po	
over the building's estimated lifespan:	
 in kgCO₂e/m²: 	
Provide the name of the calculator used to provide an estimate and/or	
name of the organization who provided the embodied emissions analy	ysis:
	Score 5

	821	Attachment 11
		Resources
EN16	(10 points possible)	Built Green Canada
Will the project achieve a recognized industry standard for sustainable		LEED Canada
design? (Note that the City does not accept equivalence		Zero Carbon Building Standard
complete full certification)		Energy Star
□ Yes		BOMA BEST
No No		Canadian Passive House
□ N/A		Institute ILFI – Zero Carbon Certification
If yes, check all that apply:		
□ Built Green Canada – certification level:	(10 points)	Enforcement
		 Commitment will be secured through Section 219 Covenant.
□ LEED – certification level:	(10 points)	through dection 219 dovenant.
□ Zero Carbon Building Standard	(10 points)	Staff comments
Energy Star	(10 points)	
	(10 points)	
Canadian Passive House Institute	(10 points)	
International Living Future Institute – Zero Carbo		
Other – please describe:	(up to 10 points)	
		Score 0 /10
		Resources
EN17	(8 points possible)	Resources Pacific Climate Impacts
Does the project include strategies to ensure buildin	,	Resources Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files
Does the project include strategies to ensure buildin future climate change scenarios?	,	Resources Pacific Climate Impacts
Does the project include strategies to ensure buildin	,	Resources Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive
Does the project include strategies to ensure buildin future climate change scenarios?	,	Resources Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit Enforcement
 Does the project include strategies to ensure building future climate change scenarios? ■ Yes □ No If yes, check all that apply: 	ngs do not overheat in (up to 8 points)	Resources Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit Enforcement - Elements included in Architectural Plans will be
Does the project include strategies to ensure building future climate change scenarios? Yes No If yes, check all that apply: Natural/passive ventilation	ngs do not overheat in (up to 8 points) (1 point)	Resources Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit Enforcement - Elements included in Architectural Plans will be secured through the
 Does the project include strategies to ensure building future climate change scenarios? Yes No If yes, check all that apply: Natural/passive ventilation Stacked windows 	ngs do not overheat in (up to 8 points) (1 point) (1 point)	Resources Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit Enforcement - Elements included in Architectural Plans will be secured through the Development Permit and confirmed through the Building
Does the project include strategies to ensure building future climate change scenarios? Yes No If yes, check all that apply:	ngs do not overheat in (up to 8 points) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point)	Resources Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit Enforcement - Elements included in Architectural Plans will be secured through the Development Permit and
Does the project include strategies to ensure building future climate change scenarios? Yes No If yes, check all that apply: Natural/passive ventilation Stacked windows Earth tempering ducting Passive evaporative cooling	ngs do not overheat in (up to 8 points) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point)	Resources Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit Enforcement - Elements included in Architectural Plans will be secured through the Development Permit and confirmed through the Building Permit.
Does the project include strategies to ensure building future climate change scenarios? Yes No No If yes, check all that apply: Natural/passive ventilation Stacked windows Earth tempering ducting Passive evaporative cooling Fixed/operable external shading	ngs do not overheat in (up to 8 points) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point)	Resources Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit Enforcement - Elements included in Architectural Plans will be secured through the Development Permit and confirmed through the Building
Does the project include strategies to ensure building future climate change scenarios? Yes No If yes, check all that apply: Natural/passive ventilation Stacked windows Earth tempering ducting Passive evaporative cooling Fixed/operable external shading Natural shading	ngs do not overheat in (up to 8 points) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point)	Resources Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit Enforcement - Elements included in Architectural Plans will be secured through the Development Permit and confirmed through the Building Permit.
Does the project include strategies to ensure building future climate change scenarios? Yes No No If yes, check all that apply: Natural/passive ventilation Stacked windows Earth tempering ducting Passive evaporative cooling Fixed/operable external shading Natural shading Low window to wall area ratio	ngs do not overheat in (up to 8 points) (1 point) (1 point)	Resources Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit Enforcement - Elements included in Architectural Plans will be secured through the Development Permit and confirmed through the Building Permit.
Does the project include strategies to ensure building future climate change scenarios? Yes No If yes, check all that apply: Natural/passive ventilation Stacked windows Earth tempering ducting Passive evaporative cooling Fixed/operable external shading Natural shading Low window to wall area ratio Thermal massing	ngs do not overheat in (up to 8 points) (1 point) (1 point)	Resources Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit Enforcement - Elements included in Architectural Plans will be secured through the Development Permit and confirmed through the Building Permit.
Does the project include strategies to ensure building future climate change scenarios? Yes No No If yes, check all that apply: Natural/passive ventilation Stacked windows Earth tempering ducting Passive evaporative cooling Fixed/operable external shading Natural shading Low window to wall area ratio Thermal massing Building Energy Model using future climate weath	ngs do not overheat in (up to 8 points) (1 point) (1	Resources Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit Enforcement - Elements included in Architectural Plans will be secured through the Development Permit and confirmed through the Building Permit.
Does the project include strategies to ensure building future climate change scenarios? Yes No If yes, check all that apply: Natural/passive ventilation Stacked windows Earth tempering ducting Passive evaporative cooling Fixed/operable external shading Natural shading Low window to wall area ratio Thermal massing Building Energy Model using future climate weath High-efficiency low carbon mechanical cooling	ngs do not overheat in (up to 8 points) (1 point) (1	Resources Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit Enforcement - Elements included in Architectural Plans will be secured through the Development Permit and confirmed through the Building Permit.
Does the project include strategies to ensure building future climate change scenarios? Yes No No If yes, check all that apply: Natural/passive ventilation Stacked windows Earth tempering ducting Passive evaporative cooling Fixed/operable external shading Natural shading Low window to wall area ratio Thermal massing Building Energy Model using future climate weath	ngs do not overheat in (up to 8 points) (1 point) (1	Resources Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit Enforcement - Elements included in Architectural Plans will be secured through the Development Permit and confirmed through the Building Permit.

