Sustainability Report Card Mixed Use Projects

Version 1.1, August 2022

PORT MOODY

CITY OF THE ARTS

Purpose

The Sustainability Report Card recognizes that developers, builders, designers, and others proposing changes to the built environment have an important role in creating a sustainable community. Buildings are a vital part of our community, providing shelter, employment, recreation opportunities, services, shopping and more. With the amount of time we spend in our buildings they play an essential role in how prepared we are for our changing climate, influence the vitality of our community, and shape our everyday lives.

The buildings we build today will be around for the next 60–80 years on average and it is crucial for the evolution of our community that these structures are built to the highest sustainability standards. In 2020 Council adopted the City's Climate Action Plan, a comprehensive strategy laying out a pathway to become a carbon neutral, resilient community by 2050. This Plan includes various building-related actions that project proposals should incorporate to work towards the vision that:

"Port Moody is a resilient community that honours climate justice, leading the urgent response to climate change through collective action."

- Climate Action Committee, 2019

Port Moody encourages innovative thinking in community design to achieve sustainable communities. To this end, the Report Card is a requirement for rezoning, development permit, heritage revitalization agreement, and heritage alteration permit applications. The Report Card identifies performance measures based on community sustainability values, and these measures are used to evaluate development proposals. The Report Card is a tool that summarizes overall project sustainability and is integrated with all other development approval requirements.

The Report Card focuses on performance criteria within four pillars of sustainability defined by the City as:

| 1. Cultural Sustainability | 2. Economic Sustainability |
|--|---|
| Cultural sustainability recognizes the need to honour and transmit cultural beliefs, practices, heritage conservation, and culture for future generations. Cultural sustainability is about fostering cultural rights, local culture and cultural identity in a community. Cultural sustainability can be achieved through innovation and preservation of identity through different forms of creative expressions (e.g., art, events), celebrating cultural customs, and preserving and transmitting cultural heritage and customs through design of culturally inclusive landscapes, architecture, programs, and amenities. | Economic sustainability in the Port Moody context means offering a broad range of local employment opportunities that will reduce commute distances and times, encouraging creative and clean industry and jobs, and building a more sustainable financial future for the City. The impacts of this approach will reduce GHG emissions, enable active transportation as a more viable means of getting around, build more resilient local business communities, and strengthen the social fabric of the city. |

3. Environmental Sustainability

Environmental sustainability refers to the protection, management, and monitoring of ecosystems and natural assets to ensure the long-term productivity and health of resources to meet future economic and social needs. Environmental sustainability involves protecting, restoring, and connecting environmental systems to maintain function and ecological integrity. It also acknowledges that human civilisation takes resources to sustain our modern way of life, recognizes the elements that place stress on the environment and incorporates how technology will drive our greener future. 4. Social Sustainability

Social sustainability is about inclusive and resilient societies that understand what citizens need from the places where they live, work and play and where citizens feel supported in their well-being and are encouraged to evolve. Social sustainability combines physical design with support mechanisms to enhance social liveability, amenities, and systems that actively support the capacity of current and future generations to create healthy and livable communities. Socially sustainable communities promote diversity, equity and inclusion, foster connectivity and provide a high quality of life.

Process

The Sustainability Report Card forms part of the application materials for rezoning, development permit, heritage revitalization agreement, and heritage alteration permit proposals. There are five steps to follow in completing the Sustainability Report Card process:

- 1. Consult with City planning staff to discuss your proposal and determine if a Sustainability Report Card must be submitted with your development application.
- 2. Following the initial conversation with staff, and while preparing your application, complete the Sustainability Report Card by filling in the appropriate information that applies to your application and submit a completed copy to your file manager.
- 3. The Sustainability Report Card will be marked three times:
 - a. Prior to first reading;
 - b. Prior to Advisory Design Panel and Land Use Committee; and
 - c. Final scoring prior to Development Permit issuance.

At each of these marking intervals Planning staff will review the Report Card for completeness and accuracy and forward to staff in various departments for marking. Staff will make comments, determine a score, and provide the applicant an opportunity to revise the Report Card. The score and comments will be included in the land use reports that are distributed to the Advisory Design Panel, Land Use Committee, and City Council.

- 4. Commitments indicated in the Report Card will be secured through various means including, but not limited to, Development Permit conditions, securities, and various agreements (e.g., Servicing Agreement) or covenants. The method of securing the commitment is noted for each criteria.
- 5. If your application is approved by Council, your final Sustainability Report Card is maintained in the development file and a copy is provided to the City's Building Division.

Instructions

- Your Report Card must contain sufficient detail to ensure each measure can be evaluated. Make reference to the appropriate plans, drawings, and reports that demonstrate how the performance measure is met where appropriate.
- The relevance of the questions will depend on the nature and scope of your project, so not all questions will be applicable to all projects. In this case, select N/A and the points will be subtracted from the overall points available to increase fairness. Some criteria do not include N/A as an option as this is expected/possible on each project.
- Key terms are defined in the <u>Glossary</u> at the end of the Report Card document.
- Refer to the Resources section in each criteria for links to web-based resources relevant to measures in the Sustainability Report Card.

Scoring

- Scoring of the Report Card reflects a project's overall ability to be a sustainable development. Criteria are assigned points to indicate their significance based on:
 - 1. the level of difficulty to integrate criteria into project design;
 - 2. the order-of-magnitude cost added to the project;
 - 3. alignment with identified City and community priorities;
 - 4. the level of urgency for Port Moody in terms of achieving community sustainability goals; and
 - 5. the degree of effectiveness for increasing overall project sustainability.
- Performance measures are ordered based on priority. The first performance measure under each topic area in each pillar is the highest priority. The highest priority performance measures typically offer the highest possible points.
- City staff score the completed Report Card based on the principle of best achievable on each site for each performance measure. Points for achieving various means are indicated. Where open ended responses are permitted, staff will make a fair assessment of the project's performance for the measure with respect to site conditions.
- Where criteria may not be applicable to your project due to constraints, select the N/A option and the points will be subtracted from the overall points available to increase fairness. Some criteria do not include N/A as an option as this is expected/possible on each project.
- The Report Card is an iterative process with the applicant. The applicant has an opportunity to comment and make changes to their proposal before the scores are considered final and shared with public advisory bodies and City Council.
- Additional space is provided for the applicant to address innovations and constraints not captured elsewhere in the Report Card. Innovation items have a maximum score of 3 points. Constraints are not scored but given specific mention in Council Reports. Applicants are required to provide a narrative of how the project is contributing to each pillar of sustainability.
- Staff will review your completed Report Card and provide feedback to give you the opportunity to achieve the highest score possible by the time the project is considered for adoption or permit approval.

Monitoring

In general, the information required from the applicant for the Sustainability Report Card is similar to the information required for a typical development application. However, to ensure accountability, the City may request additional information, such as: photos of installed systems or products, design drawings, professional reports, copies of receipts, or other records that can be used to verify the implementation of the selected sustainability criteria. We encourage you to provide as much information as possible to assist City staff in their review of your development proposal.

Public Information

Copies of the Report Card are maintained by the Development Planning Division and are included as an attachment to Council reports related to the application. Therefore, Report Cards are part of the public record.

Property and Applicant Information

| Applicant: | Beedie Living | | |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Telephone: | 604-436-7888 Email : | jeremy.golden@beedie.ca | |
| Registered owner: | Jeremy Golden | | |
| Project address: | 2806 Spring St. 3060-3092 Spring St. | and 80-85 Electronic Ave. | |
| Proposed use: | Mixed use Res + Commercial | Total floor space (m ²): | 84,532+ 3,116 (2806Spring St.) |
| Building type: | Concrete | Number of storeys: | 40 |
| Number of units: | 975 + 40 (2806 Spring St.) | - | |

Final Score (staff to complete)

| Pillar | Total possible points | Sum of N/A points | Sum of missed points | Applicant total points | Pillar % score |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| Cultural Sustainability | 56 | 27 | 5 | 24 | 82 |
| Economic Sustainability | 93 | 12 | 22 | 59 | 72 |
| Environmental Sustainability | 172 | 22 | 60 | 90 | 60 |
| Social Sustainability | 165 | 14 | 81 | 70 | 46 |

1.Cultural Sustainability

| Arts | |
|---|---|
| | Resources |
| C1a (applicants can choose between C1a or C1b) (12 points possi | ble) Developer Public Art Guidelines |
| Does the project designate space for artists or creative enterprises to be retained for the lifetime of the project? | Art in Public Spaces Master Plan |
| □ Yes | Arts and Culture Master Plan |
| | |
| ■ N/A (applicants can choose between C1a or C1b) | Enforcement |
| If yes: | - Units (market and below |
| Check all that apply: (up to 12 poi | ints) market) will be secured through |
| □ artist studios (2 points for first studio + 1 point for each additional stu max 8 poi | a Housing Agreement. |
| family-size live-work units – sold below market value (3 points per o max 8 poi | & temporary artist space will be confirmed through the |
| □ family-size live-work units – sold at market value (2 points per of max 6 points) | |
| plaza, creative placemaking space, available for public use (e.g., outdoor stage) (4 point | |
| \Box temporary artist spaces on or off the site (2 points) | <i>ints)</i> – Formal written confirmation of arrangements for managing |
| □ publicly viewable exhibition space (2 point | |
| □ developer identified need/opportunity (up to 4 points) | ints) |
| Please specify: | Staff comments |
| Provide the size and details of the proposed space(s): For the spaces being provided in this project, how will operation be managed? (e.g., who is responsible for managing tenants, maintenance, etc)? | Applicant has mared N/A for this question |
| | Score 0/12 |

| | Resources |
|--|--|
| C1b (applicants can choose between C1a or C1b) (6 points possible) | Developer Dublic Art Cuidelines |
| Does the project include artwork which is aligned with the Art in Public Spaces Master Plan and located in a publicly accessible or publicly owned | Developer Public Art Guidelines <u>Art in Public Spaces Master Plan</u> |
| space ? (Note: Public Art Policy encourages at least 0.5% of construction costs) (4 points) | Arts and Culture Master Plan |
| ■ Yes | |
| □ No | Enforcement |
| □ N/A (applicants can choose between C1a or C1b) | - Formal written commitment to |
| Applicants are encouraged to work with artists and/or art consultants early so that artworks can be incorporated in meaningful and creative ways. Has an artist or art consultant been engaged for this project? (2 points) | engage in a process to include public art will be required. Confirmation of the value of this commitment and securing |
| ■ Yes | this commitment through a letter of credit submitted prior |
| □ No | to issuance of Development Permit will be required. |
| OR | - Collection of public art funds |
| Does the project provide an in lieu financial contribution to the City's Artwork Reserve Fund in accordance with the City's Public Art Policy? | prior to issuance of development permit will be required. |
| (4 points) | Staff comments |
| □ Yes | |
| □ No | Ballard Fine Art identified as project Art Consultant. Pulic Art |
| ■ N/A (applicants can choose between C1a or C1b) | Budget of \$2,600,000 |
| What is the proposed contribution to the City's Artwork Reserve Fund? (Note: Public Art Policy encourages at least 0.5% of construction costs) | |
| (up to 2 points) (2 points if contribution is at least 10% greater than recommendation) | |
| \$ amount: % of construction budget: | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| | Attachment 11 |
|---|---|
| C2 (2 points possible) Does the project include artistically designed (professionally designed) architectural elements that enhance the overall visual appeal of the development (e.g., water feature)? Yes No | Enforcement Architectural elements will be secured through the Development Permit. Elements on Landscape Plans will be subject to securities. |
| If yes, describe how: | Staff comments |
| Rezoning landscape plan is considering a water feature accessible to the public. The feature will establish fountain heads for a splash-pad like experience. When not in use the feature remains accessible plaza space. The project team is coordinating with adjacent developments to establish a strong identity for the site which aligns well with the surrounding developments. Art Strategy will reflect indigenous heritage of the land, engage community, and support creative placemaking. | Score 2 /2 |
| •• | Enforcement |
| C3 (2 points possible) Does the project include artistically designed (professionally designed) street furniture and/or streetscape enhancements (e.g., artistically designed benches, streetlights, tree grates)? (Note: consider maintenance and replacement of furniture/enhancements) Yes | Elements on Landscape Plans will be subject to securities. Elements included in Civil Plans will be secured through the Servicing Agreement. |
| □ No | Staff commonte |
| □ N/A If yes, describe the furniture/enhancements and how they will be maintained: | Staff comments Elements can be found in documentation |
| In line with the approach above the project team has developed a framework for creating unique and engaging streetscapes cohesive with the other developments in the area while providing space for artistic expression. Creating a framework to support more diverse seating and expression areas. Street enhancements in the form of various details and seating and will reflect the site history and community culture. The rezoning application includes examples of this in the form of playful 'railway spike' seating elements to reference the connection to the Golden Spike Lane and serve as a wayfinding element. | Score 2 /2 |

