



City of Port Moody

Minutes

Special Council Meeting

Electronic Webinar via Zoom
Tuesday, July 19, 2022
at 6:03pm

Present: Mayor R. Vagramov
Councillor D.L. Dilworth
Councillor M.P. Lahti
Councillor A.A. Lubik
Councillor H. Madsen
Councillor S. Milani
Councillor P.Z.C. Royer

In Attendance: Tim Savoie – City Manager
Mary De Paoli – Manager of Policy Planning
Esin Gozukara – Committee Coordinator
Kirk Heaven – Acting Fire Chief
Rosemary Lodge – Manager of Communications and Engagement
Anna Mathewson – General Manager of Community Services
Liam McLellan – Social Planner
Jeff Moi – General Manager of Engineering and Operations
Angie Parnell – General Manager of People, Communications, and Engagement
Julie Pavey-Tomlinson – Director of Environment and Parks
Paul Rockwood – General Manager of Finance and Technology
Marc Saunders – Director of Library Services
Tracey Takahashi – Corporate Officer
Kate Zanon – General Manager of Community Development

1. Call to Order

Mayor Vagramov called the meeting to order at 6:03pm.

RC22/250

Moved, seconded, and DEFEATED

THAT Reconsideration of Resolution RC22/241 be added to the agenda and addressed immediately.

(Voting against: Councillor Milani and Mayor Vagramov)

Council's Vision: Port Moody, City of the Arts, is a unique, safe, vibrant waterfront city of strong neighbourhoods; a complete community that is sustainable and values its natural environment and heritage character.

Please note that Council meetings are recorded and streamed live to the City's website. Any comments you make at the meeting as well as your image may appear on the City's website.

- Resolution to Go Into Finance Committee**
2. General Matters
- 2.1 RC22/251
 Moved, seconded, and CARRIED
THAT Council go into Finance Committee.
- Councillor Milani assumed the role of Presiding Member at this point.
- Funding Source – Preparation and Implementation of OCP Survey No. 3**
3. Finance Committee
- 3.1 Memo: Finance and Technology Department – Financial Services Division, dated June 21, 2022
- FC22/041
 Moved, seconded, and CARRIED
THAT the \$42,000 required to complete the Official Community Plan 2050 Project Direction – Survey No. 3 be funded from the Accumulated Surplus as recommended in the memo dated June 21, 2022 from the Finance and Technology Department – Financial Services Division regarding Funding Source – Preparation and Implementation of OCP Survey No. 3.
- Funding Source – Truth and Reconciliation Understanding and Engagement – Phase 2**
- 3.2 Memo: Finance and Technology Department – Financial Services Division, dated June 21, 2022
- FC22/042
 Moved, seconded, and CARRIED
THAT the \$8,250 (prorated 6 months – July to December) required for the additional staff time to lead project initiatives be funded from the Accumulated Surplus as recommended in the memo dated June 21, 2022 from the Finance and Technology Department – Financial Services Division regarding Funding Source – Truth and Reconciliation Understanding and Engagement – Phase 2;
- AND THAT the 2023-2027 Five-Year Financial Plan include \$16,500 annually for the cost of additional staff time until services are no longer needed.**
- Contract Awards Over \$200,000 – June 1, 2022 to June 30, 2022**
- 3.3 Memo: Finance and Technology Department – Corporate Purchasing and Risk Management Division, dated June 30, 2022
- FC22/043
 Moved, seconded, and CARRIED
THAT the memo dated June 30, 2022 from the Finance and Technology Department – Corporate Purchasing and Risk Management Division regarding Contract Awards Over \$200,000 – June 1, 2022 to June 30, 2022 be received for information.
- Councillor Royer left the meeting at this point.

**Adoption of
Finance Committee
Report**

4. Rise and Report

- 4.1 Mayor Vagramov resumed the role of Presiding Member at this point.

RC22/252

Moved, seconded, and CARRIED

THAT the recommendations approved at the Finance Committee meeting of July 19, 2022 be ratified.

