171

### Considered at November 24, 2020 Council Meeting

# Multi-Family Sustainability Report Card

#### **Purpose**

The Sustainability Report Card recognizes that developers, builders, designers, and others proposing changes to the built environment have an important role in creating a sustainable community. Sustainability involves stewardship of land and environmental resources, as well as green building and a focus on design elements that bring people together and help communities flourish economically, socially, and culturally. Port Moody encourages innovative thinking in community design to achieve a more sustainable community.

To this end, the Report Card is a requirement for rezoning, development permit, and heritage alteration permit applications. The Report Card identifies performance measures based on community sustainability values: these measures are used to evaluate development proposals. The Report Card is intended to be a summary of overall project sustainability. It is a tool to be integrated with all other development approval requirements.

#### **Process**

There are six steps to follow in completing the Sustainability Report Card process:

- 1. Make a development inquiry to Development Services regarding your proposed rezoning, development permit, or heritage alteration permit. Staff will provide you with a hard copy of the Sustainability Report Card and provide a weblink to portmoody.ca/SRC where you can find a fillable PDF version of the Report Card.
- 2. Attend a pre-application meeting with City staff to discuss your proposal. The Planner will determine if the Sustainability Report Card is a document that must be submitted with your application.
- 3. If required, complete a Report Card by filling in the appropriate information that applies to your particular application and submit the completed Report Card (saved version of online fillable PDF or hard copy) to the appropriate City staff (<a href="mailto:sustainabilityreportcard@portmoody.ca">sustainabilityreportcard@portmoody.ca</a> or deliver to City Hall Planning Department at 100 Newport Drive), along with a completed land use application.
- **4.** The Planner will review the Report Card for completeness and accuracy and forward to staff in various departments for feedback. The Planner will determine your preliminary score and discuss the results of the staff review with you. You will then have an opportunity to improve your score with respect to the sustainability of your proposal and resubmit an updated Report Card.
- 5. The Planner will make comments, determine your final score, and prepare the Project Report Card Summary. The Summary will be included in the land use reports that are distributed to the Advisory Design Panel, Community Planning Advisory Committee, and Council.
- **6.** If your application is approved by Council, your final Report Card is maintained in the development file and a copy is provided to the City's Building Division.

#### **Instructions**

- Your Report Card must contain sufficient detail to ensure each measure can be evaluated. To do this, make reference to the appropriate plans, drawings, and reports that demonstrate how the performance measure is met.
- The relevance of the questions will depend on the nature and scope of your project, so not all questions will be applicable to all projects.
- Some measures are marked 'EARLY STAGE'. This indicates that these measures must be considered in the design phase as it is unlikely they can be added to a proposal later on.





172

### Considered at November 24, 2020 Council Meeting

410

- Similarly, some measures are marked 'BASELINE'. Although the Report Card is not a pass or fail test of development applications, it does set a minimum score to indicate the City's minimum expectations. Items labelled 'BASELINE' count toward a minimum score as they are considered to be low cost and readily achievable.
- Italicized terms are defined in the Glossary at the end of the Report Card document.
- Refer to the Resources section for links to Internet resources relevant to measures in the Report Card.

#### **Scoring**

- Performance measures are assigned weighted scores from 1 to 10 to indicate their significance based on: (1) level of difficulty to integrate into project design; (2) order-of-magnitude cost added to the project; (3) degree of effectiveness for increasing the overall project sustainability; (4) identified community priority in the Official Community Plan; and (5) level of urgency for Port Moody in terms of achieving community sustainability goals.
- City staff score the completed Report Card based on the principle of best achievable on each site for each performance measure. Where possible, points for achieving various means are indicated. In other cases, the number of means to achieve a performance measure may exceed the total points possible for an item. In this case, the Planner will make a fair assessment of the project's performance for this measure with respect to the conditions of the site as a percentage and translate this to the possible score.
- Only whole number scores will be assigned. This will be achieved by rounding to the nearest whole number. For example, if overall performance for a measure is deemed to be about 80 per cent and the possible score is out of 4, then a score of 3 points out of 4 will be assigned.
- The Report Card is an iterative process with the applicant. The applicant has an opportunity to comment and make changes to their proposal before the scores are considered final and shared with public advisory bodies and Council.
- Additional space is provided for the applicant to address innovations and constraints not captured elsewhere in the Report Card. These items are not scored, but are given specific mention on the Project Report Card Summary.
- Staff will review your completed Report Card and provide feedback before your project is scored to give you the opportunity to achieve the highest score possible.