| | Attachment 11 |
|---|--|
| C4 (2 points possible) | Resources |
| C4 (2 points possible) Describe how your project aligns with the Art in Public Spaces and/or Arts | Art in Public Spaces Master Plan |
| and Culture Master Plans, or otherwise contributes to the overall cultural | Arts and Culture Master Plan |
| and artistic vitality of Port Moody: | Enforcement |
| The project's arts strategy is in development in coordination with other communities proposed for the area. By collaborating on a consolidated arts strategy, a more distinct culture can be create for the Port Moody Center | - N/A |
| community. | Staff comments |
| The site itself is providing several plaza spaces including a central plaza (Living Room), the East & West Plazas in line with the 2017 OCP Policy. Each plaza will feature ways to support the goals outlined in the City of Port Moody Arts and Culture Master Plan (2017), and the City's Art in Public Places Master Plan (2021) for public art: Further design development will determine which of these spaces are best suited to the art strategy. | Applicant responded with detailed information per staffs request |
| | Score 2 /2 |
| C5 (1 point possible) | Enforcement |
| Does the project include artistic elements for the benefit of the residents/ occupants (e.g., artistic features in a private courtyard or main entryway)? | Architectural elements will be secured through the Development Permit. |
| Yes | Elemente en l'andesens Disus |
| 🗆 No | Elements on Landscape Plans will be subject to securities. |
| If yes, please describe: | Staff comments |
| The majority of art works will be public realm oriented. Residents will have access to the to public plaza spaces and the artistic elements therein. Residents will further benefit from the vibrancy of programming like the "Living Room" where community events and gatherings. | |
| The building will include select art pieces in private resident amenity spaces like main lobbies (entryways) and amenity rooms. | |
| | Score 1/1 |

| Heritage | |
|--|---|
| | Resources |
| C6 (3 points possible) | Heritago Pogistor |
| Have you consulted with City staff to determine if any of the structures on | Heritage Register |
| the subject property may have heritage value? | Enforcement |
| □ Yes | Submission of Statement of |
| □ No | Significance with application |
| ■ N/A | will be required. |
| If yes, does the project include a statement of significance for heritage | Staff comments |
| structures not listed on Heritage Register, prepared by a heritage conservation specialist where potential heritage value is observed? | Applicant has selected N/A |
| □ Yes | |
| □ No | |
| N/A | |
| | |
| | Score 0 /3 |
| | Enforcement |
| C7 (3 points possible) | Cubmission of a concentration |
| Does the project include a heritage conservation plan prepared by a | Submission of a conservation plan will be required with |
| heritage conservation professional for a structure on the property of the application or on another property in the City? | application. |
| □ Yes | Staff comments |
| □ No | Applicant has selected N/A |
| ■ N/A | |
| If yes, provide the address of the structure included in the heritage | |
| conservation plan: | |
| Address: | |
| | |

| | Attachment 11 |
|---|--|
| C8 (3 points possible) | Enforcement |
| C8 (3 points possible) Does the project include reusing an existing heritage structure with heritage value through heritage restoration or heritage rehabilitation? (3 points) Yes No N/A | Details will be included in Heritage Conservation Plan and formalized in a Heritage Revitalization Agreement or Development Permit. Formal protection typically involves heritage designation following completion of conservation work. |
| | Staff comments |
| | Applicant has selected N/A |
| | Score 0 /3 |
| | |
| C9 (2 pointe possible) | Resources |
| C9 (2 points possible) Where the preservation of a heritage structure in its original location cannot be accommodated, relocation may be considered. | Resources Conservation of Historic Places in Canada: <u>historicplaces.ca</u> |
| Where the preservation of a heritage structure in its original location cannot be | Conservation of Historic Places |
| Where the preservation of a heritage structure in its original location cannot be accommodated, relocation may be considered. | Conservation of Historic Places in Canada: <u>historicplaces.ca</u> |
| Where the preservation of a heritage structure in its original location cannot be accommodated, relocation may be considered. Does this project include heritage relocation within Port Moody? (2 points) Yes No | Conservation of Historic Places in Canada: <u>historicplaces.ca</u> Enforcement - Written confirmation of commitment to relocate structure and details of plans for the building in its new |
| Where the preservation of a heritage structure in its original location cannot be accommodated, relocation may be considered. Does this project include heritage relocation within Port Moody? (2 points) Yes No | Conservation of Historic Places in Canada: <u>historicplaces.ca</u> Enforcement - Written confirmation of commitment to relocate structure and details of plans for the building in its new location will be required. |

| 040 | Enforcement |
|---|---|
| C10 (2 points possible) Does the project salvage materials or artefacts from a historic place in Port Moody, or reuse materials or artifacts from architectural/landscape salvage in a manner which supports the authenticity of the site's character-defining elements? Yes No No N/A If yes, please explain: (up to 2 points) Site not of historical or heritage value so no artifacts available to salvage. | Depending on what is proposed, incorporating these elements could be shown on landscape and/or architectural plans included in the Development Permit. Landscaped elements will be subject to securities. If the artifacts are used in public art then they will be secured through Public Art Securities. |
| | Staff comments Applicant has selected N/A Score 0 /2 |
| | Resources |
| C11 (2 points possible) Does the project involve the addition of a heritage structure to the City's Heritage Register? This only applies to a structure with heritage value that is NOT | <u>Heritage Register</u> Enforcement |
| already on the City's Heritage Register. □ Yes □ No ■ N/A | Confirmation of intention to add the heritage structure to the Heritage Register will be required. |
| | Staff comments |
| | Applicant has selected N/A |

| | | Attachment 11 |
|---|------------------|--|
| 0.10 | | Enforcement |
| C12 (2 | points possible) | Fellow up will depend on the |
| Does the project incorporate acknowledgement of historical connections to the site (e.g., historical naming of the site, perstructures, architectural inspiration etc.)? | | Follow up will depend on the method used to acknowledge cultural connections to the site. Determined by staff. |
| Yes | | Ctoff commonto |
| □ No | | Staff comments |
| If yes, please explain: | (up to 2 points) | Large improvement over earlier response. |
| Several workshops have been conducted with staff and the surrounding community to discuss public realm, programming, and the site's integration. The project incorporates where possible elements of the sites historical industrial uses in the form of railway themed seating. The sites pre-industrial history is something the team will continue to review and develop through the detailed art plan. | | Score 2 /2 |
| Dublic Dealm | | |
| Public Realm | | |
| C13 (8 | points possible) | Enforcement |
| Does the project improve the streetscape beyond minimum City requirements by integrating lasting creative elements and demonstrating effort to optimize the project's beautification impact? | | Architectural elements will be secured through the Development Permit. |
| Yes | | Elements on Landscape Plans will be subject to securities. |
| □ No | | - Elements included in Civil |
| If yes, check all that apply: | (up to 4 points) | Plans will be secured through the Servicing Agreement. |
| Artistic stormwater management features | (1 point) | Artistic elements will be |
| Restores the frontage of an existing building in Historic Moody Centre | (2 points) | secured through Public Art securities. |
| Proposed artistic paving treatments | (1 point) | |
| Adds aesthetics to functional elements of the streetscape | (1 point) | Staff comments |
| Aesthetically pleasing and functional benches, bike rack, planter, lighting etc. upgrades | (1 point) | Sunken Plaza, Water Feature, Nature Node, Entry Plaza, and |
| Interaction of the project with the public e.g., edible landscape/foliage | (1 point) | Flex Areas may include different hardscape treatments. Rainwater gardens are |
| □ Artistic panels in entry foyer | (1 point) | proposed to buffer Spring |
| Other | (up to 1 point) | |
| Are the streetscape elements designed by a local artist? | (4 points) | |
| □ Yes | | |
| No | | |
| | | Score 4 /8 |

portmoody.ca | planning@portmoody.ca

| Attachment 1 | 1 | |
|--------------|---|--|
|--------------|---|--|

| | Enforcement |
|--|---|
| C14 (3 points possible) | |
| Does your project include any innovative cultural sustainability aspects not captured in the Report Card? | Will depend on the type of innovation, determined by staff. |
| ■ Yes | Ctoff commonto |
| □ N/A | Staff comments |
| If yes, please explain: (up to 3 points) | |
| The project team and ownership group has fostered a working relationship with the developments proposed immediately adjacent to the site. The goal of the relationship is to help establish a more uniform approach to community making in line with OCP 2017 guidelines. | |
| Relative to the sites current condition (an underutilized parking lot), the project will vastly improve the access, amenity, and livability for the surrounding area. | |
| | Score 2 /3 |
| 045 | Enforcement |
| C15 | |
| Does your project face any unique site constraints that limit cultural sustainability achievement? | – N/A |
| □ Yes | Staff comments |
| No No | |
| If yes, please explain: | |
| | |

C16

(3 points possible)

Summarize the project's cultural sustainability contributions, including the performance criteria in this Report Card and additional elements not previously captured here.

As a part of the 2017 OCP, the Project plays a vital role in the revitalization and proposed vibrancy of the area. The OCP and project look to substantially improve upon the current site condition which is severely underutilized as a parking lot. There are no existing amenities, seating, green spaces, engagement on the current site making it a difficult space to stay and enjoy the area.

The project's design of the pedestrian level has been developed with community and culture in mind. Mid-block pedestrian links break up the former parking lot to encourage connection through the district in the North-South direction. This creates pedestrian 'collision' zones within the public realm which fosters social interaction and cohesion in the community. The primary collision zone for the project includes a water feature, plaza space and play spaces surrounded by food retail intended to active the area and make it a thriving destination.

Public art plays a vital role in the building of complete communities and further enhancement of the pedestrian level. In line with the City's Art in Public Places Master Plan (2021), Beedie will uphold Port Moody's status as "City of the Arts" by animating the development's public spaces with an inspiring public art program that:

- 1. Reflects the heritage of the land
- 2. Engages its community
- 3. Leads innovative creative place-making practice

In addition to public art, a large community plaza all of which will act as welcoming gathering places for community residents of all backgrounds which is vital to the creation and evolution of a complete and inclusive community.

Further, public area will be developed in coordination with the surrounding developments proposed. As part of both the Spring St improvements, the site will better connect the the surrounding area than ever before supporting enhanced non-motorized connections to the whole neighborhood contributing to a car-free culture. This will provide a better community feel and identity for the neighborhood.

Enforcement

- Highlighted in Council reports

Staff comments

Applicant effort and edits are a large improvement in contrast to earlier report cards.

Score 3 /3

Total Cultural Sustainability Pillar Points = 24 /56

2.Economic Sustainability

| Complete Community | | |
|--|--------------------|---|
| | | Resources |
| EC1 (13 | points possible) | |
| Does the project support active transportation to access shops and services by improving the circulation and connectivity of the site to retail shops, services, and employment near the project site? | | Master Transportation Plan Examples Enforcement |
| ■ Yes | | |
| □ No | | Architectural elements are secured through the Development Permit. |
| If yes, check all that apply for how this is achieved: | (up to 13 points) | Development r ennit. |
| Creates connectivity to existing active transportation networ | k (up to 3 points) | Elements on Landscape Plans will be subject to securities. |
| Eliminates barriers to access for active transportation (e.g., improving let-downs, accessibility) | (up to 3 points) | - Elements included in Civil |
| Enhances trails and bike paths | (1 point) | Plans will be secured through the Servicing Agreement. |
| Creates public amenity space | (1 point) | - Signage will be confirmed |
| Use of greenery and landscaping to serve pedestrians and to direct patrons to storefront entrances and transit | (1 point) | through the Signage Plan. |
| Wide sidewalks and separation from the road to encourage and promote pedestrian movement | (1 point) | Staff comments |
| \square Blade or tab signs are incorporated as appropriate | (up to 2 points) | proposed connecting lane allow for pedestrian and cyclist |
| Seating, public art, and other amenities are incorporated into design of retail storefront area | (up to 3 points) | connection through the site/ establishing tree-lined streets and places to rest/ "All buildings |
| Receiving/shipping areas are located off pedestrian routes | (1 point) | surrounded with wide accessible |
| □ Other – please explain: | (up to 3 points) | side-walks. " |
| | | Score 13 /13 |

| Attachment | 1 | 1 |
|------------|---|---|
|------------|---|---|

| | | Resources |
|---|------------------------|--|
| C2 (12 | points possible) | WalkScore |
| bes the project increase access to daily services or suppler isiness composition? | nent the existing | <u>WalkScore</u> |
| Yes | | Enforcement |
| No | | Architectural elements will be |
| yes: | | secured through the |
| Check all that apply: | (up to 12 points) | Development Permit. |
| Contiguous retail frontage to maintain continuity of retail storefronts | (2 points) | Specific uses will be incorporated into the project zoning |
| Enhances existing businesses through agglomeration as appropriate | (2 points) | zoning. |
| Provides a variety of store widths or opportunity for a variety of storefront widths through combining individual ur | nits <i>(2 points)</i> | Staff comments "Proposed Retail plan includes |
| Provides daily goods and services that are missing or underserved in the current local area business composition | n <i>(2 points)</i> | multiple configurations ranging from micro units to large format retail."/ "Connecting Lane, |
| Please explain missing/underserved goods and services ic | lentified: | Spring Street, Electronic Ave, and Buller Street all have retail frontage. In no instance is there a single unit. " |
| Supports expansion of and/or leverages the existing business community in the area Please explain how: | (2 points) | |
| □ Other – please explain: | (up to 2 points) | |
| What is the Walk Score of the proposed project? | | |
| | | Score 7 /12 |