**Resolution to Go
Into Committee of
the Whole**

5. General Matters

- 5.1 RC22/253

Moved, seconded, and CARRIED

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole.

Councillor Royer returned to the meeting at this point.

6. Public Input

Wilhelmina Martin (Port Moody) expressed opposition to the recommendations in agenda items 7.2, 7.3, 7.5, 7.6, 10.1, and 10.3. Ms. Martin noted that requiring 50% affordable housing in Coronation Park would not benefit residents, and urged Council to consider a new purpose-built centre instead of making costly repairs to Kyle Centre, and to reconsider resolution RC22/241.

Kyla Knowles (Port Moody) expressed concerns about the OCP Amendment – Coronation Park recommendation, noting that the goalposts for this project keep getting changed. Ms. Knowles also noted that residents do not support the third SkyTrain station proposal and that a letter to the Mayor from Provincial Ministers calls for prioritizing transit-oriented development according to the current OCP, and warns against any OCP amendments to make the development approval process more onerous. Ms. Knowles also noted that not adding the reconsideration of resolution RC22/241 to the agenda is unacceptable.

Yolanda Broderick (Port Moody) expressed support for the recommendations in item 7.3, noting that regulations for political campaigns should be tightened and Council members who receive campaign donations from developers should not be allowed to vote on those developers' projects. Ms. Broderick also expressed support for the CSP Project Proposal – Kyle Centre Building Repairs and the City of Port Moody Housing Action Plan recommendations.

Laura Dick (Port Moody) expressed support for the Westport Village applicant request for extension, noting that the applicant has worked hard with City staff and Council members over the last 16 years trying to bring a legacy vision to Port Moody. Ms. Dick expressed concerns about the OCP Amendment – Coronation Park recommendation, noting that it will limit growth opportunities in the city.

John Grasty (Port Moody) expressed disappointment that in-person attendance was unavailable for this meeting. Mr. Grasty expressed support for the Westport Village applicant request for extension, noting that residents deserve an explanation about why the request was denied. Mr. Grasty suggested that the Parkland Strategy Public Engagement Strategy be deferred until a related report is received from the Parks and Recreation Commission.

Braydon Cass (Port Moody) expressed concerns about the OCP Amendment – Coronation Park recommendation, noting that it would make future development difficult and that any progress on the project would be hindered by the recommendation.

Dennis Nisbet (Port Moody) expressed concerns about the OCP Amendment – Coronation Park recommendation, noting that residents see their homes as their investment, retirement plan, and life savings. Mr. Nisbet noted that requiring 50% affordable units in the area would negatively impact residents' financial future.

Richard Biedka (Port Moody) expressed concerns about the proposed Kyle Centre building repairs, noting that the building needs attention but the proposed improvements may be insufficient and costly. Mr. Biedka also expressed concerns about the OCP Amendment – Coronation Park recommendation, noting that changing the minimum percentage of affordable units from 20% to 50% may restrict future developments.

Gerry Kouwenhoven (Port Moody) expressed concerns about the OCP Amendment – Coronation Park recommendation, noting that the area is old and in need of redevelopment, but the proposed amendment would prevent any future development from proceeding and may cause developers lose interest in the area.

Robert Simons (Port Moody) asked for clarification regarding votes required to add reconsideration of resolution RC22/241 to the agenda. Mr. Simons expressed support for the Westport Village applicant request for extension, noting that Council's denial of the request will be detrimental to the community in the long run.

Kathy Bardossy (Port Moody) expressed concerns about the OCP Amendment – Coronation Park recommendation, noting that it would create a roadblock for future developments in the area.

Nicole Hayes (Port Moody) expressed concerns about the OCP Amendment – Coronation Park recommendation, noting that more housing is needed in Port Moody, and that the OCP update and high rises projected for 2050 are alarming. Ms. Hayes also noted that the Highland Park area needs redevelopment and, since it is close to SkyTrain, it is more appropriate to build high rises in the area. Ms. Hayes questioned Council's motive in asking for 50% affordable units as it would curtail any developer interest.