	Attachment 11
	Resources
EN18	(8 points possible) Reducing Urban Heat Islands to
Does the project reduce the heat island effect on the	Protect Health in Canada
Yes	Enforcement
□ No	 Landscaped elements will be subject to securities.
If yes, check all that apply:	(up to 8 points) – Elements included in
\Box Water features on site	(1 point) Architectural Plans will be secured through the
Natural shade around the structures (trees, climbing)	
Increase canopy cover compared to existing canop	communents will be communed
□ Green infrastructure such as green roofs, rain gard	Adreement and Development
absorbent landscaping etc. □ Use of low-albedo materials	(1 point) Permit as noted on Civil Plans and other plans noted above.
 Reducing waste heat production through energy e and active transportation 	(1 point) Staff comments
□ Other – please describe:	(up to 2 points)
	Score 2 /8
EN19	Resources
	(6 points possible) Building Bylaw
Which Step of the Energy Step Code will the project to comply with?	BC Energy Step Code
Part 9	Energy Step Code Corporate Policy
□ Step 3	(0 points) Enforcement
□ Step 4	(2 points)
□ Step 5	(3 points) - Step Code commitment will be confirmed through the Building
Part 3	Permit and a Section 219 Covenant.
□ Step 2	(0 points)
■ Step 3 (2 points for large residential	
□ Step 4	(3 points)
	Score 2 /6

823	Attachment 11
	Resources
EN20 (2 points possible) Does the project allocate sufficient and accessible recycling and garbage storage space in multi-residential and commercial buildings and complexes compatible with Metro Vancouver's Technical Specifications for Recycling and Garbage Amenities in Multi Family and Commercial Developments? (1 point)	Zoning Bylaw Metro Vancouver's Technical Specifications for Recycling and Garbage Amenities in Multi- family and Commercial Developments
Yes	Bear Resistant Guidelines for
□ No	Solid Waste, Organics, and
If yes, outline the space provided for each (m ²):	Recycling Enclosures & Containers
Residential recycling: 12.68	Enforcement
Residential garbage: <u>(22.88-12.68)/2 = 5.1</u>	
Residential green waste: <u>(22.88-12.68)/2 = 5.1</u>	 Elements included on the Architectural Plans will be
Commercial recycling: <u>10</u>	secured through the
Commercial garbage: 5	Development Permit.
Commercial green waste: <u>5</u>	Staff comments
Does the design of the waste area provide safe and universally accessible access in a secure common area?(1 point)	
■ Yes	
□ No	
lf yes – please explain:	
Elevator and leveled access	
Does the design of the waste area align with the Bear Resistant Guidelines for Solid Waste, Organics, and Recycling Enclosures & Containers?	
■ Yes	
□ No	Score 2 /2

824		Attachment 11
EN24		Enforcement
EN21 (3 poir	nts possible)	- Will depend on the type of
Does your project include any innovative environmental sustainal aspects not captured?	bility	innovation, determined by staff.
■ Yes		Staff comments
□ N/A		
If yes, please describe:		
Waste heat reuse (from freezers), Heat recovery ventilators		
		Score 3 /3
EN 20		Enforcement
EN22		 Highlighted in Council reports.
Does your project face any unique site constraints unique that lin	nit	nginginoù in eouron roporto.
environmental sustainability achievement?		Staff comments
□ Yes		
No No		
If yes, please describe:		

825		Attachment 11
	Enforce	
EN23	(3 points possible)	abted in Council reports
Summarize the project's environmental sustainability co		ghted in Council reports.
the performance criteria in this Report Card and addition		omments
previously captured here: The project incorporates several environmental sustainability including a site stormwater management plan, biodiversity e with native landscaping, and bird-friendly development throu and building design. The outdoor lighting is designed to mini pollution and reduce bird collisions. The project also provide transportation infrastructure, including EV charging stations active transportation. The low carbon energy system prioritiz energy systems for heating, cooling, and hot water heating. includes strategies to reduce lifecycle greenhouse gas emiss buildings do not overheat in future climate change scenarios heat island effect. Finally, the project will be designed to step step code and utilize waste heat from the commercial retail to the project and utilize waste heat from the commercial retail to the project and utilize waste heat from the commercial retail to the project and utilize waste heat from the commercial retail to the project and utilize waste heat from the commercial retail to the project and utilize waste heat from the commercial retail to the project and utilize waste heat from the commercial retail to the project will be designed to step and utilize waste heat from the commercial retail to the project and utilize waste heat from the commercial retail to the project with the project will be designed to step and utilize waste heat from the commercial retail to the project with the proj	/ measures, nhancements gh landscaping mize light s sustainable and facilities for es on-site local The project also sions, ensure , and reduce the o 3 of the energy	omments
		Score 3 /3
Tota	l Environmental Sustainability	Pillar Points = 75 /172