| EC3 (5 points possible) | Resources |
|--|--|
| What is the estimated increase to the current and proposed property assessed value, as would be determined by BC Assessment (market value) for each property classification? | Official Community Plan land use plan map BC Assessment Property |
| (Note that only estimates are required for proposed assessed value as actual assessed values would not be available at this point in the project) | <u>Classification</u> Enforcement |
| Current (Land & Improvement) | |
| Class 1 – residential assessed value: <u>\$15,000,000+\$2,000,000+\$31,000,000</u> | - N/A |
| Class 2 – utilities assessed value: | Staff comments |
| Class 3 – supportive housing assessed value: | Stan comments |
| Class 4 – major industry assessed value: | Applicant responded and |
| Class 5 – light industry assessed value: | provided full answers |
| Class 6 – business other assessed value: | |
| Estimated Proposed (Land & Improvement) | |
| Class 1 – residential assessed value: <u>\$740,000,000</u> | |
| Class 2 – utilities assessed value: | |
| Class 3 – supportive housing assessed value: | |
| Class 4 – major industry assessed value: | |
| Class 5 – light industry assessed value: | |
| Class 6 – business other assessed value: | |
| Project provides more assessed value in a non-residential class (2,4,5,6) = 3 points | |
| Proposed assessed value is higher than current assessed value = 2 points | |
| Proposed assessed value is the same as current assessed value = 1 point | |
| Proposed assessed value is lower than current assessed value = 0 points | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | Score 5 |

| Local Economy | |
|--|--|
| | Resources |
| EC4 (20 points possil | |
| Does the project increase the number of and variety of skilled employment on la | nd Official community Plan Overall Land Use Plan Map |
| designated as Industrial, Mixed Employment, or Mixed Use in the City's Official Community Plan? | NAICS |
| ■ Yes | Metro Vancouver Industrial |
| | Lands Strategy |
| | Enforcement |
| If yes: List the estimated number of jobs: (up to 5 poin | - Commitment confirmed through |
| # of existing jobs on site: <u>0- blank parking lot</u> | Building Permit Plans re: space/occupant designation |
| # of proposed jobs on site: 152- Based on Default ASHRAE intensities | |
| | For owner spaces, proof of registration of the Strata Plan |
| % of jobs retained: <u>100</u> <i>If # of existing jobs is not retained = 0 po</i> | at Land Title & Survey |
| If $\#$ of existing jobs is retained = 3 po | pints |
| If # of jobs is increased beyond existing = 5 po | Staff comments |
| Using the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS), list | |
| the type of jobs created. Classification to the Sector (first) level is sufficien | t |
| Project is proposing Childcare (6244), Office (53,54,or55), Food and | |
| Beverage (445) and Retail spaces likely to be a Pharmacy (45611). | |
| | |
| List the jobs to population ratio on site: (up to 15 point) Up to 0.5 cosch 0.1 = 1 point; Above 0.5 cosch 0.1 = 2 point) | |
| Up to 0.5, each 0.1 = 1 point; Above 0.5, each 0.1 = 2 point | ms |
| Estimated Residential Population- 2500 Estimated Full time Jobs- 152 | |
| Job to Population Ratio= 1:16.4 | |
| Are the Industrial, Mixed Employment, or Mixed Use areas leased or | |
| owned? | |
| | |
| Owned Other – please describe: | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Have you identified potential occupants for each land use? | |
| Ves | |
| □ No | |
| If yes, list all potential occupants identified and their intended use: | |
| Anchor Retail space is expected to be a pharmacy or other retail intended to suite the needs of the community. Office will likely be a small | 1 |
| scale service provider like Dentist, Doctors, and lawyer, real-estate, etc. | |
| | Score 15 /20 |

| | Attachment 11 |
|--|--|
| 505 | Enforcement |
| EC5 (12 points possible) Does the project retain industrial uses on site? | Architectural elements will be secured through the |
| □ Yes | Development Permit. |
| □ No | Occupancy will be confirmed as a part of the Building Permit. |
| ■ N/A | |
| If yes: | Staff comments |
| Will the zoning restrict a portion of the project tolight industrial uses?(5 points)YesNo | Applicant has marked NA |
| Will the industrial use(s) be multi-level (E.g., mezzanine)? (up to 5 points) □ Yes □ No | |
| Will the proposed tenants intensify the use of industrial space? (2 points) ☐ Yes ☐ No | |
| What is the industrial floor space ratio (FSR)? | Score 0 /12 |
| 500 | Enforcement |
| EC6 (7 points possible) Do the sizes and configuration of retail units in the project support a variety of occupants, employment, and uses for those units? | Architectural elements will be secured through the Development Permit. |
| ■ Yes | Staff comments |
| □ No | "Ground Floor Retail floor to |
| | Floor proposed"/ "Retail Units on the corners surrounding |
| If yes, check all that will be incorporated: (up to 7 points) | Sunken Plaza and Water Feature Will have have |
| Ceiling heights of at least 12' (floor to ceiling, not floor to slab) (1 point) | wrap-around Glazing. " |
| Appropriate setbacks to allow for seating, patios, public art, and other streetscape features and amenities (1 point) | |
| For corner developments, a corner retail storefront with wraparound glazing (1 point) | |
| Variety of storefront widths, depths, ventilation, and glazing treatments etc. to meet the needs of different tenants (1 point) | |
| Exterior lighting is positioned and integrated to enhance architecture and storefront design (1 point) | |
| Storefronts are adaptable to accommodate different signage types to support tenant's branding while maintaining neighbourhood character (1 point) | |
| □ Adaptable to emerging retail trends e.g., online orders (1 point) | Score 5 /7 |

| Attachment | 1 | 1 | |
|------------|---|---|--|
|------------|---|---|--|

| | | Attachment 11 |
|--|--------------------|--|
| 507 | | Resources |
| EC7 ((| δ points possible) | Canadian Circular Economy |
| Does the project contribute to a circular economy? | | |
| □ Yes | | Enforcement |
| | | - Architectural elements will be |
| If yes, check all the circular economy initiatives: | (up to 6 points) | secured through the Development Permit. |
| ■ re-use of resources (deconstruction for re-use, materials r | | - Waste related initiatives may be |
| recycled/reclaimed materials, materials made from natura | | subject to securities. |
| □ local repair café | (2 points) | - Other elements may be secured |
| \Box collaboration between local enterprises/industry | (2 points) | with a Section 219 Covenant. |
| \square design for the future/design for deconstruction | (2 points) | Staff comments |
| □ maker-space/tool library | (2 points) | "Rebar and metals will seek to |
| \Box foster a sharing initiative (e.g., car share, bike share etc.) | (2 points) | have a recycled content of at least 25%. Concrete aggregates |
| □ Other – please describe: | (up to 2 points) | will be sought from reclaimed concretes SCM mixes will be |
| | | explored as well. " |
| | | |
| | | Score 2 /6 |
| | | Enforcement |
| EC8 (4 | 5 points possible) | |
| Is the project expected to contribute to the daytime economy | / (i.e. daytime | Architectural elements will be secured through the |
| population comprised of workers and students) and/or the n | ighttime | Development Permit. |
| economy of Port Moody (i.e. commercial activities in the eve restaurant, entertainment, sports, culture, shops, etc.)? | ning e.g., | - Elements related to |
| | | occupancies will be confirmed through the Building Permit. |
| Yes | | o |
| □ No | | Staff comments |
| If yes, check any of the following sectors that you may | | "18,600fs of Office Space proposed in addition tot he |
| be targeting: | (up to 5 points) | proposed 46,500sf of retail spaces. "/ "shops adjacent to |
| Incorporate office, institutional or light industrial space | (5 points) | Sunken Plaza and an additional Retail unit Adjacent to "Flex |
| Food and beverage establishment (e.g., restaurant, coffee shop, etc.) | (3 points) | Plaza likely candidates for Food |
| □ Tourism business | | and Beverage." |
| | (1 point) | |

| | | Attachment 11 |
|---|---------------------------|--|
| EC9 | (4 points possible) | Enforcement |
| Does the project provide a regional destination for con land uses? | nmercial or institutional | Architectural elements will be secured through the Development Permit. |
| □ Yes | | Elements related to |
| No | | occupancies will be confirmed through the Building Permit. |
| If yes, please check all that apply: | (up to 4 points) | Staff comments |
| □ specialized training/education | (2 points) | |
| □ specialized art | (2 points) | |
| □ culture/heritage | (2 points) | |
| \Box recreational opportunities (e.g., high performance tr | aining centre) (2 points) | |
| □ Other – please describe: | (up to 2 points) | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | Score 0 /4 |
| FC10 | | Enforcement |
| EC10 | (3 points possible) | - Architectural elements will be |
| Will the project attempt to source local (Port Moody) la materials? | bour, supply and | secured through the Development Permit. |
| ■ Yes | | - Contractors will be confirmed |
| □ No | | through the Building Permit. |
| If yes, check all that apply: | (up to 3 points) | Staff comments |
| □ Local supply of materials | (1 point) | Items will have to be provided with more detail at a later date. |
| ■ Local labour | (1 point) | Scoring conditional |
| Local contractors | (1 point) | |
| □ Local professional services | (1 point) | |
| □ Other – please describe: | (1 point) | |
| | | |
| | | Score 2 /3 |

| | Attachment 11 |
|--|---|
| EC11 (3 points possible) | Enforcement |
| | Will depend on the type of innovation, determined by staff. |
| Does your project include any innovative economic sustainability aspects not captured? | |
| ■ Yes | Staff comments |
| □ N/A | |
| If yes, please describe: (up to 3 points) | |
| Relative to its current condition the proposed development proposes to offer more services for its residents and its surrounding community. The proposal includes plaza spaces capable of conversion for larger programs such as local markets or performances further drawing people into the site. The proposed Childcare supports working families. | |
| | Score 2/3 |
| FC12 | Enforcement |
| | - N/A |
| sustainability achievement? | Staff comments |
| □ Yes | |
| No No | |
| If yes, please describe: | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Relative to its current condition the proposed development proposes to offer more services for its residents and its surrounding community. The proposal includes plaza spaces capable of conversion for larger programs such as local markets or performances further drawing people into the site. The proposed Childcare supports working families. EC12 Does your project face any unique site constraints unique that limit economic sustainability achievement? Yes No | Enforcement - N/A |

previously captured here:

these new residents and visitors.

Attachment 11

Enforcement

(3 points possible)

- Highlighted in Council report

Staff comments

Improved report card compare to original version. Staff recognize the difficulty with providing more concrete answers early in the application life cycle. Staff encourage applicant to revisit the application and explore potential ideas that might bring more value (Economic Pillar Value).

of the larger 2017 OCP for the Area, the development represents a major amount of growth for the City of Port Moody. The development incorporates greenery and wide sidewalks to encourage pedestrian movement in order to prioritize walking, cycling, and transit-use over single occupancy vehicle use. Locating services in close proximity to public transit represents an opportunity for the development to serve as a regional destination that attracts people and contributes to the local economic growth of Port Moody. These additional residents help build Port Moody's economy in a sustainable way, locating residents and amenities close to transit to reduce the

amount of infrastructure needed by the City of Port Moody to help move all

Summarize the project's economic sustainability contributions including the performance criteria in this Report Card and additional elements not

The project represents a massive revitalization to the site increasing the

assessed property value substantially through the addition of the proposed

density and addition of retail space. This project represents approximately 2,500

additional residents living, paying taxes, and spending in the area. In the context

The area will be a vibrant economic area with opportunities to live, work, and play keeping money within the community. Relative to the current site condition with an employment ratio of 0:0 the proposed Job to Population ratio of 1:16. The project's economic contribution will begin as soon as ground breaks for construction immediately contributing local employment for trades and laborers from within Port moody and the Lower Land for some time.