John Peller (Burlington, Ontario) noted that the request for reconsideration of resolution RC22/241 is lawful and cannot be dismissed as a procedural matter. Mr. Peller expressed support for the Westport Village applicant request for extension, noting that Council's decision was disappointing, and that the extension request should have been granted as a procedural courtesy rather than a vote on the merits of the application.

Phil Boname (Victoria), President of Urbanics Consultants Ltd., expressed support for the Westport Village applicant request for extension, noting that the applicants were advised not to contact Council after the Public Hearing and third reading to explain the details of the application. Mr. Boname also noted that the extension request should be reconsidered because staff have been working hard on the details of the application and the necessary work could be completed in less than one year.

Chris Brodie (Port Moody) expressed concerns about the OCP Amendment – Coronation Park recommendation, noting that Council must stop moving the goalposts. Mr. Brodie noted that requiring 50% affordable housing will not help Port Moody meet its growth targets.

Mike McCann (Port Moody) expressed concerns about the OCP Amendment – Coronation Park recommendation, noting that as a resident of Highland Park, he is looking forward to the community's redevelopment.

James Carpick (Vancouver), Owen Bird Law Corporation and lawyer for Andrew Peller Ltd., expressed support for adding the reconsideration of resolution RC22/241 to the agenda, noting that a motion for reconsideration should be voted on regardless of whether the item is included in the agenda, and urged Council to reconsider the resolution.

Keri Belanger (Port Moody) expressed concerns about the OCP Amendment – Coronation Park recommendation, noting that requiring 50% affordable housing will prevent the development from proceeding. Ms. Belanger urged Council to carefully consider this item as it will impact the financial future of many families in the area.

Richard Bernstein (West Vancouver), Principle of CDA Architects, expressed support for reconsideration of the Westport Village applicant request for extension, noting that the community benefits of the project will promote Port Moody as the City of the Arts. Mr. Bernstein urged Council to grant the extension request, noting that it is not unusual for a project of this scope to take a long time.

Gerry Nuttall (Port Moody) expressed support for reconsideration of the Westport Village applicant request for extension, noting that community input was carefully considered during development of the Westport Village vision, and that traffic concerns should not prevent the extension request from being granted.

CW22/061

Moved, seconded, and CARRIED

THAT the public input period be extended for 15 minutes.

Brendan Tisseur (Port Moody) expressed concerns about the OCP Amendment – Coronation Park recommendation, noting that it will prevent any future development in the area and negatively impact housing affordability in the city.

Don Lennox (Port Moody) expressed concerns about the OCP Amendment – Coronation Park recommendation, noting that it will negatively impact the financial future of local residents, and there may be financial implications to the City if the amendment is approved.

Andrew Hartline (Port Moody), Chair of HUB Tri-Cities, expressed support for reconsideration of resolution RC22/241 and noted that HUB Tri-Cities supports the Westport Village project.

Hazel Mason (Port Moody) noted that if a third SkyTrain station is built, density will be added in the city. Ms. Mason also noted that Moody Centre SkyTrain station was built because it was already a transit hub, and Inlet Centre Station was built in an area that was considered already adequately densified. Ms. Mason also noted that most participants of a 2004 referendum voted against a SkyTrain route through Port Moody.

John Peller (Burlington, Ontario) expressed support for the Westport Village applicant request for extension, noting that substantial work has been completed with the support of the community and Council. Mr. Peller noted that the project will benefit the community and thanked City staff, Councillors, and community members who have supported the project.

Stirling Ward (Port Moody) expressed support for the Westport Village applicant request for extension, noting that the project has the community's support, and the applicant was a long time respected member of the community.

Jacquie Boyer (Port Moody) expressed support for the recommendations in agenda items 7.3 and 10.3. Ms. Boyer noted that the gala for the Frantic Breeze Fast Film Competition is scheduled for September 10, 2022 and encouraged everyone to attend.