Considered at the July 23, 2024, Regular Council meeting

826

Attachment 11

4. Social Sustainability

04	Resources	
S1	(30 points possible)	ribution
Does the project provide voluntary ameni	ies? Community Amenity Con Policy	indulor
Yes	Enforcement	
🗆 No	- Elements on Architectu	rol
□ N/A (select if making contribution to the 0	ity's amenity reserve) Plans will be secured the	
f yes:	Development Permit.	
Check all that apply:	(up to 15 points) - Elements on Landscap	
□ Community centre/facility	(15 points) will be subject to securi	ties.
Space for growing food	(3 points) – Section 219 Covenant	elating
Child play areas	(1 point) to childcare spaces and	
Gathering place/space	(1 point) community + arts and c	
□ Usable public park/greenspace	(10 points) facilities will be required	1.
Community facilities	(3 points) – Housing related amenit	ies wil
Arts and cultural facilities	(3 points) be included in the House	
Streetscape and pedestrian improvement	Adreement	
Accessible landscaped roof deck	(3 points) – Public Art Securities wi	lhe
Dog runs/ dog wash station	(2 points) applied to any public ar	
□ Work space	(2 points) amenities.	
 Other – please describe: 	(up to 3 points) – Parkland contributions	o tho
Bike wash station	City will be formalized t the subdivision and par dedication process.	hrough
Does the project provide dedicated sp	ace for childcare? (10 points) Staff comments	
Yes		
□ No	Applicant is encouraged	to
	review City bylaws and definitions of selected ch	oices
If yes, is the dedicated space for o	hore. Some are question	
a non-profit?	(5 points)	
□ No		
OR		
Does the project contribute to the Genera Reserve as per the Community Amenity C		
□ Yes		
□ No		
☐ No ☐ N/A (select if amenities are provided)	on site)	
 No N/A (select if amenities are provided If yes, what is the \$ amount contribution 		

82	27	Attachment 11
S2		Resources
Does the project provide new purpose-built market rent affordable rental housing or contributes to the city's aff reserve fund in lieu of provision of affordable housing?	ordable housing	Port Moody Affordable Housing Reserve Fund Policy Interim Affordable Housing
Check all that apply:		Guidelines
□ 5% shelter rate housing	(up to 25 points)	Community Amenity Contribution Policy
□ 15% Affordable rental housing	(up to 15 points)	Enforcement
20% Purpose-built market rental housing	(up to 5 points)	
□ 15% Rent to own	(up to 2 points)	 Commitments will be secured through Housing Agreement
□ Affordable housing fund contribution	(1 point)	and Community Amenity Contribution collection process.
□ None	(0 points)	Staff comments
If none, describe other measures or amenities provided in I affordable housing: If purpose-built/affordable rental, provide the following inf		
Types (e.g., purpose-built or affordable): Purpose-built	officiation.	
Description (bedroom number breakdown): <u>8-Studio; 21-1B; 12-2B; 7-3B</u>		
% of total housing units: <u>100</u>		
If financial contribution, what is the total amount of dollars Affordable Housing Reserve Fund? Does this amount exceed the \$2/sqft requirement? □ Yes	-	
□ No		Score 5 /25

828		Attachment 11
00		Resources
S3 Does the project provide accessible residential unit(s) an design features for multi-residential developments beyon requirements?		<u>BC Building Code Accessibility</u> <u>Handbook</u> Enforcement
Yes		 Architectural elements will be
🗆 No		secured through the Development Permit and Building Permit.
If yes, check all the residential unit feature options: (Note: adaptable and accessible units are as defined in the B	(up to 21 points) C Building Code)	Staff comments
\square 60% of single storey residential units are adaptable unit	ts (1 point)	
\square 70% of single storey residential units are adaptable unit	ts (1 point)	
\square 80% of single storey residential units are adaptable unit	ts (1 point)	
\square 90% of single storey residential units are adaptable uni	ts (1 point)	
\square 100% of single storey residential units are adaptable ur	nits (1 point)	
\square 10% of single storey residential units are accessible un		
\square 20% of single storey residential units are accessible un		
\square 30% of single storey residential units are accessible un		
\Box 40% of single storey residential units are accessible un		
\square 50% of single storey residential units are accessible un		
\square 60% of single storey residential units are accessible un		
\square 70% of single storey residential units are accessible un		
\square 80% of single storey residential units are accessible un		
\square 90% of single storey residential units are accessible un		
\square 100% of single storey residential units are accessible u	nits (2 points)	
Project incorporates adaptable and accessible design f in the site/building circulation and bathrooms in all othe		
If no, list any additional accessible features provided that required by the BC Building Code: Examples include: • Accessible amenity features • Number of elevators exceeds Building Code requiren • Automated door opening	(up to 2 points)	
		Score 1 /23

	829		Attachment 11
•			Enforcement
S4 (10 points possible) Does the project include a range of unit sizes for a variety of household types?		 Unit types will be secured through the Housing Agreement. 	
Yes			
□ No			Staff comments
If yes:	(maximum of 10 poi	ints for mixed tenure)	
 proportion of bedroor At least 30% of the 3-bedroom units At least 10% of the or more OR 	jects, does the project meet or ex n types: total project units be 2 and total project units be 3-bedrooms jects, does the project meet or ex	(up to 5 points) (up to 5 Points)	
proportion of bedroor At least 25% of the	n types: total project units be 2 and		
3-bedroom units		(up to 5 points)	
	otal project units be 3-bedrooms		
or more		(up to 5 Points)	Score 10 /10
0.5			Resources
	a rental housing component whe) years or the lifespan of the build		Interim affordable housing Policy Enforcement
Yes	years of the mespan of the band	ing.	 Commitment will be secured
□ No			through the Housing Agreement.
	secured for 60 years or the lifespa	an of the building:	Staff comments
100		(up to 10 points)	Staff comments
			Score 10 /10