Score 3 /3

Total Economic Sustainability Pillar Points = 59/93

3. Environmental Sustainability

| Natural Environment | | |
|--|----------------|---|
| | | Resources |
| EN1 (20 point of the project protect and enhance the urban forest, prioritizing the project protect and enhance the urban forest, prioritizing the project protect and enhance the urban forest, prioritizing the project protect and enhance the urban forest, prioritizing the project protect and enhance the urban forest, prioritizing the project protect and enhance the urban forest prioritizing the project protect and enhance the urban forest prioritizing the project protect and enhance the urban forest prioritizing the project protect and enhance the urban forest prioritizing the project protect and enhance the urban forest prioritizing the project protect and enhance the urban forest prioritizing the prioritizing the project protect and enhance the urban forest prioritizing the p | ints possible) | Tree Protection Bylaw |
| retention and planting of native or adaptive tree species which p storey habitat (groundcover, shrubs and trees) to increase ecolo | rovide multi- | I-tree Canopy |
| biodiversity, and resilience to climate change impacts? | | Canadian Landscape Standard |
| Yes | | New canopy cover is calculated |
| | | based on the type of trees that are being planted, at 20 year maturity. |
| | | |
| If yes: Outline the following: | | Large Canopy Trees provide 125 m ² per tree (e.g., Douglas Fir, Deodor Cedar, Red Oak) |
| Number of existing significant mature trees protected on site (i.e., mature trees over 40 cm DBH): | | Medium Canopy Trees provide 50 m² per tree (e.g., Evergreen |
| 0- None within site (up | o to 5 points) | magnolia, Honey locust) |
| Number of existing trees over 10 cm protected on site: _0- None within site(up | o to 5 points) | Small Canopy Trees provide 25 m² per tree (e.g., Japanese maple, Giant Dogwood) |
| • | o to 5 points) | |
| (Note: Native tree species are preferred for areas immediately adjacent to Environmentally Sensitive Areas) | | Sum Total Species Canopy Area for all proposed species and divide by gross site area to |
| Trees planted on-site: <u>93</u> | | obtain mature canopy coverage percentage |
| Trees planted off-site: <u>Under review through land swap</u> | | Enforcement |
| Cash-in-lieu: | | |
| Existing canopy cover (%): 0 | | Elements on Landscape Plans will be subject to securities. |
| Proposed canopy cover at 20 years post development (%): <u>19%-</u> | Targeted | - Tree Protection Covenants |
| If canopy cover is the sa | | may be applied. |
| If proposed canopy cover exceeds existing = | up to 5 points | Staff comments |
| Demonstrate ability of trees to reach full maturity. Check all that apply: <i>(up</i> | o to 5 points) | "Site is a blank parking lot with no existing landscape "/ "Final |
| Adequate soil volume as determined by the Canadian Landscape Standard | (2 points) | tree count and canopy subject to further design. " |
| Designated space for significant trees/stand of trees to reach full maturity | (2 points) | |
| Proximity to infrastructure (e.g., Building(s), power lines) | (1 point) | |
| | | Score 10 /20 |

| | | Attachment 11 |
|---|-----------------|--|
| | | Resources |
| EN2 (15 point Does the site stormwater management plan provide adequate stor quality, volume and groundwater protection to address the relevant and municipal government requirements for future rainfall expect | ant senior | Metro Vancouver's Stormwater Source Control Guidelines Climate Action Plan |
| climate change? Yes | | <u>The Chines Integrated</u> <u>Stormwater Management Report</u> (metrovancouver.org) |
| | | |
| If yes: | | DFO Land Development Guidelines |
| Do the site conditions work to restore stormwater flows to be closer to pre-development historical conditions? Yes | (2 points) | 2018 KWL IDF Curves for Climate Change |
| | | Enforcement |
| Indicate which of these approaches the project will use: | | Submission of stormwater management plan that |
| A. <u>Nature-based Green Infrastructure solutions</u> Check all that apply: <i>(up</i> | o to 9 points) | addresses the goals indicated will be required. |
| □ Watercourse daylighting | (3 points) | Elements on Landscape Plans will be subject to securities. |
| Constructed wetlands | (3 points) | |
| - | ip to 3 points) | Elements included on Civil Plans will be secured through the Servicing Agreement. |
| (. | ip to 3 points) | |
| | ip to 3 points) | Staff comments |
| Other – please describe: | (up 2 points) | "Rain Gardens located at the corners of Spring St. And Connecting Lane, Electronic Av. and Spring St. and Connecting Lane and Golden |
| B. <u>Engineered Green Infrastructure solutions</u> Check all that apply: (up | o to 4 points) | Spike Lane"/ "Extensive Greenroof identified for the L2 Lounge Roof"/ "The landscape design includes absorbent |
| Rainwater harvesting | (2 points) | landscape throughout the site |
| Systems that support street trees (e.g., trenches, soil cells, structural soils, etc.) | (1 point) | at the podium and street levels to help manage rainwater" |
| Roof downspout disconnection to Green Infrastructure | (1 point) | |
| □ Water quality structures | (1 point) | |
| Absorbent landscaping | (1 point) | |
| □ Other – please describe: (u | ıp to 2 points) | |
| | | Score 8 /15 |

| | | Resources |
|---|--------------------|---|
| EN3 (applicants choose A or B) (15 or 6 A. Does the project protect, restore and/or compensate for s | 6 points possible) | Naturescape Policy 13-6410-03 |
| ecology on-site? | | Enforcement |
| □ Yes | | |
| □ No | | Elements on Landscape Plans will be subject to securities. |
| ■ N/A (applicants choose A or B) | | |
| If yes, check all that apply: | (up to 15 points) | Staff comments (A) |
| Watercourse daylighting | (5 points) | |
| □ Constructed wetlands (3 points) | | "Site contains no existing ecologies and no such covenant |
| \Box No increase in existing impervious area | (4 points) | has been proposed by the CoPM" |
| Area (m²): | _ | Applicant has selected N/A |
| Riparian Area Restoration | (up to 3 points) | |
| □ Aquatic restoration | (2 points) | |
| Non-riparian forest restoration | (2 points) | |
| Native/"naturescape" landscaping | (2 points) | |
| \Box Removal of invasive plant species from natural areas | (2 points) | |
| Other biodiversity and habitat enhancement | (1 point) | |
| Salvage replanting | (1 point) | |
| □ Other – please describe: | (up to 3 points) | |
| | | Staff comments (B) |
| | | "Planting species will strive to delicately balance native |
| OR | | species and species resilient to |
| B. Does the project provide other biodiversity enhancement in an urban setting? | | a changing climate. "/ "Current site condition is so devoid of biodiversity " |
| Yes | | |
| 🗆 No | | |
| □ N/A (applicants choose A or B) | | |
| If yes, check all that apply: | (up to 6 points) | |
| Other biodiversity and habitat enhancement | (1 point) | |
| Native/"naturescape" landscaping | (2 points) | |
| Other – please describe: | (up to 3 points) | |
| Project landscape design provides "Nature Nodes" and networks to aide in the movement of animals establishin corridors along Spring Street and Connecting Lane. | | Score 6 /15 or 6 |

| | | | Attachment 11 |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| (ESA)? □ Yes ■ N/A If yes: | oposed property located in an Environmentally Vhat is the designation of the ESA? | (10 points possible) Sensitive Area | Resources Official Community Plan Map 13: Environmentally Sensitive Areas and Appendix 2: Development Permit Area Guidelines Enforcement - Environmentally Sensitive Area DP, other means of protection are required as established in criteria ii. |
| ii. V | Covenant Monitoring | (up to 8 points) (3 points) (1 point) (up to 2 points) (up to 2 points) | Staff comments Applicant has selected N/A |
| iii . | How is the ESA being improved? | (up to 2 points) | Score 0 /10 |

| Attac | chmer | ۱t | 11 | |
|-------|-------|----|----|--|
| | | | | |

| | | Resources |
|--|-----------------------------------|---|
| EN5 (5 poir | nts possible) | Water meter Specifications |
| Does the project reduce potable water use from existing site conc | litions | Enforcement |
| and/or per capita? Yes | | Elements included on Civil Plans will be secured through the Servicing Agreement. |
| | | - Elements on Landscape Plans |
| If yes, check all that apply: (up | o to 5 points) | will be subject to securities. |
| Drought tolerant landscaping (xeriscaping) with native species | (0.5 points) | Low flow/flush and greywater systems will be confirmed |
| Installation of a water meter display to show consumption for occupants more frequently than billing | (0.5 points) | through the Building Permit. - Elements noted on Mechanical |
| Non-water dependent materials/features for ground cover treatment | (0.5 points) | Drawings will be confirmed through the Building Permit. |
| Irrigation system with central control, rain sensors, and drip irrigation on and off-site | (0.5 points) | Staff comments |
| Captured rainwater irrigation or greywater system | (0.5 points) | "Drought tolerant species will be considered for landscape design |
| Other – please explain: (up | o to 2 points) | with the principal goal of reducing irrigation demand and |
| Landscape maintenance plan will include a soil health mainter management program intended to keep mulches and other ma onto of soils to help limit evaporation of water from soils. Mulcl proven passive strategy for increasing soil moisture retention a reducing irrigation demand. | aterials hing is a | being resilient to changing climate. "/ "Landscape contains a mix of hardscapes which do not require any irrigation" |
| | | Score 3 /5 |
| | | Resources |
| EN6 (5 poir | nts possible) | Green Shores |
| Is the project located along the Burrard Inlet foreshore or otherwise by coastal flooding (e.g., sea level rise, coastal squeeze, etc.)? | se impacted | Port Moody Zoning Bylaw Section 5.3.5 |
| □ Yes | | Enforcement |
| ■ N/A If yes, describe how the project will mitigate risks and impacts of | | - Setbacks and minimum |
| | coastal Ip to 5 points) | building elevation are confirmed through the Development Permit and Building Permit. |
| | | confirmed through the Development Permit and |
| | | confirmed through the Development Permit and Building Permit. |
| | | confirmed through the Development Permit and Building Permit. Staff comments |
| | | confirmed through the Development Permit and Building Permit. Staff comments |

| | | 7 (((d)))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))) |
|--|---|--|
| EN7 | | Resources |
| | (5 points possible) | <u>Brownfields</u> |
| Does the project redevelop and rehabilitate a brownf | ield site? (5 points) | Contaminated Sites Regulations |
| □ Yes | | Enforcement |
| ■ N/A | | Proof of compliance with provincial contaminated sites regulations will be required. |
| | | Staff comments |
| | | Applicant has selected N/A |
| | | Score 0 /5 |
| | | Resources |
| EN8 Does the design of outdoor lighting incorporate tech harmful effects of light pollution? | (4 points possible) nology to minimize the | International Dark Sky Association for Dark Sky Friendly Lighting |
| Yes | | Enforcement |
| | | - Lighting details will be |
| Check all that apply to ensure that lights are: | (up to 4 points) | confirmed through the Building |
| □ Only on when needed | (<i>up to 4 points</i>) (0.5 points) | Permit and will be subject to securities through Landscape |
| Only light the area that needs it | (0.5 points) | Plans. |
| No brighter than necessary | (0.5 points) | Staff comments |
| Minimizes blue light emissions | (0.5 points) | |
| Fully shielded (pointing downward) | (0.5 points) | |
| LED lights | (0.5 points) | |
| Non-reflective pavement surface | (0.5 points) | |
| Other – describe the lighting plan for the site and its dark sky friendly features: | (up to 0.5 points) | |
| All lighting fixtures will be specified to be dark sk | y compliant. | |
| | | |
| | | Score 4 /4 |

| | decaning | Vancouver Bird Strategy |
|---|------------------------------------|--|
| Does the project provide bird-friendly development through landscaping features that provide habitat to native species and building design that | | Enforcement |
| reduces bird collisions? | - | |
| Yes | | Elements included on Landscape Plans will be |
| 🗆 No | | subject to securities. |
| If yes, check all that apply: (L | ıp to 3 points) | Architectural elements will be accured through the |
| \Box Building design minimizes the quantity of glass | (0.5 points) | secured through the Development Permit. |
| Incorporation of visual markers | (0.5 points) | 0. <i>1</i> |
| \Box Incorporation of features to block reflections | (0.5 points) | Staff comments |
| Landscaping is appropriate distance from glass features | (0.5 points) | Larger trees and shrubs generally located on far side of |
| Reduces light pollution | (0.5 points) | sidewalks approximately 6-10 ft from retail frontage. "/ "See light |
| Building design reduces trapping potential by ensuring open | | pollution measures detailed |
| ventilation grates and drains are inaccessible to birds | (0.5 points) | under EN8"/ Detailed design will limit penetrations. Where |
| Landscaping plan incorporates a diversity of native plants the provide food options for birds throughout the year | at (0.5 points) | unavoidable grates and screen will be provided. |
| Landscaping plan creates habitat complexity by including groups of the second secon | | |
| shrubs, understory, and canopy layers in a stepped pattern | (0.5 points) | |
| Other – please explain: | (0.5 points) | |
| Spring Street and Connecting Lane lines with trees to provid continuous tree canopy corridor. | de | Score 3 |
| | | Resources |
| | oints possible) | Fire Smart Canada |
| Does the project include forest fire prevention measures or fire smart building features? | | |
| | Smart | Enforcement |
| building features? | Smart | |
| uilding features? ■ Yes | Smart | Enforcement - Materials will be confirmed through the Building Permit. |
| ouilding features? ■ Yes □ No | (up to 2 points) | - Materials will be confirmed |
| ouilding features? ■ Yes □ No f yes, list all features: | | Materials will be confirmed through the Building Permit. |
| ■ Yes ■ Yes ■ No f yes, list all features: Building cladding and structure will be non-combustible. Building will be maintained to avoid build-up of any flammable mate | (up to 2 points) | Materials will be confirmed through the Building Permit. |
| building features? ■ Yes □ No | (up to 2 points) erials (leaves | Materials will be confirmed through the Building Permit. |
| ■ Yes □ No If yes, list all features: Building cladding and structure will be non-combustible. Building will be maintained to avoid build-up of any flammable materials in vents) Tower roofs will be paver or stone (non combustible [Class A]) Low | (up to 2 points) erials (leaves | Materials will be confirmed through the Building Permit. |

Resources

(3 points possible)