Yolanda Broderick (Port Moody) expressed support for the City of Port Moody Housing Action Plan and the Westport Village applicant request for extension. Ms. Broderick noted that the project will provide many amenities to the community and that traffic should not be the reason for not granting the extension.

Debbie Nisbet (Port Moody) expressed concerns about the OCP Amendment – Coronation Park recommendation, noting that residents are counting on the sale of their properties to provide for their financial future.

Hazel Mason (Port Moody) expressed support for the recommendations in agenda items 7.3, 7.4, and 10.3.

Darquise Desnoyers (Port Moody) expressed support for the proposed Kyle Centre building repairs, noting that maintenance issues should have already been addressed, and that it should be renovated to continue to serve the growing community.

Ms. Desnoyers also expressed support for the recommendations in agenda item 7.3, noting that Councillors should not receive campaign contributions from anyone connected with developers, and if they do, then they should not be allowed to vote on those developers' projects. Ms. Desnoyers also expressed support for mobile food carts being permitted to operate in Port Moody.

CW22/062

Moved, seconded, and CARRIED

THAT the public input period be extended for 15 minutes.

Kathy Bardossy (Port Moody) expressed concerns about the OCP Amendment – Coronation Park recommendation, noting that densification would provide a larger tax base for the City.

Leah Bulych (Port Moody) expressed concerns about the OCP Amendment – Coronation Park recommendation, noting that requiring 50% affordable units is not viable according to a consultant report presented to Council on April 19, 2022. Ms. Bulych also expressed concern that residents of Highland Park were not notified about this agenda item.

Gaetan Royer (Port Moody) expressed support for the Lower Cost Third SkyTrain Station Assessment recommendation, and support for the proposed development at 2115-2131 St. Johns Street, noting that the project offers significant amenities and affordable housing for seniors.

Wilhelmina Martin (Port Moody) expressed concerns about the recommendations in item 7.3, and suggested that Councillors who receive campaign donations from TORCA and HUB should not vote on parks or transportation related issues, and any Councillor who receives donations from Force of Nature should not vote on environmental issues. Ms. Martin noted that this issue should be left to the Provincial Government.

Robert Simons (Port Moody) expressed concerns about the proposed Kyle Centre building repairs, noting that the City should consider this location for a development that includes housing, a community centre, and an art centre. Mr. Simons noted that community consultation should be undertaken regarding redevelopment of Kyle Centre and surrounding area.

Richard Biedka (Port Moody) expressed support for the recommendation in agenda item 7.3, noting that the report should be amended to include all private interest groups.

Stirling Ward (Port Moody) noted that everyone who wants to invest in the community should be treated with respect.

CW22/063

Moved, seconded, and CARRIED

THAT Legal Opinion on Reconsideration and Adding Items to the Agenda at the July 19, 2022 Council Meeting be added to the agenda.

CW22/064

Moved, seconded, and CARRIED

THAT a legal opinion be sought regarding reconsideration and adding items to the agenda at the July 19, 2022 Council meeting.

**City of Port Moody
2022 Citizen Survey**

7. Committee of the Whole

7.1 Presentation: Catherine Knauss, Ipsos Public Affairs

Report: People, Communications, and Engagement Department – Communications and Engagement Division, dated June 30, 2022

Catherine Knauss, Ipsos Public Affairs, gave a presentation on City of Port Moody 2022 Citizen Survey, including information about objectives, methodology, telephone survey results, quality of life, local issues, municipal governance, leadership, services, financial planning, communication, tourism, environmental protection, COVID-19, and online survey results.

Ms. Knauss answered a question from Council about information provided to the survey respondents.

CW22/065

Moved, seconded, and CARRIED

THAT the report dated June 30, 2022 from the People, Communications, and Engagement Department – Communications and Engagement Division regarding City of Port Moody 2022 Citizen Survey be received for information;

AND THAT staff be directed to consider the results of the City of Port Moody 2022 Citizen Survey when developing departmental and divisional work plans.