830 Attachment 11 Resources **S6** (10 points possible) Age Friendly Plan Does the project support aging in place? (Refer to Age Friendly Plan Fraser Health Family Guide to recommendations) (i.e., adult care, assisted living space, independent senior living Services for Seniors space) Enforcement □ Yes - Elements on Architectural No No Plans will be secured through the Development Permit. □ N/A (up to 10 points) If yes, list all the supports for aging in place: - Elements on Landscape Plans will be subject to securities. Note: this criterion does not include adaptable and/or accessible units. Staff comments Score 0 /10 Enforcement **S7** (9 points possible) - Elements on Architectural Does the development include a mix of housing types? Plans will be secured through **Development Permit and** □ Yes Building Permit. No - Rental units will be secured If yes, list the number of units per housing type: (up to 9 points) through the Housing Agreement. _____ (3 points) Live-work units: _____ Ground-oriented units: ______(3 points) • Staff comments • Lock-off units______(3 points) Score 0 /9

831		Attachment 11
00		Resources
S8 (7 points possible)		Guidance for Cleaner Air Spaces
Will the project ensure occupants have clean, cool air during times of poor air guality and/or wildfire events beyond Building Code requirements?		During Wildfire Smoke Events Guide to Air Cleaners in the
Yes		Home
□ No		Enforcement
If yes, check all that apply:	(up to 7 points)	- Commitment will be secured
Improved mechanical ventilation		through Building Permit.
(e.g., proper commissioning, increase outdoor air inta	ke) (1 point)	Staff comments
Improved air filtration (e.g., HEPA particulate air filtration	on) <i>(1 point)</i>	
Airtightness better than ACH of 2	(1 point)	Applicant was provided scoring earlier for provided selection.
No indoor combustion appliances (e.g., gas stove or final store)	replace) (1 point)	However, staff cannot find supporting documentation that
Homeowner/occupant health and safety information	(1 point)	supports choices here. this scoring is/ was contingent on
□ Other – please describe:	(up to 2 points)	ability to provide elements. Scoring will be lost moving
		forward if nothing is available
		Score 5 /7

832		Attachment 11
22		Resources
S9 (7 points possible)		Strengthening Neighbourhood
Does the design of the project help to facilitate mental hea social connectedness?	Ith, wellness and	Resilience
Yes		Enforcement
		- Elements on Architectural
□ No		Plans will be secured through the Development Permit.
If yes:	<i></i>	
Check all that apply:	(up to 7 points)	 Elements on Landscape Plans will be subject to securities.
Semi-public gathering space with comfortable seating	(1 point)	Det friendly units will be
All weather recreation areas/wellness space	(1 point)	 Pet friendly units will be secured through a Section 219
Pet friendly units / amenities (e.g., dog run)	(1 point)	Covenant.
Greenspace that facilitates socialization	(1 point)	Staff comments
Prioritizing pedestrians	(1 point)	"All weather recreation
Creative design to promote social interaction	(1 point)	areas/wellness space" is
□ Other – please describe:	(up to 2 points)	questionable.
		Applicant states"Rooftop amenity and greenhouse provides indoor and outdoor sheltered area." as all weather. Staff disagree
Reference to plans (e.g., landscape plans/architectural	plans, etc.)	
		Score 4 /7

833	Attachment 11
640	Resources
S10 (6 points possib	A Guide to Community
Does the project provide urban vitalization by involving land owner and occupants, community groups, and end user groups who may be affected b	Revitalization
the proposal in the planning process to identify and showcase Port Moody' unique assets (i.e. goes above and beyond standard notification and consultation)?	- Summary of community engagement will be required.
Example: Host a community-building workshop with the neighbourhood at the tim of a project's inception to determine values and identify unique assets to leverage through design	ie i
□ Yes	
No No	
If yes:	
List all the stakeholders and their involvement: (1 point	int)
Identify actions taken in response to stakeholder input (up to 5 point	s)
	Score 0/6

834	Attachment 11
	Resources
S11 (5 points possible)	City of Vancouver <u>Bulletin:</u>
Does the project provide or designate spaces for growing food in private or common areas including on-site secure composting to support gardening	<u>Sustainable Large Development</u> (PDF)
activities? Yes	Applies to large developments (2+ Acres).
	Enforcement
If yes:	 Elements on Architectural Plans will be secured through
Check all that apply: (up to 5 points)	Development Permit.
Community garden (2 <i>points</i>)	 Elements on Landscape Plans will be subject to securities.
□ Secure on-site community compost (2 <i>point</i>)	
Secure features	Staff comments
(e.g., fencing to prevent wildlife access, tool storage etc.) (1 point)	Applicant needs to clarify selected elements. Scoring is
Other – please describe: (up to 2 points)	conditional
 Indoor rooftop greenhouse Tool storage and access is secured on the rooftop Every unit also have private balconies for growing food/gardening 	
	Score 5 /5
S12 (3 points possible)	Enforcement
	- Acoustic analysis identified as
Will the project undertake any of the following analysis?	a Development Permit application requirement, as
Acoustic analysis (1 point)	appropriate to the project location.
□ Thermal comfort analysis (1 point)	
CPTED analysis (1 point)	 Requirement for Thermal Comfort Analysis would be identified through the rezoning process.
	Staff comments
	Applicant needs to address this. Where is the documentation to support this choice Applicant has stated "To be provided". 0 until done.
	Score 0 /3

835	Attachment 11
	Resources
S13 (3 points possible)	CPTED
Does the design of the site prevent crime through crime prevention through environmental design principles (CPTED)?	Enforcement
□ Yes	- A CPTED analysis is required
No No	for submission.
If yes, describe the crime prevention design measures: (up to 3 points)	 Elements on Architectural Plans will be secured through the Development Permit.
	 Elements on Landscape Plans will be subject to securities.
	Staff comments
	Score 0/3
	Resources
S14 (3 points possible)	Pets OK BC
Will the project allow for pet friendly rental units?	Enforcement
 Yes No If yes, describe how the project will allow for pet friendly rental units and any other pet friendly details of the project 	 A Section 219 Covenant related to no prohibition on pet rental for strata developments will be required.
(e.g., dog run, pet wash station etc.): (3 points)	Staff comments
Dog wash station	Should be 0 based on what question is asking. Dog washing station is only reason for scoring