EN9

| | | Attachment 11 |
|--|--------------------------|---|
| | | Resources |
| EN11 | (2 points possible) | Salmon Safe BC Certification |
| Is the project seeking third party environmental certifica | tions (e.g., Salmon | |
| Safe BC certification)? | | Enforcement |
| | | - Certification will be confirmed |
| □ No | | through Section 219 Covenant. |
| ■ N/A | | Staff comments |
| | | Applicant has selected N/A |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | Score 0 /2 |
| Air Quality – Low Carbon Mobility | | |
| | | Resources |
| EN12 | (12 points possible) | Port Moody Zoning Bylaw |
| Does the project provide sustainable transportation infrastructure for user | | Port Moody Electric Vehicle |
| groups of each land use type, which contributes to redu emissions from this development beyond requirements | | charging Infrastructure Bulletin |
| Yes | | Enforcement |
| | | Elements noted on |
| | | Architectural Plans will be confirmed through the |
| If yes, check all that apply: | (up to 12 points) | Development Permit and |
| Unbundled and/or district parking | (2 points) | Building Permit Plans. |
| Level 2 EVSE installed (as defined in technical bulle | tin) (2 points) | Transit passes/unbundled & district parking will be secured |
| Public EV DC Fast Charging EVSE installed | (2 points) | through a Section 219 |
| More than 20% of commercial EV charging infrastructure | cture provided (1 point) | Covenant. |
| \Box Subsidized transit pass and transit information packa | age (1 point) | - End of trip facilities/parking |
| ■ Micro e-charging is provided for a minimum of 10% of | | uses included on Architectural Plans will be confirmed through |
| storage/parking spaces (e.g., e-bicycle, e-scooter) | (1 point) | the Development Permit. |
| Energized EV Charging for visitor parking | (1 point) | Staff comments |
| End of trip bicycle facilities | (1 point) | Staff comments |
| Bike share and assigned parking Car share and assigned parking space provision in r | (1 point) | "Existing Modo carshare parking located at St George |
| on-street/public parking | (1 point) | and William. Multiple Developments int the area |
| □ Other – please describe: | (up to 2 points) | considering the provision of |
| | | additional carsharing provisions." |
| | | |
| | | Score 7 /12 |

| Attachment ² | 11 | |
|-------------------------|----|--|
|-------------------------|----|--|

| | | Resources |
|--|---------------------------|---|
| EN13 | 11 points possible) | Dart Maadu Maatar |
| Does the project incorporate measures to support and incr | ease active | Port Moody Master Transportation Plan |
| transportation? | | Enforcement |
| Yes | | |
| □ No | | Elements included in Civil Plans will be included in |
| | | Servicing Agreement. |
| If yes, check all that apply: | (up to 11 points): | Elements included in |
| Connects to existing pedestrian/cycling routes and | | Architectural Plans will be |
| priority destinations | (1 point) | secured through Development Permit. |
| Improved crossings of busy streets | (1 point) | Ferrint. |
| Improved local pedestrian routes, local bike networks/tr | ails <i>(1 point)</i> | Staff comments |
| Safe, secure, accessible, and sustainable footpaths | (1 point) | |
| Pedestrian clearway sufficient to accommodate pedestr | ian flow <i>(1 point)</i> | |
| \Box Covered outdoor waiting areas, overhangs, or awnings | (1 point) | |
| Pedestrian scale lighting | (1 point) | |
| Pedestrian/bike only zones | (1 point) | |
| Improves connections to transit (bus/SkyTrain/ | | |
| West Coast Express) | (1 point) | |
| Other – please describe: | (up to 2 points) | |
| Primary bike route on St. Johns connected through Ele | | |
| Buller St- Spring Street Street improvements contribute enhancement planned through 2017 OCP. | e to larger network | • |
| | | Score 9 /11 |
| | | |

| Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Energy Reductions | |
|--|--|
| | |

EN14

(12 points possible)

Does the project provide a low carbon energy system (LCES), which prioritizes on-site local energy systems that provide heating, cooling and hot water heating? (Note: systems should meet a Coefficient of Performance of 2 or greater) Examples include: solar; district energy; heat pump; or geo exchange.

If yes:

| De | escribe the system type: (up to 1 | 0 points) - LCES co |
|----|--|---------------------------------|
| • | Heating mechanical system (up to | 5 points) Energy S Policy co |
| | Description: <u>Centralized Heat Recovery ASHP</u> | Building I |
| | - Fuel source (e.g., electricity, renewable etc.): Electric | Mechanio |
| • | Hot water mechanical system (up to | 3 points) through E |
| | Description: <u>ASHP w. nat gas back-up (auc + Top Up)</u> | |
| | - Fuel source (e.g., electricity, renewable etc.): Elect w. gas bac | ck-up Staff comr |
| • | Cooling mechanical system (up to | 2 points) |
| | Description: Centralized ASHP | |
| | - Fuel source (e.g., electricity, renewable etc.): Electricity | |
| | GWP)? Yes | |
| | No | |
| | N/A | |
| | If yes, check the low GWP system being installed: (up to 2 | 2 points) |
| | Centralized system (e.g., communal heat pump) using ammonia, R744, water, CO2, R1234ze, or R1234yf, R454b, R513a, R32, R410a, R407c, R134a | (2 points) |
| | | (2 points) |
| | Individual system (e.g., split or individual heat pump) using R290 propane, R744, or R134a | (2 points) |
| | □ Other (up to | 2 points) |
| | | |
| | | |
| Но | ow will the project mitigate refrigerant leakage? | |

Centralized system should help keep refrigerants concentrated and contained to a single area easier to identify and maintain leaks.

Resources

Energy Step Code Corporate Policy

Vancouver low carbon energy system policy

Refrigerants & Environmental Impacts: A Best Practice Guide -Integral Group

Enforcement

- nfirmed through the Step Code Corporate mmitment and Permit.
- cal systems confirmed Building Permit Plans.

nents

Score 12 /12

| | | Resources |
|---|-------------------------|--|
| EN15 (11 points p | ossible) | Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) |
| Vill the project include strategies to reduce lifecycle (embodied) gree | nhouse | Practice Guide [Carbon Leadership Forum] |
| gas emissions from the project and increase carbon sequestration? (Note that projects should aim to have total embodied carbon emissions below 500 kgCO ₂ e/m ²) | | <u>CLF Embodied Carbon Policy</u> <u>Toolkit - Carbon Leadership</u> <u>Forum</u> |
| Yes | | <u>lcm-public-sector-guide.pdf</u> (gov.bc.ca) |
| ∃ No | | Methodology to Calculate |
| yes: | | Embodied Carbon of Materials [RICS] (PDF) |
| Check all that apply: (up to 10 | | Whole Building Life Cycle |
| Tracking and reporting project embodied emissions | (1 point) | Assessment: Reference Building Structure and Strategies [ASCE] |
| □ Embodied emissions third-party certification: (| (1 point) | Zero Code – Off-Site |
| List the certification: | | Procurement of Renewable |
| ■ Wood frame construction (2 | 2 points) | Energy [Architecture 2030] (PDF) Carbon Smart Materials Palette |
| Low carbon concrete construction (| (1 point) | [Architecture 2030] |
| materials sourced locally to reduce transportation emissions | (1 point) | Athena Impact Estimator |
| Iabour sourced locally to reduce transportation emissions | (1 point) | Environment Agency's Carbon |
| Selecting materials with environmental product declarations (| (1 point) | Calculator for Construction Activities |
| Low embodied emissions disposal of materials | (1 point) | eTool |
| \Box Utilization of natural insulation products (| (1 point) | One Click LCA |
| Targeting third party certification under ISO 14040, ISO 14044, and/or EN15978 | 2 points) | Tally |
| Commitment to reduce at least 40% of embodied emissions compa to project embodied emissions baseline: (2 % reduction committed to: | red 2 <i>points)</i> | Project teams may use a carbon calculator that is not listed above but must include the name of tool/organization completing the assessment |
| \square Submission of pre- and post-construction lifecycle assessment (| (1 point) | Enforcement |
| \Box Low embodied emissions material selection policy (| (1 point) | Linorcement |
| Benchmarking embodied emissions performance (| (1 point) | - Commitment will be secured |
| □ Other – please describe: (| (1 point) | through Section 219 Covenant. |
| | | Staff comments |
| Please state the estimated embodied emissions of the project: (* over the building's estimated lifespan: <u>To be provided</u> | 1 point) | "LCA under development. Planned completion after initial rezoning application. "/ "Wood frame constrcution under consideration for 2806 Spring. Wood Frame construction not |
| in kgCO ₂ e/m ² : <u>To be provided</u> | | feasible for higher towers due to |
| Provide the name of the calculator used to provide an estimate an | d/or the | code limitations. "/ Relative to national concrete embodied |

Provide the name of the calculator used to provide an estimate and/or the name of the organization who provided the embodied emissions analysis:

OneClick LCA Analysis developed by Introba.

Score 5 /11

carbon intensities BC concrete

mixes generally contain less embodied carbon"

| | | Attachment 11 |
|---|--|--|
| | | Resources |
| EN16 | (10 points possible) | Built Green Canada |
| Will the project achieve a recognized industry stan | LEED Canada | |
| design? (Note that the City does not accept equivalen | | Zero Carbon Building Standard |
| complete full certification) | | Energy Star |
| □ Yes | | BOMA BEST |
| No | | <u>Canadian Passive House</u> Institute |
| □ N/A | | ILFI – Zero Carbon Certification |
| If yes, check all that apply: | | Enforcement |
| □ Built Green Canada – certification level: | (10 points) | |
| | | Commitment will be secured through Section 219 Covenant. |
| □ LEED – certification level: | (10 points) | |
| Zero Carbon Building Standard | (10 points) | Staff comments |
| □ Energy Star | (10 points) | |
| | (10 points) | |
| Canadian Passive House Institute | (10 points) | |
| International Living Future Institute – Zero Cart | | |
| Other – please describe: | (up to 10 points) | |
| | | Score 0 /10 |
| | | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Resources |
| EN17 | (8 points possible) | Resources |
| EN17 Does the project include strategies to ensure build | | |
| | | Resources Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive |
| Does the project include strategies to ensure build | | Resources Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit |
| Does the project include strategies to ensure build future climate change scenarios? | | Resources Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive |
| Does the project include strategies to ensure build future climate change scenarios? Yes No | ings do not overheat in | Resources Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit Enforcement - Elements included in |
| Does the project include strategies to ensure build future climate change scenarios? ■ Yes □ No If yes, check all that apply: | ings do not overheat in (up to 8 points) | Resources Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit Enforcement |
| Does the project include strategies to ensure build future climate change scenarios? Yes No | ings do not overheat in (up to 8 points) (1 point) | Resources Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit Enforcement - Elements included in Architectural Plans will be secured through the Development Permit and |
| Does the project include strategies to ensure build future climate change scenarios? Yes No If yes, check all that apply: Natural/passive ventilation Stacked windows | ings do not overheat in (up to 8 points) (1 point) (1 point) | Resources Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit Enforcement - Elements included in Architectural Plans will be secured through the |
| Does the project include strategies to ensure build future climate change scenarios? Yes No If yes, check all that apply: Natural/passive ventilation | ings do not overheat in (up to 8 points) (1 point) | Resources Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit Enforcement - Elements included in Architectural Plans will be secured through the Development Permit and confirmed through the Building |
| Does the project include strategies to ensure build future climate change scenarios? Yes No If yes, check all that apply: Natural/passive ventilation Stacked windows Earth tempering ducting | ings do not overheat in (up to 8 points) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point) | Resources Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit Enforcement - Elements included in Architectural Plans will be secured through the Development Permit and confirmed through the Building |
| Does the project include strategies to ensure build future climate change scenarios? Yes No If yes, check all that apply: Natural/passive ventilation Stacked windows Earth tempering ducting Passive evaporative cooling | ings do not overheat in (up to 8 points) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point) | Resources Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit Enforcement - Elements included in Architectural Plans will be secured through the Development Permit and confirmed through the Building Permit. |
| Does the project include strategies to ensure build future climate change scenarios? Yes No If yes, check all that apply: Natural/passive ventilation Stacked windows Earth tempering ducting Passive evaporative cooling Fixed/operable external shading | ings do not overheat in (up to 8 points) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point) | Resources Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit Enforcement - Elements included in Architectural Plans will be secured through the Development Permit and confirmed through the Building Permit. Staff comments Building will include operable windows to provide control of |
| Does the project include strategies to ensure build future climate change scenarios? Yes No If yes, check all that apply: Natural/passive ventilation Stacked windows Earth tempering ducting Passive evaporative cooling Fixed/operable external shading Natural shading | ings do not overheat in (up to 8 points) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point) (1 point) | Resources Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit Enforcement - Elements included in Architectural Plans will be secured through the Development Permit and confirmed through the Building Permit. Staff comments Building will include operable |
| Does the project include strategies to ensure build future climate change scenarios? Yes No If yes, check all that apply: Natural/passive ventilation Stacked windows Earth tempering ducting Passive evaporative cooling Fixed/operable external shading Natural shading Low window to wall area ratio | ings do not overheat in (up to 8 points) (1 point) (1 point) | Resources Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit Enforcement - Elements included in Architectural Plans will be secured through the Development Permit and confirmed through the Building Permit. Staff comments Building will include operable windows to provide control of addition outdoor air in addition to mechanical ventilation"/ "Window to Wall Ratio under |
| Does the project include strategies to ensure build future climate change scenarios? Yes No If yes, check all that apply: Natural/passive ventilation Stacked windows Earth tempering ducting Passive evaporative cooling Fixed/operable external shading Natural shading Low window to wall area ratio Thermal massing | ings do not overheat in (up to 8 points) (1 point) (1 point) | Resources Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit Enforcement - Elements included in Architectural Plans will be secured through the Development Permit and confirmed through the Building Permit. Staff comments Building will include operable windows to provide control of addition outdoor air in addition to mechanical ventilation"/ |
| Does the project include strategies to ensure build future climate change scenarios? Yes No If yes, check all that apply: Natural/passive ventilation Stacked windows Earth tempering ducting Passive evaporative cooling Fixed/operable external shading Natural shading Low window to wall area ratio Thermal massing Building Energy Model using future climate weak | ings do not overheat in (up to 8 points) (1 point) (1 point) (| Resources Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium future weather files City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit Enforcement - Elements included in Architectural Plans will be secured through the Development Permit and confirmed through the Building Permit. Staff comments Building will include operable windows to provide control of addition outdoor air in addition to mechanical ventilation"/ "Window to Wall Ratio under review with energy modeling |