#### **Monitoring**

In general, the information required from the applicant for the Sustainability Report Card is similar to the kind of information required for a typical development application. However, to ensure accountability, you can expect the City to request additional information, such as: photos of installed systems or products, design drawings, professional reports, copies of receipts, or other records that can be used to verify the implementation of the selected sustainability measures. We encourage you to provide as much information as possible to assist City staff in their review of your development proposal.

#### **Public Information**

The public may request a review of any completed Report Card related to a development application. Copies of the Report Card are maintained by the Planning Division. The Development Services Department makes Report Cards available following completion of the project.

#### **Property and Applicant Information**

Applicant Integra Architecture Inc	Telephone 604.688.4220	Email stevew@integra-arch.com
Registered Owner Allaire Group [as authorised agent]	Project Address #44/48/52/56 & 60 Seaview Drive, Port Moody	
Proposed Use Townhouse Residential Multi-Family		

Total Floorspace 3407

173

### Considered at November 24, 2020 Council Meeting

Cl	JLTUI	RAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION How will the project cont	ribute to Port Moody's status as 'City of the Arts'?	
		Arts		
		Performance Measure Description and Scoring		
	Project includes public art in publicly accessible or publicly owned space (3 points, +1 bonus point if a Public Art Const			
		<b>OR</b> Project provides an in lieu financial contribution to the City's Public Art	: Reserve Fund (3 points).	
		See links in Resources under "Examples of Good Public Art".		
		Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and F	Reports	
ш		If <b>yes</b> , describe:	Staff Comments	
BASELINE + EARLY STAGE		Project provides an in lieu financial contribution to the City's Public Art Reserve Fund.		
		Public Art Consultant:		
		Plan reference:		
CI	JLTUI	RAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION How will the project cont	Bonus Score $0$ /1 Score $3$ /ribute to Port Moody's status as 'City of the Arts'?	
		Arts		
		Performance Measure Description and Scoring		
	C2	Project supports Port Moody's desire to be a "City of the Arts" by integrating functionality (2 points).	g artistic design into the site or building form or	
		Examples:		
		<ul><li>Creative stormwater management features.</li><li>Creative interaction of the project with the public.</li><li>Artistic panels in entry foyer.</li></ul>		
		Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and F	Reports	
빌		Describe:	Staff Comments	
BASELINE		Concrete retaining walls along Seaview Road provides an opportunity for artistic reveal panels and entry gates.		
		Plan reference:		

Score 1 /2

	(	Jonsidered at November 24, 2	OZU Council Meeting
C	ULTU	RAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION How will the Project con	tribute to Port Moody's status as 'City of the Arts'?
		Heritage Performance Measure Description and Scoring	
	C3	Project includes reusing an existing heritage structure with heritage value (4 points).	e through heritage restoration or heritage rehabilitation
		Where the preservation of a heritage structure in its original location can See Standards and Guidelines for the Conservation of Historic Places in Canada: <u>I</u>	
E E		Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and	Reports
STA		Describe:	Staff Comments
BASELINE FOR HRA + EARLY STAGE		Not Applicable	
		Plan reference:	Score N/A /4
C	ULTU	RAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION How will the project con	tribute to Port Moody's status as 'City of the Arts'?
		Heritage	
	C4	Performance Measure Description and Scoring Project includes a <i>statement of significance</i> prepared by a heritage cons is observed (2 points). Where warranted, project includes a heritage co professional (+2 bonus points, where applicable).	
		See Standards and Guidelines for the Conservation of Historic Places in Canad	la: <u>historicplaces.ca</u>
		Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and	Reports
EARLY STAGE		Report title: Not Applicable	Staff Comments
		Heritage Consultant:	