836	Attachment 11
S15 (2 points possible) Does the development provide diversification by increasing the mix of uses for the particular site and its neighbourhood?	 Enforcement Elements on Architectural Plans will be secured through Development Permit.
 Yes No If yes, describe the proposed uses: (up to 2 points for 3 uses) Residential % total floorspace/site area: 189% Commercial % total floorspace/site area: 49% 	Staff comments
 Industrial % total floorspace/site area: <u>0</u> Institutional % total floorspace/site area: <u>0</u> Park (note type) % total floorspace/site area: <u>0</u> Gathering space % total floorspace/site area: <u>44%</u> 	Score 1/2
S16 (2 points possible) Climate change is expected to bring more frequent and intense weather that may result in increased and prolonged power outages. Will this project be designed to provide occupants basic needs amid prolonged power outage and extreme weather? (e.g., back-up power supply, energy independent features) □ Yes ■ No If yes, list all measures: (up to 2 points)	Enforcement Elements included in Civil Plans will be secured through the Servicing Agreement. Energy and mechanical systems will be confirmed through the Building Permit.

837	Attachment 11	
	Enforcement	
S17 (2 points possible)		
Does the project provide education and awareness of the sustainable features of the project for owners/occupants?	 Written commitment from applicant detailing education and awareness. 	
Examples include:	 Common property features are 	
 Document is given to new owners at time of sale, covenant on title, inclusion/protection of features in strata bylaws 	required to be included in Strata Bylaws.	
• Signage/display/art recognizing design, etc.	Staff comments	
Yes	Applicant needs to explain how	
□ No	in the text form field to earn scoring	
If yes, list all the education and awareness initiatives: (up to 2 points)	sconing	
-ĀĀĀ b ākāuments will be given to new owners which shows the sustainable systems been used on this project		
	Score 0 /2	
	Enforcement	
S18 (2 points possible)		
Is the project design adapted to minimize shadow or privacy impacts to and from adjacent buildings? (1 point)	 A shadow/viewscape study is required through the Development Permit. 	
Yes	Staff comments	
□ No	Shadow study is available in	
	architectural drawings	
AND / OR		
Does the project design integrate the results of a viewscape study with respect to water and mountain views? (1 point) ■ Yes		
□ N/A	Score 2 /2	

838	Atta	chment 11	
242	Enforcement	:	
S19 (3 poir Does your project include any innovative social sustainability asp captured?		on the type of determined by staff.	
□ Yes	Staff comme		
■ N/A If yes, please describe: (u	Applicant has	selected N/A	
		Score 0/3	
S20	Enforcement	:	
Does your project face any unique site constraints that limit social sustainability achievement?			
□ Yes	Staff comme	Staff comments	
No No			
If yes, please explain:			

839	Attachment 11
	Enforcement
Summarize the project's social sustainability contributions including the	ble) Highlighted in Council reports.
performance criteria in this Report Card and additional elements not previously captured here:	Staff comments
This project contributes to social sustainability in multiple ways. It offers voluntary amenities such as space for growing food, child play areas, gathering space, streetscape and pedestrian improvements, and an accessible landscaped roof deck. Additionally, it provides 100% new purposebuilt market rental housing, including adaptable and accessible design features in the site/building circulation and bathrooms in all other uses. The project offers a range of unit sizes for various household types, with at least 5% of the total project units being 2 and 3-bedroom units, and at least 5% of the total project units being 3-bedrooms or more. The project also goes beyond the Building Code requirements to ensure that occupants have clean, cool air during times of poor air quality and/or wildfire events. The design of the project alms to facilitate mental health, wellness, and social connectedness, with semi-public gathering spaces, all-weather recreation areas/wellness space, green spaces for growing food in common areas, such as a community garden with secure features like fencing and tool storage, to support gardening activities.	Score 2 /3
Total Social S	ustainability Pillar Points = 64 /165

840

Attachment 11

Glossary of Terms

Accessible housing: Housing designed and constructed to be universally accessible to people of diverse ages and abilities.

Adaptable unit: A dwelling unit that provides flexible design features that meet BC Building Code minimum requirements; it can be adapted to meet the changing needs of any occupant for reasons of disability, lack of stamina, and progressing through different life stages to support independent living.

Accessible housing/unit: Housing with fixed design features to enable independent living for persons with disabilities, such as those in wheelchairs.

ACH: Air changes per hour.

Affordable market housing: Housing that is affordable to moderate income households achieved through tenure, location, reduced parking, modesty in unit size, level of finishing, and design and durability over time as the buildings age.

Beautification: The process of making visual improvements appropriate to a specific place, including but not limited to building facades, landscaping, decorative or historic-style street elements, selection of paving/fencing materials and their treatment, etc. Improvements contribute to Port Moody's reputation as City of the Arts in a sustainable manner.

BC Energy Step Code: BC Energy Step Code is a voluntary provincial roadmap that establishes progressive performance targets (i.e., steps) that support market transformation from the current energy-efficiency requirements in the BC Building Code to net zero energy ready buildings.

Brownfield: A term used in urban planning to describe land previously used for industrial purposes or some commercial uses where the expansion, redevelopment, or reuse of the property may be complicated by the potential presence of a hazardous substance, pollutant, or contaminant.