| EN18 | (9 points possible) | Resources |
|--|--|---|
| | 8 points possible) | Reducing Urban Heat Islands to |
| Does the project reduce the heat island effect on the site? | | Protect Health in Canada |
| Yes | | Enforcement |
| □ No | | Landscaped elements will be subject to securities. |
| If yes, check all that apply: | (up to 8 points) | - Elements included in |
| Water features on site | (1 point) | Architectural Plans will be secured through the |
| Natural shade around the structures (trees, climbing plan | ts) (1 point) | Development Permit. |
| Increase canopy cover compared to existing canopy cover | er (1 point) | Active transportation commitments will be confirmed through the Servicing |
| Green infrastructure such as green roofs, rain gardens, | | through the Servicing Agreement and Development |
| absorbent landscaping etc. | (1 point) | Permit as noted on Civil Plans |
| □ Use of low-albedo materials | (1 point) | and other plans noted above. |
| Reducing waste heat production through energy efficienc | • | Staff comments |
| and active transportation | (1 point) | |
| □ Other – please describe: | (up to 2 points) | |
| Consideration of low albedo materials to be evaluated th application. Addition of a Pergola to help shade substantial portion o | | |
| space | | |
| space | | Score 5 /8 |
| | | Score 5/8 Resources |
| | 6 points possible) | Resources |
| EN19 Which Step of the Energy Step Code will the project be desi | 6 points possible) | Resources <u>Building Bylaw</u> |
| EN19 | 6 points possible) | Resources Building Bylaw BC Energy Step Code |
| EN19 Which Step of the Energy Step Code will the project be desi | 6 points possible) | Resources <u>Building Bylaw</u> |
| EN19 Which Step of the Energy Step Code will the project be desi to comply with? | 6 points possible) | Resources Building Bylaw BC Energy Step Code Energy Step Code Corporate |
| EN19 Which Step of the Energy Step Code will the project be desited to comply with? Part 9 | <mark>(6 points possible)</mark> gned | Resources Building Bylaw BC Energy Step Code Energy Step Code Corporate Policy Enforcement |
| EN19 Which Step of the Energy Step Code will the project be desited to comply with? Part 9 Step 3 | (6 points possible) gned (0 points) | Building Bylaw BC Energy Step Code Energy Step Code Corporate Policy |
| EN19 Which Step of the Energy Step Code will the project be desited to comply with? Part 9 Step 3 Step 4 Step 5 Part 3 | (0 points) (2 points) (2 points) (3 points) | Resources Building Bylaw BC Energy Step Code Energy Step Code Corporate Policy Enforcement - Step Code commitment will be confirmed through the Building |
| EN19 Which Step of the Energy Step Code will the project be desited to comply with? Part 9 Step 3 Step 4 Step 5 Part 3 Step 2 | (6 points possible) gned (0 points) (2 points) (3 points) (0 points) | Resources Building Bylaw BC Energy Step Code Energy Step Code Corporate Policy Enforcement - Step Code commitment will be confirmed through the Building Permit and a Section 219 Covenant. |
| EN19 Which Step of the Energy Step Code will the project be desited to comply with? Part 9 Step 3 Step 4 Step 5 Part 3 Step 2 Step 3 (2 points for large residential, 3 points) | (6 points possible) gned (0 points) (2 points) (3 points) (0 points) nts for commercial) | Resources Building Bylaw BC Energy Step Code Energy Step Code Corporate Policy Enforcement - Step Code commitment will be confirmed through the Building Permit and a Section 219 |
| EN19 Which Step of the Energy Step Code will the project be desited to comply with? Part 9 Step 3 Step 4 Step 5 Part 3 Step 2 | (6 points possible) gned (0 points) (2 points) (3 points) (0 points) | Resources Building Bylaw BC Energy Step Code Energy Step Code Corporate Policy Enforcement - Step Code commitment will be confirmed through the Building Permit and a Section 219 Covenant. |

| | Resources |
|--|--|
| EN20 (2 points possible) | Zaning Dulaw |
| Does the project allocate sufficient and accessible recycling | Zoning Bylaw |
| and garbage storage space in multi-residential and commercial buildings | Metro Vancouver's Technical |
| and complexes compatible with Metro Vancouver's Technical | Specifications for Recycling and Garbage Amenities in Multi- |
| Specifications for Recycling and Garbage Amenities in Multi Family and Commercial Developments? (1 point) | family and Commercial |
| | <u>Developments</u> |
| Yes | Bear Resistant Guidelines for |
| □ No | Solid Waste, Organics, and |
| If yes, outline the space provided for each (m ²): | Recycling Enclosures & Containers |
| Residential recycling: _4,156sf (split recycling, garbage and green) | Enforcement |
| Residential garbage: <u>Design to be developed</u> | |
| Residential green waste: Design to be developed | Elements included on the Architectural Plans will be |
| Commercial recycling: <u>Design to be developed</u> | secured through the |
| Commercial garbage: <u>Design to be developed</u> | Development Permit. |
| Commercial green waste: <u>Design to be developed</u> | Staff comments |
| Does the design of the waste area provide safe and universally | thank you for clarifying access. |
| accessible access in a secure common area? (1 point) | thank you for clamying access. |
| Yes | |
| | |
| lf yes – please explain: | |
| Waste rooms are located at grade within building footprint. Waste rooms | |
| access from Golden Spike Lane | |
| | |
| | |
| Does the design of the waste area align with the Bear Resistant Guidelines | |
| for Solid Waste, Organics, and Recycling Enclosures & Containers? | |
| ■ Yes | |
| 🗆 No | Score 2 /2 |
| | |

| | Attachment 11 |
|---|---|
| EN21 (3 points possible) | Enforcement |
| Does your project include any innovative environmental sustainability aspects not captured? | Will depend on the type of innovation, determined by staff. |
| Yes | Staff comments |
| □ N/A | |
| If yes, please describe: | |
| Ecologically, the project is taking substantial steps to reestablish greenspace on the site. Given the project's unique location this additional of greenspace supports a growing urban network vegetation to support the movement and longevity of species in Port Moody. | |
| | Score 2 /3 |
| | Enforcement |
| EN22 | - Highlighted in Council reports. |
| Does your project face any unique site constraints unique that limit environmental sustainability achievement? | |
| □ Yes | Staff comments |
| No | |
| If yes, please describe: | |
| | |

EN23

(3 points possible)

Summarize the project's environmental sustainability contributions including the performance criteria in this Report Card and additional elements not previously captured here:

The project transforms the site from asphalt parking lots to a vibrant mixed-use community. The development enhances the urban forest through reintroduction of native or adaptive plant species that provide multi-story habitat in addition to increasing biodiversity. Bird-friendly principles will be integrated in the project's landscape design. The tree canopy cover is increased, and natural shading elements and greenroofs are integrated into the overall site design, contributing to the reduction of localized heat island effect.

The project is designed with a high-performance envelope that prioritizes passive design strategies to reduce overall building energy demand. It will do this using strategies like reducing window-to wall ratio, providing operable windows, using the building's larger structure to help regulate fluctuations in outdoor air temperatures. When energy is needed for space conditioning, it will be conserved through utilization of a Low Carbon Energy System.

Embodied carbon will be reduced by optimizing the structural design to reduce the size of elements where possible and eliminate transfers. In addition to structure, low carbon envelope materials will be explored using the OneClick LCA tool and incorporated into the final design where viable. The project team will seek locally sourced recycled materials and products with EPDs to help prompt demand for responsible sourced materials in the Port moody market. The bulk of the project's embodied carbon will come from concrete so use of BC sourced concretes (known to have lower Global Warming Potential than national average) will be important to the team.

The development promotes active transportation by designing a pedestrian oriented neighborhood. Active and public transportation including walking, cycling, and transit is encouraged in order to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from transportation. The project's alignment with the 2017 OCP aims to enhance the Spring Street frontage to expand the City of Port Moody's larger active transportation network. The project is within a 5 minute walk to the Evergeen Skytrain line making it a true transit-oriented development.

Designing for resilience is a priority. The development will explore potential risks and integrate design measures associated with coastal flooding, overheating, wildfire smoke, and increased rainfall which are typical risk factors for the Port Moody area.

Enforcement

- Highlighted in Council reports.

Staff comments

Score 3 /3

Total Environmental Sustainability Pillar Points = 90 /172

4. Social Sustainability

| | | Resources |
|---|---|--|
| | nts possible) | Community Amenity Contribution |
| Does the project provide voluntary amenities? | | Policy |
| Yes | | Enforcement |
| | | |
| □ N/A (select if making contribution to the City's amenity reserve) | | Elements on Architectural Plans will be secured through Development Permit. |
| If yes: | | Development Fermit. |
| Community centre/facility Space for growing food Child play areas Gathering place/space Usable public park/greenspace Community facilities Arts and cultural facilities Streetscape and pedestrian improvements Accessible landscaped roof deck Dog runs/ dog wash station Work space | to 15 points) (15 points) (3 points) (1 point) (1 point) (10 points) (3 points) (3 points) (2 points) (2 points) (2 points) (2 points) (2 points) (2 points) | Elements on Landscape Plans will be subject to securities. Section 219 Covenant relating to childcare spaces and community + arts and cultural facilities will be required. Housing related amenities will be included in the Housing Agreement. Public Art Securities will be applied to any public art amenities. Parkland contributions to the City will be formalized through the subdivision and parkland dedication process. |
| Does the project provide dedicated space for childcare? Yes No If yes, is the dedicated space for childcare being operated a non-profit? Yes No COR Does the project contribute to the General Community Amenity C Reserve as per the Community Amenity Contribution Policy? Yes No Koe No No No N/A (select if amenities are provided on site) | (5 points) | Staff comments Podium roof amenity space includes resident garden spaces inclusive of tool storage and hose bibs to improve usability."/ "Outdoor amenities include play spaces. At-grade water feature expected to be engaging and fun "splash-pad-like" environment." |
| If yes, what is the \$ amount contributed: | | Score 14 /30 |

| | | Resources |
|---|----------------------|--|
| S2 | (25 points possible) | |
| Does the project provide new purpose-built market ren | - | Port Moody Affordable Housing Reserve Fund Policy |
| affordable rental housing or contributes to the city's af reserve fund in lieu of provision of affordable housing | _ | Interim Affordable Housing |
| Check all that apply: | | Guidelines |
| | | |
| ■ 5% shelter rate housing | (up to 25 points) | Community Amenity Contribution Policy |
| 15% Affordable rental housing | (up to 15 points) | Enforcement |
| 20% Purpose-built market rental housing | (up to 5 points) | |
| □ 15% Rent to own | (up to 2 points) | Commitments will be secured through Housing Agreement |
| □ Affordable housing fund contribution | (1 point) | and Community Amenity Contribution collection process. |
| □ None | (0 points) | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| <u>.</u> | | Staff comments |
| If none, describe other measures or amenities provided in | lieu of provision of | |
| affordable housing: | | application provides 3.8% of the total residential floor area as |
| Project is providing 40 Non-market Rental Housing units in a dedicated building adjacent to the site. The total area of the project is 33,500sf | | non-market. The City's Inclusionary Zoning Policy |
| | | requires at least 6% of the floor area to be designated as non-market, or 15% of the floor |
| If purpose-built/affordable rental, provide the following in | nformation: | |
| Types (e.g., purpose-built or affordable): <u>Purpose built seperate building</u> | | area as below-market. |
| Description (bedroom number breakdown): <u>15- Std, 10-1 Bdr, 10-2 Bdr, 5-3 Bc</u> | | |
| % of total housing units: <u>100</u> | | |
| | | |
| If financial contribution, what is the total amount of dollar Affordable Housing Reserve Fund? | - | |
| Does this amount exceed the \$2/sqft requirement? | (1 point) | |
| | | |
| No No | | Score 12 /25 |