CW22/066

Moved, seconded, and CARRIED

THAT Legality of the Resolution Made During Item 9.3 of the July 12, 2022 Regular Council agenda be added to the agenda.

CW22/067

Moved, seconded, and CARRIED

THAT the City Solicitor be asked to provide guidance regarding the Mayor's participation in the vote on item 9.3 of the July 12, 2022 Regular Council agenda.

Lower Cost Third Skytrain Station Assessment

7.2 Report: Mayor Rob Vagramov, dated July 7, 2022

This item was withdrawn from the agenda.

Reducing the Risks of Undue Influence in BC Governance – Steps to Get Started in Port Moody

7.3 Report: Councillor Hunter Madsen, dated July 7, 2022

CW22/068

Moved, seconded, and CARRIED

THAT the Council member speaking time limit be increased to 13 minutes per member for this item.

CW22/069

Moved, seconded, and CARRIED

THAT the meeting be extended by one additional hour.

CW22/070

Moved and seconded

THAT staff report back with an amendment to the Council Code of Conduct Bylaw that outlines an expectation that members shall declare, prior to participating in a vote regarding any development proposal, the amount and source of campaign contributions knowingly received at any time in the past from the applicant or its project partners, or from individuals associated with the applicant (including owners, directors, employees, and family members);

AND THAT the foregoing motion include a clause/policy encouraging members to recuse themselves where such a declaration is made, and a clause/policy that outlines an expectation that all Chairs shall call upon all Council and Committee members to disclose, prior to discussion and voting, any campaign contributions covered by the above criteria;

AND THAT an internet link to the campaign financing disclosure statements of all members of Council be made available on the City of Port Moody's website, and that members be encouraged to provide a summary list of corporations from the real estate, development, and construction sectors that have made campaign contributions to them in the past either directly, or indirectly through affiliated individuals;

AND THAT the following resolutions be sent to UBCM and LMLGA, and serve as a basis for direct advocacy (letter) to the Province of British Columbia:

WHEREAS undue influence arising from municipal campaign donations constitutes a serious concern that has not yet been addressed by legislation or the case law, and should be effectively regulated by installing strong campaign-finance guardrails and greater transparency in the democratic process;

AND WHEREAS the provincial ban on direct donations to municipal campaigns from corporations and

unions, in effect since November 2017, has prompted many corporate-affiliated donors in B.C. to continue seeking influence by reallocating their political donations lawfully into the channel designated as “individual” giving, whereby they may dispense significant contributions to as many council candidates in as many different BC municipalities as they want, even though the individuals doing this giving may not themselves reside and be qualified to vote in the electoral districts where their donations are influencing election outcomes;

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED THAT the Province of British Columbia be requested to further strengthen local election campaign financing laws by:

- i. **tightening the Local Electoral Campaign Financing Act to set more restrictive contribution conditions on individual-level donors connected to the real estate, development, and construction industry who seek to finance candidates in municipalities other than the one where the donor resides and is qualified to vote;**
- ii. **increasing transparency by legislating mandatory Council Member disclosures in the Community Charter and Local Government Act; and**
- iii. **reforming electoral campaign financing by introducing publicly funded elections for municipal elections conducted in BC.**

CW22/071

Moved, seconded, and CARRIED

THAT the foregoing motion be amended by replacing “outlines an expectation that members” with “outlines a suggestion that members”.

(Voting against: Councillor Dilworth)

Separation was requested.