Car/Bike share network: Arrangements between two or more persons to share the use of a vehicle or bicycle for a specified cost and period of time.

Character-defining elements: The materials, forms, location, spatial configurations, uses, and cultural associations or meanings that contribute to the heritage value of a historic place, which must be retained to preserve its heritage value.

Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED): The design and effective use of the built environment to reduce the incidence of crime and improve the quality of life.

Coastal Flooding: A flood is a general and temporary inundation of normally dry land areas. When a coastal process such as waves, tides, storm surge, or heavy rainfall from coastal storms—produces that flood, it is called a coastal flood. Coastal areas, like all areas, can also flood from high rainfall or overflowing streams.

Coefficient of Performance (COP): Is a ratio of useful heating or cooling provided to work (energy) required. Higher COPs equate to higher efficiency, lower energy (power) consumption and thus lower operating costs.

District energy systems: A system that uses renewable energy to pipe energy to buildings within a specified area for space heating, hot water, and air conditioning.

Ecological inventory: An inventory that identifies the ecological values in a natural habitat and is usually the first step in an environmental impact assessment.

Electric vehicle (EV): An automobile that uses one or more electric motors or traction motors for propulsion. An electric vehicle may be powered through a collector system by electricity from off-vehicle sources or may be self-contained with a battery or generator to convert fuel to electricity.

Considered at the July 23, 2024, Regular Council meeting

841

Attachment 11

Embodied greenhouse gas emissions: Embodied emissions refers to the greenhouse gas emissions created in various phases of a building or piece of infrastructure's full life cycle (e.g., material extraction, manufacturing, construction, maintenance, and end of life/disposal).

Environmentally Sensitive Areas: Land designated as areas that need special protection because of its environmental attributes, such as rare ecosystems, habitats for species at risk and areas that are easily disturbed by human activities. Refer to Map 13 of OCP.

Greenfield: Undeveloped land in a city or rural area either used for agriculture or landscape design or left to evolve naturally. These areas of land are usually agricultural, or amenity properties being considered for urban development.

Greyfield: Economically obsolescent, out-dated, declining, and/or underutilized land, often with the presence of abundant surface parking.

Greywater: Wastewater from lavatories, showers, sinks, and washing machines that do not contain food wastes and that can be reused for purposes such as irrigation or flushing toilets.

Habitat corridor: Habitat areas, generally consisting of native vegetation, linking with larger areas of similar wildlife habitat. Corridors are critical for the maintenance of ecological processes, providing food, and allowing for the movement of animals and the continuation of viable populations.

Heat island effect: Heat islands form as vegetation is replaced by hard surfaces to accommodate growing populations. These surfaces absorb, rather than reflect, the sun's heat, causing surface temperatures and overall ambient temperatures to rise.

Heritage rehabilitation: The action or process of making possible a continuing or compatible contemporary use of a historic place through repair, alterations, and/or additions while protecting its heritage value.

Heritage restoration: Returning a historic place back to how it looked at any time in its past.

Invasive plant species: An invasive plant is a non-native species whose interaction causes economic harm, harm to human health, and/or environmental harm.

Light pollution: Brightening of the night sky caused by streetlights and other man-made sources, which has a disruptive effect on natural cycles and inhibits the observation of stars and planets.

Market rental housing: market rent is the rent that an apartment, without rent or income restrictions or rent subsidies, would command in the open market considering its location, features, and amenities.

Naturescape planting: Landscaping with species that are naturally adapted to local climate, soils, predators, pollinators, and disease and, once established, require minimal maintenance.

Non-human companion: Means any domestic or feral pet under the care, custody, or ownership of a person.

Non-market rental housing: Subsidized rental housing for those unable to pay market-level rents including, but not limited to, public housing owned and operated by government agencies, non-profit housing owned and operated by public and private non-profit groups, and co-operative housing owned and managed by co-operative associations of the residents.

On-site power generation: The ability to generate power without transporting it from its source to where it can be utilized.

On-site renewable energy generation: The generation of naturally replenished sources of energy, such as solar, wind power, falling water, and geothermal energy.

Considered at the July 23, 2024, Regular Council meeting

842

Attachment 11

Lock-off suites: Lock-off units are self-contained units which are smaller than the principal dwelling unit. Each unit must have direct access to a hallway, corridor or the outside, and a shared internal door which can be locked enabling both units to be independent.

Low albedo: Albedo is the measure of the reflectivity of a material. A high albedo means it reflects a lot of light and a low albedo means it absorbs a lot of light.

Low Carbon Energy System: Low carbon energy systems ("LCES") supply heat energy primarily derived from highly efficient and renewable sources in order to provide space heating and conditioned ventilation air for buildings seeking to achieve low greenhouse gas emissions. These systems may also provide domestic hot water and cooling service.

Passive design: An approach to building design that uses the building architecture to minimize energy consumption and improve thermal comfort.

Public space: A social space that is generally open and accessible to people.

R-2000-Certified New Home: Best-in-class, energy-efficient homes with even higher levels of energy efficiency than ENERGY STAR-qualified new homes, as well as clean air and environmental features.

Sea Level Rise: Sea level rise is an increase in the level of the world's oceans due to the effects of global warming.

Sequestered carbon: a natural or artificial process by which carbon dioxide is removed from the atmosphere and stored.

Smart technology: Technologies that allow sensors, databases, and/or wireless access to collaboratively sense, adapt to, and provide for users within the environment.

Statement of significance: The first essential step in any conservation project, which involves identifying and describing the character-defining elements; it is important in defining the overall heritage value of the historic place. Refer to the Standards and Guidelines for the Conservation of Historic Places in Canada (see Resources glossary).