| | | Resources |
|---|---|---|
| S3 (23 | points possible) | |
| Does the project provide accessible residential unit(s) and accessing features for multi-residential developments beyond Circuments 2 | | BC Building Code Accessibility Handbook |
| requirements? | | Enforcement |
| □ Yes | | Architectural elements will be |
| No | | secured through the Development Permit and Building Permit. |
| If yes, check all the residential unit feature options: (Note: adaptable and accessible units are as defined in the BC Bu | (up to 21 points) ilding Code) | Staff comments |
| \square 60% of single storey residential units are adaptable units | (1 point) | |
| \Box 70% of single storey residential units are adaptable units | (1 point) | |
| \square 80% of single storey residential units are adaptable units | (1 point) | |
| \square 90% of single storey residential units are adaptable units | (1 point) | |
| \square 100% of single storey residential units are adaptable units | (1 point) | |
| 10% of single storey residential units are accessible units | (2 points) | |
| 20% of single storey residential units are accessible units | (2 points) | |
| \square 30% of single storey residential units are accessible units | (2 points) | |
| \Box 40% of single storey residential units are accessible units | (2 points) | |
| \square 50% of single storey residential units are accessible units | (2 points) | |
| \square 60% of single storey residential units are accessible units | (2 points) | |
| \Box 70% of single storey residential units are accessible units | (2 points) | |
| \Box 80% of single storey residential units are accessible units | (2 points) | |
| \Box 90% of single storey residential units are accessible units | (2 points) | |
| \Box 100% of single storey residential units are accessible units | (2 points) | |
| Project incorporates adaptable and accessible design feature in the site/building circulation and bathrooms in all other use | | |
| If no, list any additional accessible features provided that are | • | |
| | (up to 2 points) | |
| Examples include: | | |
| Accessible amenity featuresNumber of elevators exceeds Building Code requirement | | |
| Automated door opening | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | Score 5 /23 |

| | Allachment |
|--|---|
| | Enforcement |
| S4 (10 points possible) | |
| Does the project include a range of unit sizes for a variety of household types? | Unit types will be secured through the Housing Agreement. |
| Yes | |
| | Staff comments |
| | |
| If yes: (maximum of 10 points for mixed tenure) | |
| For Market Strata projects, does the project meet or exceed the following proportion of bedroom types: At least 30% of the total project units be 2 and 3-bedroom units | |
| At least 10% of the total project units be 3-bedrooms | |
| or more (up to 5 Points) OR | |
| For Market Rental projects, does the project meet or exceed the following proportion of bedroom types: | |
| \Box At least 25% of the total project units be 2 and | |
| 3-bedroom units (up to 5 points) | |
| \Box At least 5% of the total project units be 3-bedrooms | |
| or more (up to 5 Points) | Score 5 /10 |
| | Resources |
| S5 (10 points possible) | Interim affordable housing Policy |
| Does the project contain a rental housing component where the rental units are secured for at least 60 years or the lifespan of the building? | Enforcement |
| Yes | - Commitment will be secured |
| | through the Housing Agreement. |
| If yes, list the % of units secured for 60 years or the lifespan of the building: | |
| 6%- in separate dedicated building (up to 10 points) | Staff comments |
| | |

| | Attachment 11 |
|---|---|
| 00 | Resources |
| S6 (10 points possible) | Age Friendly Plan |
| Does the project support aging in place? (Refer to Age Friendly Plan recommendations) (i.e., adult care, assisted living space, independent senior living space) | Fraser Health Family Guide to Services for Seniors |
| □ Yes | Enforcement |
| □ No | Elements on Architectural Plans will be secured through |
| ■ N/A | the Development Permit. |
| If yes, list all the supports for aging in place:(up to 10 points)Note: this criterion does not include adaptable and/or accessible units. | Elements on Landscape Plans will be subject to securities. |
| Project is focused on providing Family residential units and non-market residential housing. it is not intended to be a seniors facility. Units will be accessible and adaptable in line with code requirements. | Staff comments |
| | Applicant has selected N/A |
| | |
| | Score 0 /10 |
| | Score 0 /10 Enforcement |
| S7 (9 points possible) | Enforcement |
| S7 (9 points possible) Does the development include a mix of housing types? Yes | |
| Does the development include a mix of housing types? | Enforcement - Elements on Architectural Plans will be secured through Development Permit and Building Permit. |
| Does the development include a mix of housing types? | Enforcement Elements on Architectural Plans will be secured through Development Permit and Building Permit. Rental units will be secured through the Housing |
| Does the development include a mix of housing types? □ Yes ■ No | Enforcement - Elements on Architectural Plans will be secured through Development Permit and Building Permit Rental units will be secured |
| Does the development include a mix of housing types? □ Yes ■ No If yes, list the number of units per housing type: (up to 9 points) | Enforcement Elements on Architectural Plans will be secured through Development Permit and Building Permit. Rental units will be secured through the Housing |
| Does the development include a mix of housing types? □ Yes ■ No If yes, list the number of units per housing type: (up to 9 points) • Live-work units: (3 points) | Enforcement Elements on Architectural Plans will be secured through Development Permit and Building Permit. Rental units will be secured through the Housing Agreement. |
| Does the development include a mix of housing types? □ Yes ■ No If yes, list the number of units per housing type: (up to 9 points) • Live-work units: (3 points) • Ground-oriented units: (3 points) | Enforcement Elements on Architectural Plans will be secured through Development Permit and Building Permit. Rental units will be secured through the Housing Agreement. |

| •• | | Resources |
|---|-----------------------------|---|
| S8 Will the project ensure occupants have clean, cool air du | (7 points possible) | Guidance for Cleaner Air Spaces During Wildfire Smoke Events |
| air quality and/or wildfire events beyond Building Code requirements? | | Guide to Air Cleaners in the |
| Yes | | Home |
| | | Enforcement |
| If yes, check all that apply: | (up to 7 points) | Commitment will be secured through Building Permit. |
| Improved mechanical ventilation | | |
| (e.g., proper commissioning, increase outdoor air int | ake) <i>(1 point)</i> | Staff comments |
| □ Improved air filtration (e.g., HEPA particulate air filtra | tion) (1 point) | |
| \Box Airtightness better than ACH of 2 | (1 point) | Items to be provided later in the application phase. |
| \Box No indoor combustion appliances (e.g., gas stove or | fireplace) <i>(1 point)</i> | Applicant will need to show evidence. |
| Homeowner/occupant health and safety information | (1 point) | |
| Other – please describe: | (up to 2 points) | |
| | | |
| | | Score 2 /7 |

| Attachment | 11 | |
|------------|----|--|
|------------|----|--|

| | | Resources | |
|---|----------------------|--|--|
| S9 (7 | points possible) | Strengthening Neighbourhood | |
| Does the design of the project help to facilitate mental health | , wellness and | Resilience | |
| social connectedness? | ocial connectedness? | | |
| Yes | | | |
| □ No | | Elements on Architectural Plans will be secured through | |
| f yes: | | the Development Permit. | |
| Check all that apply: | (up to 7 points) | - Elements on Landscape Plans | |
| Semi-public gathering space with comfortable seating | (1 point) | will be subject to securities. | |
| All weather recreation areas/wellness space | (1 point) | Pet friendly units will be secured through a Section 219 | |
| Pet friendly units / amenities (e.g., dog run) | (1 point) | Covenant. | |
| Greenspace that facilitates socialization | (1 point) | Staff comments | |
| Prioritizing pedestrians | (1 point) | "Both buildings include indoor | |
| Creative design to promote social interaction | (1 point) | and outdoor amenties. Spaces"/ Proposed public | |
| Other – please describe: | (up to 2 points) | plaza water feature should | |
| The project is strongly focused on providing spaces for play for residents and families as well. On each tower podium is a dedicated play area in addition to the proposed water feature which is expected to be ve | | provide a create space for the community to gather. Whether as spray heads or trickling feature water feature should provide an interest and | |
| Reference to plans (e.g., landscape plans/architectural plans, etc.) | | engaging space for children to play and cool down leading to | |
| See section 4.4.10 Podium Level Landscape Plan for details on podium and ground-level landscape. | | a gather of families" | |
| | | Score 7 /7 | |

| | Resources |
|---|---|
| S10 (6 points possible) | A Guide to Community |
| Does the project provide urban vitalization by involving land owner and | Revitalization |
| occupants, community groups, and end user groups who may be affected by | Enforcement |
| the proposal in the planning process to identify and showcase Port Moody's unique assets (i.e. goes above and beyond standard notification and consultation)? | Summary of community engagement will be required. |
| Example: Host a community-building workshop with the neighbourhood at the time of a project's inception to determine values and identify unique assets to leverage through design | Staff comments |
| ■ Yes | |
| | |
| If yes: | |
| List all the stakeholders and their involvement: (1 point) | |
| The project team have continually engaged with community groups throughout the early design and rezoning application development. Business leaders and other vendors were consulted to help understand demand for office and retail space in the area and form the retail unit sizes and configuration. | |
| Identify actions taken in response to stakeholder input (up to 5 points) | |
| | |
| | Score 1 /6 |

Resources

| S11 | (5 points possible) | |
|--|----------------------------------|---|
| Does the project provide or designate spaces for growing common areas including on-site secure composting to su activities? | food in private or | City of Vancouver <u>Bulletin:</u> <u>Sustainable Large Development</u> (PDF) <i>Applies to large developments</i> |
| Yes | | (2+ Acres). |
| | | Enforcement |
| □ N/A | | - Elements on Architectural |
| If yes: | | Plans will be secured through |
| Check all that apply: | (up to 5 points) | Development Permit. |
| Community garden | (2 points) | Elements on Landscape Plans will be subject to securities. |
| Secure on-site community compost | (2 point) | |
| Secure features | | Staff comments |
| (e.g., fencing to prevent wildlife access, tool storage et | , , , , , | Community gardens proposed on both tower amenity roof |
| □ Other – please describe: | (up to 2 points) | decks. Both proposed 24 (48 if |
| Composting systems will be identified and coordinated detailed design. | in design later in | partitioned differently) garden plots per building. "/ garden plot includes a potting table and tool |
| | | storage space |
| | | storage space Score 5 /5 |
| 040 | | |
| S12 | (3 points possible) | Score 5 /5 Enforcement |
| Will the project undertake any of the following analysis? | (3 points possible) | Score 5 /5 Enforcement - Acoustic analysis identified as a Development Permit |
| | (3 points possible) (1 point) | Score 5 /5 Enforcement - Acoustic analysis identified as a Development Permit application requirement, as appropriate to the project |
| Will the project undertake any of the following analysis? | | Score 5 /5 Enforcement - Acoustic analysis identified as a Development Permit application requirement, as |
| Will the project undertake any of the following analysis?Acoustic analysis | (1 point) | Score 5 /5 Enforcement - Acoustic analysis identified as a Development Permit application requirement, as appropriate to the project |
| Will the project undertake any of the following analysis? Acoustic analysis Thermal comfort analysis | (1 point) (1 point) | Score 5 /5 Enforcement Acoustic analysis identified as a Development Permit application requirement, as appropriate to the project location. Requirement for Thermal Comfort Analysis would be identified through the rezoning |

| | Attachment 11 |
|---|---|
| | Resources |
| S13 (3 points possible) | <u>CPTED</u> |
| Does the design of the site prevent crime through crime prevention through environmental design principles (CPTED)? | Enforcement |
| ■ Yes | A CPTED analysis is required for submission. |
| | |
| If yes, describe the crime prevention design measures: (up to 3 points) Appropriate lighting in all public spaces and back of house areas.Ground level designed to avoid any deep recesses without public line of site. Areas around each tower have been activated by providing retail. Back of house loading and parkade ramps kept in close proximity and make securing and monitoring spaces easier. | Elements on Architectural Plans will be secured through the Development Permit. Elements on Landscape Plans will be subject to securities. Staff comments |
| | staff have been informed of CPTED analysis applicant will need to follow through for full marks Score 2/3 |
| | Resources |
| S14 (3 points possible) | Pets OK BC |
| Will the project allow for pet friendly rental units? | Enforcement |
| Yes No If yes, describe how the project will allow for pet friendly rental units and any other pet friendly details of the project (e.g., dog run, pet wash station etc.): (3 points) | A Section 219 Covenant related to no prohibition on pet rental for strata developments will be required. |
| All units will permit pets. The project will provide pet-oriented amenities like a dog wash. | Staff comments |