The question on the first three clauses of the main motion (CW22/070a-c), as amended (by CW22/071) was put to a vote; the following motion was CARRIED:

THAT staff report back with an amendment to the Council Code of Conduct Bylaw that outlines a suggestion that members shall declare, prior to participating in a vote regarding any development proposal, the amount and source of campaign contributions knowingly received at any time in the past from the applicant or its project partners, or from individuals associated with the applicant (including owners, directors, employees, and family member);

AND THAT the foregoing motion include a clause/policy encouraging members to recuse

themselves where such a declaration is made, and a clause/policy that outlines an expectation that all Chairs shall call upon all Council and Committee members to disclose, prior to discussion and voting, any campaign contributions covered by the above criteria;

AND THAT an internet link to the campaign financing disclosure statements of all members of Council be made available on the City of Port Moody's website, and that members be encouraged to provide a summary list of corporations from the real estate, development, and construction sectors that have made campaign contributions to them in the past either directly, or indirectly through affiliated individuals.

(Voting against: Councillors Dilworth, Lahti, and Royer)

The question on the fourth clause of the main motion (CW22/070d) was put to a vote; the following motion was CARRIED:

THAT the following resolutions be sent to UBCM and LMLGA, and serve as a basis for direct advocacy (letter) to the Province of British Columbia:

WHEREAS undue influence arising from municipal campaign donations constitutes a serious concern that has not yet been addressed by legislation or the case law, and should be effectively regulated by installing strong campaign-finance guardrails and greater transparency in the democratic process;

AND WHEREAS the provincial ban on direct donations to municipal campaigns from corporations and unions, in effect since November 2017, has prompted many corporate-affiliated donors in B.C. to continue seeking influence by reallocating their political donations lawfully into the channel designated as "individual" giving, whereby they may dispense significant contributions to as many council candidates in as many different BC municipalities as they want, even though the individuals doing this giving may not themselves reside and be qualified to vote in the electoral districts where their donations are influencing election outcomes;

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED THAT the Province of British Columbia be requested to further strengthen local election campaign financing laws by:

- i. tightening the Local Electoral Campaign Financing Act to set more restrictive**

contribution conditions on individual-level donors connected to the real estate, development, and construction industry who seek to finance candidates in municipalities other than the one where the donor resides and is qualified to vote;

- ii. **increasing transparency by legislating mandatory Council Member disclosures in the Community Charter and Local Government Act; and**
- iii. **reforming electoral campaign financing by introducing publicly funded elections for municipal elections conducted in BC.**

(Voting against: Councillor Dilworth)

**City of Port Moody
Housing Action
Plan**

7.4

Presentation: Hollie McKeil, CitySpaces Consulting
Report: Community Development Department – Policy Planning
Division, dated June 29, 2022

Hollie McKeil, CitySpaces Consulting, gave a presentation on the City of Port Moody Housing Action Plan, including information regarding vision and principles, policy alignment, housing gaps and needs, Port Moody’s housing spectrum, strategic directions, diverse ground-oriented housing, housing targets, implementation and monitoring, and phase one actions.

Ms. McKeil answered questions from Council about rental and ownership unit sale targets, population targets and projections, unit numbers and types, development trends, timing, review frequency, and rental stock renewal.

CW22/072

Moved, seconded, and CARRIED

THAT the City of Port Moody Housing Action Plan be endorsed as recommended in the report dated June 29, 2022 from the Community Development Department – Policy Planning Division regarding City of Port Moody Housing Action Plan.

Official Community Plan Amendment – Coronation Park

7.5 Report: Community Development Department – Policy Planning Division, dated June 28, 2022

Councillor Royer left the meeting at this point.

CW22/073

Moved, seconded, and CARRIED

THAT the meeting be extended by 30 minutes.

Councillor Royer returned to the meeting at this point.

CW22/074

Moved and seconded

THAT City of Port Moody Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2014, No. 2955, Amendment Bylaw No. 33, 2022, No. 3373 (Coronation Park – Areas B and C) be referred to staff to amend the proportion of affordable housing from 50% to 30%.

CW22/075

Moved, seconded, and CARRIED

THAT the meeting be extended until 11:00pm.

CW22/076

Moved, seconded, and CARRIED

THAT the Official Community Plan Amendment – Coronation Park item be postponed until staff can conduct community consultation with affected landowners.

Development Approval – 148 and 154 James Road (Dulex Sitka House Development Ltd.)