Streetscape: The visual elements of a street, including the road, adjoining buildings, sidewalks, street furniture, trees, and open spaces that combine to form the street's character.

Storm water management plan: The management of water occurring as a result of development or precipitation that flows over the surface into a sewer system.

Transit oriented development (TOD): A mixed-use residential and commercial area designed to maximize access to public transportation; it often incorporates features to encourage transit ridership. A TOD neighbourhood typically has a centre with a transit station or stop (train station, metro station, tram stop, or bus stop), surrounded by relatively high-density development with progressively lower-density development spreading outward from the centre. TODs generally are located within a radius of 400 to 800 metres from a transit stop, as this is considered to be an appropriate distance for walkability.

Triangulation: Triangulation is spatial design that gives people a reason to be in the space. In order to promote public interaction, common areas should contain amenities people don't have in their private residences such as a splash pad or play structure with triangular configuration in mind.

Universal access: This term refers to broad-spectrum ideas meant to produce buildings, products, and environments that are inherently accessible to both people without disabilities and people with disabilities.

Urban infill: An urban planning term that refers to new development that is sited on vacant or undeveloped land within an existing community, and that is enclosed by other types of development.

Urban forest: The total collection of trees and associated plants growing in a city or town. It includes trees in parks and yards, along roadways and paths, and in other areas, both on public and private lands.

843

Attachment 11

Urban vitalization: The urban planning process of rehabilitating a place or "taking a place to a higher level" using a community-building process (early stage community involvement) to define the key characteristics that make a place unique or special; and applying the concepts of urban conservation to leverage a community's assets, most often in accordance with approved City plans.

Viewscape: The natural and built environment that is visible from a viewing point.

Walkability: The extent to which the built environment is friendly to the presence of people living, shopping, visiting, enjoying, or spending time in an area; improvements in walkability lead to health, economic, and environmental benefits.


Xeriscaping: Refers to landscaping and gardening in ways that reduce or eliminate the need for supplemental water from irrigation. Xeriscaping refers to a method of landscape design that minimizes water use.

Considered at the July 23, 2024, Regular Council meeting



Considered at the July 23, 2024, Regular Council meeting






As metaphor, I chose to represent the vascular anatomy of the leaf for it's potency as a renewable energy system of incredible efficiency. Clearly the ability to photosynthesize water, sunlight and CO2 into stored carbon energy while expiring oxygen to balance the biosphere is a process that enables our survival. The leaf is a biological converter of immense capacity. It feeds us ,it keeps us warm and puts gas in our tank!

https://vimeo.com/417304009


These sails catch the breeze and rotate the turbine. I have been testing a model of *Air Leaf Mobile* for the past 4 years. In strong winds the sails self govern by rotating 360 degrees...they perform a delightful dance in the breeze.

https://vimeo.com/245775671



Considered at the July 23, 2024, Regular Council meeting





850

Attachment 13



City of Port Moody

Bylaw No. 3472

A Bylaw to close a portion of Queens Street.

WHEREAS pursuant to s. 40 of the *Community Charter*, Council may adopt a bylaw to close a portion of a highway to traffic and remove its dedication as highway;

AND WHEREAS notice of adoption of this Bylaw has been published in accordance with City of Port Moody Public Notice Bylaw, 2023, No. 3430 prior to adoption and Council has provided an opportunity for persons who consider they are affected to make presentations to Council;

NOW THEREFORE, the Council of the City of Port Moody enacts as follows:

- 1. Citation
 - 1.1 This Bylaw may be cited as "City of Port Moody Road Closure Bylaw Portion of Queens Street, 2024, No. 3472".

2. Legal Description

2.1 That the 216.9m² area shown in heavy black outline and identified as Parcel A on Reference Plan EPP139218, a copy of which is attached hereto as Schedule "A" and forms a part of this Bylaw, is hereby stopped up and closed to traffic of all kinds and the dedication as highway is removed.

3. Authorization

3.1 The Mayor and Corporate Officer are hereby authorized to execute all documentation necessary to give effect to the provisions of this Bylaw, including the plan attached hereto.

4. Attachments and Schedules

- 4.1 The following schedules are attached to and form part of this Bylaw:
 - Schedule A Reference Plan EPP139218.

5. Severability


5.1 If a portion of this Bylaw is found invalid by a court, it will be severed, and the remainder of the Bylaw will remain in effect.

Considered at the July	/ 23. 2024. Re	egular Council meeting
	,,	

	Attachment 13
Read a first time this day of, 2024	4.
Read a second time this day of, 2	2024.
Read a third time this day of, 202	4.
Adopted this day of, 2024.	
M. Lahti Mayor	S. Lam City Clerk
I hereby certify that the above is a true copy of	of Bylaw No. 3472 of the City of Port Moody.
S. Lam City Clerk	

852

Attachment 13



City of Port Moody Road Closure Bylaw - Portion of Queens Street, 2024, No. 3472 EDMS#636948

853

PORT MOODY CITY OF THE ARTS Attachment 14 100 Newport Drive, Port Moody, B.C., V3H 5C3, Canada Tel 604.469.4500 Fax 604.469.4550 www.portmoody.ca

2331–2335 St. Johns St. Development Application UPDATE 1: Public input received on Engage Port Moody from August 8, 2023 to June 26, 2024

This encompasses the period from the day the project launched on engage.portmoody.ca to the day the report was pulled for Council input. Comments are presented verbatim, including typos and grammatical errors. Profane or abusive language, or personally identifying information has been removed where indicated by "[omitted]".