| | Attachment 11 |
|--|--|
| S15 (2 points possible) | Enforcement |
| Does the development provide diversification by increasing the mix of uses for the particular site and its neighbourhood? | Elements on Architectural Plans will be secured through Development Permit. |
| ■ Yes | |
| □ No | Staff comments |
| If yes, describe the proposed uses: (up to 2 points for 3 uses) | 6-8% for commercial space |
| Residential % total floorspace/site area: <u>92%</u> | 94-92 for residential space |
| Commercial % total floorspace/site area: <u>8%</u> | |
| Industrial % total floorspace/site area: | |
| Institutional % total floorspace/site area: | |
| Park (note type) % total floorspace/site area: | |
| Gathering space % total floorspace/site area: | Score 2 /2 |
| 046 | Enforcement |
| S16 (2 points possible) Climate change is expected to bring more frequent and intense weather that may result in increased and prolonged power outages. Will this project be designed to provide occupants basic needs amid prolonged power outage and extreme weather? (e.g., back-up power supply, energy independent features) | Elements included in Civil Plans will be secured through the Servicing Agreement. Energy and mechanical systems will be confirmed |
| Yes | through the Building Permit. |
| | |
| If yes, list all measures: (up to 2 points) | Staff comments |
| The Building's passive design strategies (high performance envelope, low Window to Wall Ratio, and operable windows) will allow the building to remain thermally comfortable longer in the event of a sustained power outage. The Building's structure will act as a thermal battery helping to maintain indoor temperatures for residents during ongoing power outages. | |
| | Score |

| | Attachment 11 |
|---|--|
| 0.47 | Enforcement |
| S17 (2 points possible) | |
| Does the project provide education and awareness of the sustainable features of the project for owners/occupants? | Written commitment from applicant detailing education and awareness. |
| Examples include: | Common property features are |
| Document is given to new owners at time of sale, covenant on title, inclusion/protection of features in strata bylaws | required to be included in Strata Bylaws. |
| Signage/display/art recognizing design, etc. | Staff comments |
| ■ Yes | |
| □ No | |
| If yes, list all the education and awareness initiatives: (up to 2 points) | |
| Eco Concierge will be considered to offer long-term resources to residents looking to lessen their environmental impact. Concierge will be able to offer guidance to residents on proper recycling practices, and in-unit controls. | |
| The project will develop a operational manual for residents to further advise on how to use the controls systems (thermostats, lights, etc.) and operable windows to optimize their thermal comfort and in unit experience. | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | Score 1 /2 |
| 640 | Enforcement |
| S18 (2 points possible) | - A shadow/viewscape study is |
| Is the project design adapted to minimize shadow or privacy impacts to and from adjacent buildings? (1 point) | required through the Development Permit. |
| ■ Yes | Staff comments |
| | "Project density and rough |
| □ N/A | massing developed in line with 2017 OCP guidance. OCP governance around building |
| AND / OR | heights assumed to be inclusive |
| | of community consultation and views analysis. " |
| Does the project design integrate the results of a viewscapestudy with respect to water and mountain views?(1 point) | |
| □ Yes | |
| □ No | |
| ■ N/A | 0 |
| | Score 1 /2 |

| | Attachment 11 |
|---|---|
| S19 (3 points possible) Does your project include any innovative social sustainability aspects not | EnforcementWill depend on the type of innovation, determined by staff. |
| captured? Yes N/A If yes, please describe: (up to 3 points) | Staff comments Applicant has selected N/A |
| | Score 0 /3 |
| S20 | Enforcement |
| Does your project face any unique site constraints that limit social sustainability achievement? | – N/A |
| □ Yes | Staff comments |
| 🗆 No | |
| If yes, please explain: | |
| | |

S21

(3 points possible)

Summarize the project's social sustainability contributions including the performance criteria in this Report Card and additional elements not previously captured here:

The project transforms the site from asphalt parking lots to a vibrant mixed-use community. The programming proposed at grade and on top of podiums supports a community-focused and amenity rich lifestyle with an element intended to address resident's unique interests.

The project provides play spaces for families in both private amenity spaces and in more public plaza areas. The plaza themselves vary in size and configuration to offer larger open format gather areas for larger community events and smaller quieter gather places for connecting with people. The proposed mix of space types support social connectivity in building and in community in the ways intended through the original 2017 OCP.

The project is proposing to contribute to the City of Port Moody's goals around housing equity and accessibility through a completely stand-alone building (2806 Spring St.). This project will contribute 40 additional non-market units of varying configurations to support the City's growing needs for housing. This is a provision unique to the project as it is done as a completed- turn-key building for the City in lieu of a financial contribution or smaller in-building accommodation of non-market housing establishing more of a community for those residents as well.

Social and physical wellness is promoted through construction of high-quality private amenities like fitness spaces and community gardens. Pair with lots of opportunities to use active transportation the residents here will be able to live their healthiest most active life!!

Enforcement

- Highlighted in Council reports.

Staff comments

Compared to previous response, this is much better.

Score 2 /3

Total Social Sustainability Pillar Points = 70 /165

Glossary of Terms

Accessible housing: Housing designed and constructed to be universally accessible to people of diverse ages and abilities.

Adaptable unit: A dwelling unit that provides flexible design features that meet BC Building Code minimum requirements; it can be adapted to meet the changing needs of any occupant for reasons of disability, lack of stamina, and progressing through different life stages to support independent living.

Accessible housing/unit: Housing with fixed design features to enable independent living for persons with disabilities, such as those in wheelchairs.

ACH: Air changes per hour.

Affordable market housing: Housing that is affordable to moderate income households achieved through tenure, location, reduced parking, modesty in unit size, level of finishing, and design and durability over time as the buildings age.

Beautification: The process of making visual improvements appropriate to a specific place, including but not limited to building facades, landscaping, decorative or historic-style street elements, selection of paving/fencing materials and their treatment, etc. Improvements contribute to Port Moody's reputation as City of the Arts in a sustainable manner.

BC Energy Step Code: BC Energy Step Code is a voluntary provincial roadmap that establishes progressive performance targets (i.e., steps) that support market transformation from the current energy-efficiency requirements in the BC Building Code to net zero energy ready buildings.

Brownfield: A term used in urban planning to describe land previously used for industrial purposes or some commercial uses where the expansion, redevelopment, or reuse of the property may be complicated by the potential presence of a hazardous substance, pollutant, or contaminant.

Car/Bike share network: Arrangements between two or more persons to share the use of a vehicle or bicycle for a specified cost and period of time.

Character-defining elements: The materials, forms, location, spatial configurations, uses, and cultural associations or meanings that contribute to the heritage value of a historic place, which must be retained to preserve its heritage value.

Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED): The design and effective use of the built environment to reduce the incidence of crime and improve the quality of life.

Coastal Flooding: A flood is a general and temporary inundation of normally dry land areas. When a coastal process such as waves, tides, storm surge, or heavy rainfall from coastal storms—produces that flood, it is called a coastal flood. Coastal areas, like all areas, can also flood from high rainfall or overflowing streams.

Coefficient of Performance (COP): Is a ratio of useful heating or cooling provided to work (energy) required. Higher COPs equate to higher efficiency, lower energy (power) consumption and thus lower operating costs.

District energy systems: A system that uses renewable energy to pipe energy to buildings within a specified area for space heating, hot water, and air conditioning.

Ecological inventory: An inventory that identifies the ecological values in a natural habitat and is usually the first step in an environmental impact assessment.

Electric vehicle (EV): An automobile that uses one or more electric motors or traction motors for propulsion. An electric vehicle may be powered through a collector system by electricity from off-vehicle sources or may be self-contained with a battery or generator to convert fuel to electricity.

Embodied greenhouse gas emissions: Embodied emissions refers to the greenhouse gas emissions created in various phases of a building or piece of infrastructure's full life cycle (e.g., material extraction, manufacturing, construction, maintenance, and end of life/disposal).

Environmentally Sensitive Areas: Land designated as areas that need special protection because of its environmental attributes, such as rare ecosystems, habitats for species at risk and areas that are easily disturbed by human activities. Refer to Map 13 of OCP.

Greenfield: Undeveloped land in a city or rural area either used for agriculture or landscape design or left to evolve naturally. These areas of land are usually agricultural, or amenity properties being considered for urban development.

Greyfield: Economically obsolescent, out-dated, declining, and/or underutilized land, often with the presence of abundant surface parking.

Greywater: Wastewater from lavatories, showers, sinks, and washing machines that do not contain food wastes and that can be reused for purposes such as irrigation or flushing toilets.

Habitat corridor: Habitat areas, generally consisting of native vegetation, linking with larger areas of similar wildlife habitat. Corridors are critical for the maintenance of ecological processes, providing food, and allowing for the movement of animals and the continuation of viable populations.

Heat island effect: Heat islands form as vegetation is replaced by hard surfaces to accommodate growing populations. These surfaces absorb, rather than reflect, the sun's heat, causing surface temperatures and overall ambient temperatures to rise.

Heritage rehabilitation: The action or process of making possible a continuing or compatible contemporary use of a historic place through repair, alterations, and/or additions while protecting its heritage value.

Heritage restoration: Returning a historic place back to how it looked at any time in its past.

Invasive plant species: An invasive plant is a non-native species whose interaction causes economic harm, harm to human health, and/or environmental harm.

Light pollution: Brightening of the night sky caused by streetlights and other man-made sources, which has a disruptive effect on natural cycles and inhibits the observation of stars and planets.

Market rental housing: market rent is the rent that an apartment, without rent or income restrictions or rent subsidies, would command in the open market considering its location, features, and amenities.

Naturescape planting: Landscaping with species that are naturally adapted to local climate, soils, predators, pollinators, and disease and, once established, require minimal maintenance.

Non-human companion: Means any domestic or feral pet under the care, custody, or ownership of a person.

Non-market rental housing: Subsidized rental housing for those unable to pay market-level rents including, but not limited to, public housing owned and operated by government agencies, non-profit housing owned and operated by public and private non-profit groups, and co-operative housing owned and managed by co-operative associations of the residents.

On-site power generation: The ability to generate power without transporting it from its source to where it can be utilized.

On-site renewable energy generation: The generation of naturally replenished sources of energy, such as solar, wind power, falling water, and geothermal energy.

Lock-off suites: Lock-off units are self-contained units which are smaller than the principal dwelling unit. Each unit must have direct access to a hallway, corridor or the outside, and a shared internal door which can be locked enabling both units to be independent.

Low albedo: Albedo is the measure of the reflectivity of a material. A high albedo means it reflects a lot of light and a low albedo means it absorbs a lot of light.

Low Carbon Energy System: Low carbon energy systems ("LCES") supply heat energy primarily derived from highly efficient and renewable sources in order to provide space heating and conditioned ventilation air for buildings seeking to achieve low greenhouse gas emissions. These systems may also provide domestic hot water and cooling service.

Passive design: An approach to building design that uses the building architecture to minimize energy consumption and improve thermal comfort.

Public space: A social space that is generally open and accessible to people.

R-2000-Certified New Home: Best-in-class, energy-efficient homes with even higher levels of energy efficiency than ENERGY STAR-qualified new homes, as well as clean air and environmental features.

Sea Level Rise: Sea level rise is an increase in the level of the world's oceans due to the effects of global warming.

Sequestered carbon: a natural or artificial process by which carbon dioxide is removed from the atmosphere and stored.

Smart technology: Technologies that allow sensors, databases, and/or wireless access to collaboratively sense, adapt to, and provide for users within the environment.

Statement of significance: The first essential step in any conservation project, which involves identifying and describing the character-defining elements; it is important in defining the overall heritage value of the historic place. Refer to the Standards and Guidelines for the Conservation of Historic Places in Canada (see Resources glossary).

Streetscape: The visual elements of a street, including the road, adjoining buildings, sidewalks, street furniture, trees, and open spaces that combine to form the street's character.

Storm water management plan: The management of water occurring as a result of development or precipitation that flows over the surface into a sewer system.

Transit oriented development (TOD): A mixed-use residential and commercial area designed to maximize access to public transportation; it often incorporates features to encourage transit ridership. A TOD neighbourhood typically has a centre with a transit station or stop (train station, metro station, tram stop, or bus stop), surrounded by relatively high-density development with progressively lower-density development spreading outward from the centre. TODs generally are located within a radius of 400 to 800 metres from a transit stop, as this is considered to be an appropriate distance for walkability.

Triangulation: Triangulation is spatial design that gives people a reason to be in the space. In order to promote public interaction, common areas should contain amenities people don't have in their private residences such as a splash pad or play structure with triangular configuration in mind.

Universal access: This term refers to broad-spectrum ideas meant to produce buildings, products, and environments that are inherently accessible to both people without disabilities and people with disabilities.

Urban infill: An urban planning term that refers to new development that is sited on vacant or undeveloped land within an existing community, and that is enclosed by other types of development.

Urban forest: The total collection of trees and associated plants growing in a city or town. It includes trees in parks and yards, along roadways and paths, and in other areas, both on public and private lands.

Urban vitalization: The urban planning process of rehabilitating a place or "taking a place to a higher level" using a community-building process (early stage community involvement) to define the key characteristics that make a place unique or special; and applying the concepts of urban conservation to leverage a community's assets, most often in accordance with approved City plans.

Viewscape: The natural and built environment that is visible from a viewing point.

Walkability: The extent to which the built environment is friendly to the presence of people living, shopping, visiting, enjoying, or spending time in an area; improvements in walkability lead to health, economic, and environmental benefits.

Xeriscaping: Refers to landscaping and gardening in ways that reduce or eliminate the need for supplemental water from irrigation. Xeriscaping refers to a method of landscape design that minimizes water use.