7.6 Report: Report: Community Development Department – Development Planning Division, dated June 20, 2022

CW22/077

Moved, seconded, and CARRIED

THAT City of Port Moody Zoning Bylaw, 2018, No. 2937, Amendment Bylaw No. 63, 2021, No. 3332 (148 and 154 James Road) (CD87), City of Port Moody Housing Agreement Bylaw, 2022, No. 3371 (148 and 154 James Road) (Rental Available), and City of Port Moody Housing Agreement Bylaw, 2022, No. 3372 (148 and 154 James Road) (Below-Market Rental) be now adopted as recommended in the report dated June 20, 2022 from the Community Development Department – Development Planning Division regarding Development Approval – 148 and 154 James Road (Dulex Sitka House Development Ltd.);

AND THAT Development Permit No. DP000023 be authorized for issuance;

AND THAT the Mayor and Corporate Officer be authorized to execute the necessary legal documents required in support of this application.

(Voting against: Councillors Dilworth and Lubik)

2022 Eligible School Sites Proposal Resolution – School District No. 43 (Coquitlam)

7.7 Report: Community Development Department – Policy Planning Division, dated July 4, 2022

CW22/078

Moved and seconded
THAT School District No. 43’s Eligible School Sites Proposal dated June 28, 2022 be accepted as recommended in the report dated July 4, 2022 from the Community Development Department – Policy Planning Division regarding 2022 Eligible School Sites Proposal Resolution – School District No. 43 (Coquitlam).

CW22/079

Moved, seconded, and CARRIED
THAT the foregoing motion be amended by adding “AND THAT School District No. 43 be invited to a meeting to discuss the Eligible School Sites Proposal.”.

The question on the main motion (CW22/078) as amended (by CW22/079) was put to a vote; the following motion was CARRIED:

THAT School District No. 43’s Eligible School Sites Proposal dated June 28, 2022 be accepted as recommended in the report dated July 4, 2022 from the Community Development Department – Policy Planning Division regarding 2022 Eligible School Sites Proposal Resolution – School District No. 43 (Coquitlam);

AND THAT School District No. 43 be invited to a meeting to discuss the Eligible School Sites Proposal.

Adoption of Committee of the Whole Report

8. Rise and Report

8.1 RC22/254

Moved, seconded, and CARRIED
THAT the recommendations approved at the Committee of the Whole meeting of July 19, 2022 be ratified.

RC22/255

Moved, seconded, and CARRIED
THAT the agenda be reordered to address item 10.2 before item 9.1.

Councillor Dilworth left the meeting at this point and did not return.

The agenda order was varied to address item 10.2 at this point.

Mobile Food Carts 10.2 Memo: Community Development Department – Economic Development Office, dated June 28, 2022

RC22/256

Moved, seconded, and CARRIED

THAT staff be directed to develop a Mobile Food Cart policy as recommended in the report dated March 24, 2021 from the Office of Councillor Steve Milani regarding Mobile Food Carts.

The agenda order was resumed at this point.

9. Unfinished Business

Parkland Strategy Public Engagement Strategy 9.1 Report: Engineering and Operations Department – Project Delivery Services Division, dated June 16, 2022

This item was not addressed.

10. New Business

Bedwell Bay Road Transportation Study 10.1 Report: Engineering and Operations Department – Infrastructure Engineering Services Division, dated June 9, 2022

This item was not addressed.

CSP Project Proposal – Kyle Centre Building Repairs 10.3 Report: City Manager, dated June 23, 2022

This item was not addressed.

Early Input (Pre-Application) – 2115-2131 St. Johns Street (CityState Consulting) 10.4 Report: Community Development Department – Development Planning Division, dated June 27, 2022

This item was not addressed.

11. Adjournment

Mayor Vagramov adjourned the meeting at 10:41pm.

Certified correct in accordance with section 148(a) of the *Community Charter*.

T. Takahashi, Corporate Officer

Confirmed on the ___ day of ___, 2022.

R. Vagramov, Mayor