Engagement highlights

Highlights of project engagement to date:

- **21 engaged participants** contributed to one or more feedback tools
- **134 informed participants** visited multiple project pages, contributed to a tool, or downloaded documents
- 341 aware visitors viewed this project page

What is your overall feedback on this development application?

	Support	Oppose	Mixed
From launch until second reading: Aug 8, 2023 to June 26, 2024	14	2	5



854

Attachment 14

Comments 1 through 13 were included in the first public engagement summary shared with Council at early input

Comments

- 1. Fully support this development
- 2. Looks Great and a retail food store is needed for this area.
- 3. This proposal should be approved as is. It meets the long term vision of OCP and suspect the new OCP will have higher density/storeys on St. John's street. The City should consider changing St. Andrews street to one way westbound direction. This would discourage the rat runners that use it when traffic is backed up on St. John's and encourage residents of this development to use future light at elgin. This section of St. Andrew is currently very dangerous for two way traffic due to blind hill and parked cars.

4. Overall I am in favour of this development application. There are a few things I would like to point out:

1) How has the developer and/or the city evaluated how this may impact the traffic flow along St. Andrews Street, in particular from Kyle Street? The street goes through a parking lot for the PoMo Arts Center/Kyle Center and increased traffic will make this area unsafe. Even with the current traffic volume, it can be a bit sketchy walking from the parking lot to the Arts Center. Albeit, this is only during certain times of the day (such as when a class or camp is about to begin or end) it increases the risk for patrons.

2) Accessibility concerns

a) The report shows that there will be 2 disability stalls for the residential building and 1 disability stall for the retail space. Is this enough? With an aging community, there will be more individuals with the needs for disability stalls. Will you be able to add more after the fact? How will this be addressed?

b) The concept drawings of the building from St.Johns' street looks amazing. I understand that there will be stairs so that people can access the store from the street level. Will there be a slope for those with mobility issues or with strollers? Or are these individuals expected to get into the store from the parking lot from which there is direct store access (I understand that it is easy to access the parking lot from the store with a shopping buggy so I am assuming it is accessible). If there is no slope, and individuals with mobility scooters/wheelchairs/strollers are supposed to use the parking lot entrance, is this area going to get busy or congested with shoppers and cars? Will it be safe for them? It just doesn't seem as convenient for them and thus seems like they are an after thought rather being completely inclusive and barrier free for them.

Thank you for taking the time to read this.

5. Love the idea of Bosa moving in and a few cafes what I don't want to see are Canibus stores nor SRO housing I am ok with this provided the structures are not higher than six stories i worry with all this development mountain views will be gone

To add has anyone considered things like an already too busy hospital? With all this development happening with this new council have you considered the fact we already have hospital doctor shortages ?

2331-2335 St. Johns St, UPDATE 1: public engagement summary - Aug 8, 2023 - June 26, 2024

855

Attachment 14

- 6. ABSOLUTELY NO WAY SHOULD THIS GO FORWARD, WE ARE EXPLODING AT THE SEAMS AS IT IS. FIX THE INFRASTRUCTURE FIRST!
- 7. This proposal is exactly the kind of development needed in downtown Port Moody. It addresses the housing shortage in the region by building homes. Moreover, it is walking distance from Moody Centre Station, and adds more retail to the area, allowing people to live car-free or car-light, which is an essential response to the current climate emergency. Including bicycle parking in the proposal is a nice feature.
- 8. Good addition to this neighbourhood as it needs a grocery store in vicinity. Also like the rental apartments aspect of this development.
- 9. This area desperately needs a greengrocer, not another boutique drygoods shop that will compete with local european and latin markets. There are many people in this area who need to drive to buy fresh fruit and vegetables, and the inclusion of a greengrocer would make a big positive impact on the area's status as a "fresh food desert".
- 10. Have you done the math? It already takes 45 minutes to get across from the barnet to the fire station in traffic jams every single day.

Most people drive to work, and hoping people might one day take the train is ridiculous. They all drive to get groceries and other activities. The traffic is already insane.

Please don't make us have to move out of Port Moody because the traffic is worse here than in Toronto.

- 11. I love the plan and I think it will make Port Moody more lively and attractive.
- 12. This area is on a busy road near the Skytrain and higher density makes sense here. I would rather higher density here than on the shireline
- 13. Very much need the grocery store dislike the cinder block style slender towers better

Comments 14 through 21 have been received since the first public engagement summary was shared with Council at early input

- 14. I think this is a great idea commercial space for example grocery / food store etc in this building. Port Moody is down to one real grocery store Thrifty's Very disappointed IGA has moved out
- 15. This looks great. Appropriately sized in the neighbourhood with a much needed ammenity.
- 16. I think it is an excellent application.

The use is perfect - retail on ground floor and apartments above.

Architecture is nice. Symmetry and balance is appreciated, will improve the streetscape a lot.

Well done!

856

- 17. Great to have a grocery store in an area where there has not been previously and greatly needs...however...we do NOT need a specialty shop....We need a proper grocery store where people can WALK to for all grocery needs.
- 18. I do not think this proposal meets the goals for affordable housing. I like the building design and the mixed-use with commercial on the bottom. However, there are no below-market units included in this building. That is not appropriate and does not fit with what the community needs. Also, the proposed 400 sq ft studios are inappropriately small, that is not a real living space. They have tried to cram too many units into the building.
- 19. Looks good! Big fan of mixed use development. We need more affordable / non-market housing, though. Also the parking space is excessive.
- 20. I think that this proposal adds value to the community because it provides access to groceries for people in this area of St. Johns. I also think that it enriches the cultural community by having an ethnic grocery store that focuses on real, fresh foods and not junk food. I like the look or the building. More parking would be my only tweak to this proposal.
- 21. The area/community desperately needs a grocery store.