Score N/A /2

Bonus Score N/A /2

175

CI	JLTUF	RAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION	How will the Project contribute to Port Moody's status as 'City of the Arts'?
C5 Project salvage in a manner wl		Performance Measure Description and	historic place, or reuses materials or artefacts from architectural/landscape salvage of the site's <i>character-defining elements</i> .
		Details:	Staff Comments
		Not Applicable	Stan Comments
EARLY STAGE		Plan reference:	
CI	<b>JLTUF</b>		Score N/A /3  How will the project contribute to Port Moody's status as 'City of the Arts'?  I Scoring  ve enterprise to be retained for the lifetime of the project.  indoor/outdoor theatre, live-work units, plaza, etc.
		Applicant Explanation and Reference	
EARLY STAGE		meters <sup>2</sup> / feet <sup>2</sup> Description of space:  Not Applicable	Staff Comments
			Score 0 /4

176

### Considered at November 24, 2020 Council Meeting

### CULTURAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION How will the project contribute to Port Moody's status as 'City of the Arts'?

#### **Complete Community Elements**

#### **Performance Measure Description and Scoring**

Project improves the *streetscape* beyond minimum City requirements by integrating lasting creative elements and demonstrating effort to optimize the project's *beautification* impact.

#### Examples

- Restores the frontage of an existing building in Historic Moody Centre.
- Proposes artistic paving treatments in the public realm.
- Adds creativity to functional elements of the streetscape.
- Benches, bike rack, planter, lighting, etc. upgrades.

#### Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•
Details:	Staff Comments
Concrete retaining walls along Seaview Road provides an opportunity for artistic reveal panels and entry gates.	
Bench Seating Along Seaview	
Plan reference:	

Score 2

#### **CULTURAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION** How will the project contribute to Port Moody's status as 'City of the Arts'?

#### Heritage

#### **Performance Measure Description and Scoring**

Project will apply to be added to the City's Heritage Register.

#### Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Yes No No N/A	Staff Comments
Details:	
Not Applicable	

Score N/A /3

177

		Jonsidered at Movern	1001 27, 202	20 Oouii	ch weeting	
CI	JLTUI	RAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION Hor	w will the project contrib	oute to Port Moody	y's status as 'City of the Art	s'?
		Innovation Performance Measure Description and Sc	oring			
	C9	Cultural sustainability aspects not captured above.				
		Applicant Explanation and Reference to P	lans, Drawings, and Rep	oorts		
			St	taff Comments		
		Not Applicable				
CI	JLTUI	RAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION Hot	w will the project contrib	oute to Port Moody	y's status as 'City of the Art	s'?
		Constraints				
		Performance Measure Description and Sc	-			
	C10	Unique site aspects that limit cultural sustainabil				
		Applicant Explanation and Reference to P				
			St	taff Comments		
	_					
C	ultu	ral Sustainability Score Summa	ary			
					Score	
То	tal Cul	tural Pillar Points (Total Points Available – Not I	ncluding Bonus Points)		23	
					Total	
		Itural Points Not Applicable pints for Items Not Relevant to this Application)			12	
					n/a	
		m Achievable Score ıltural Pillar Points Minus Total Cultural Points N	ot Applicable)		11	
			от Аррисавіе)		Maximum	
		Pillar Minimum Score Applicable Baseline Items)			7	
		•			Cultural Baseline	
		nts Achieved oints Achieved for Applicable Items for this Appl	lication)		7	
					Total Cultural Points	_
	Cultural Pillar Score (Total Points Achieved/Maximum Achievable Score)				7 / 11 64	%

178

### Considered at November 24, 2020 Council Meeting

### ECONOMIC SUSTAINABILITY SECTION How will the Project contribute to a stronger local economy?

#### **Land Use/Employment**

#### **Performance Measure Description and Scoring**

EC1 Supports walking to shops and services by improving the circulation and connectivity of the site to the retail shops and services of the relevant neighbourhood centre.

See Map 1: Overall Land Use in the City's Official Community Plan: Map 1: Overall Land Use Plan

#### Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Staff Comments

Score 1 /3

#### **ECONOMIC SUSTAINABILITY SECTION** How will the project contribute to a stronger local economy?

#### **Land Use**

#### **Performance Measure Description and Scoring**

Provides more intensive use of land to the allowable housing density that supports local businesses.

#### Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Describe the diversification and how it is appropriate to this	Staff Comments
particular location:	
The site is 0.971 Acres and is currently occupied by 5 single family homes [+/-5 units per acre]. The proposed townhouse development provides 28 units, raising the housing density to +/-29 units per acre.	

Score 1 /1

### Considered at November 24, 2020 Council Meeting **ECONOMIC SUSTAINABILITY SECTION** How will the project contribute to a stronger local economy? Land Use/Employment **Performance Measure Description and Scoring** Results in net increase in the City's property tax base. See Map 1: Overall Land Use in the City's Official Community Plan: Map 1: Overall Land Use Plan Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports **Staff Comments** Building type: SINGLE-FAMILY HOMES [5 Homes] 10,369 sf [0.26 FSR] [Based on BC Assessment] Proposed: Building type: TOWNHOUSE RESIDENTIAL [28 Homes] 36,677 sf [0.92 FSR] Score 3 **ECONOMIC SUSTAINABILITY SECTION** How will the project contribute to a stronger local economy? **Land Use Performance Measure Description and Scoring** Project redevelops and rehabilitates a brownfield site. Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports Describe: **Staff Comments** Not Applicable.

c	N/A	L

180

	•			1011 1110011119	
E	<b>ECONOMIC SUSTAINABILITY SECTION</b> How will the project contribute to a stronger local economy?				
	Innovation				
		Performance Measure Description and Scoring			
	EC5	Economic sustainability aspects not captured above.			
		Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Re	ports		
			Staff Comments		
		None			
E	CONO	MIC SUSTAINABILITY SECTION How will the project contri	ibute to a stronger l	local economy?	
		Constraints			
		Performance Measure Description and Scoring			
	EC6	Unique site aspects that limit economic sustainability achievement.			
		Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Re	eports		
			Staff Comments		
		Remoteness of the development site from Neighbourhood centres			
E	cono	mic Sustainability Score Summary			
				Score	
То	tal Eco	nomic Pillar Points (Total Points Available – Not Including Bonus Points)		10	
				Total	
То	tal Eco	nomic Points Not Applicable		3	
(To	otal Po	ints for Items Not Relevant to this Application)		n/a	
		n Achievable Score		7	
(To	(Total Economic Pillar Points Minus Total Economic Points Not Applicable)			Maximum	
		c Pillar Minimum Score		7	
(Si	um of A	Applicable Baseline Items)		Economic Baseline	
		nts Achieved		5	
(To	otal Po	ints Achieved for Applicable Items for this Application)		Total Economic Points	
		nic Pillar Score		5 / 7 71 %	
(T	otal P	oints Achieved/Maximum Achievable Score)		Total May Percent	

181

### Considered at November 24, 2020 Council Meeting

**ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION** How well does the project minimize the demands on the environment?

Site Context | Ecology

**Performance Measure Description and Scoring** 

E	N1	Project protects and enhances an Environmentally Plan, i.e. provides positive net benefit.	Sensitive Area (ESA) as designated on Map 13 in the City's Official Commu	unity
		See <u>Map 13: Environmentally Sensitive Areas</u> and <u>Apper</u>	ndix 2: Development Permit Area Guidelines in the Official Community Plan.	
		Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plan	ns, Drawings, and Reports	
		Type of ESA:	Staff Comments	
		High ESA		
		Medium ESA		
		Low ESA		
		30m Stream Buffer (High Value)		
		Special Feature (High Value)		
		Features/Species of Value:		
넁		Not Applicable		
STA				
\RLY				
+ EA				
NE NE				
BASELINE + EARLY STAGE		Means of Protection:		
<b>AA</b>		Covenant		
		Dedication		
		Monitoring		
		Other:		
		Means of Improvement of ESA:		
		Not Applicable		

Score N/A /4

182

### Considered at November 24, 2020 Council Meeting

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION** How well does the project minimize the demands on the environment?

#### Site Context | Ecology

#### **Performance Measure Description and Scoring**

EN2 Project provides bird-friendly development through landscaping that provides habitat to native species and building design that reduces bird collisions.

See Vancouver Bird Strategy

#### Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

List all elements that reduce the impact that urbanization has on birds for this project:

Planting of native trees and shrubs. Providing a diversity of native & non-invasive plants. Reduced light pollution. Minimised lawn areas. Snags & downed wood incorporated in the landscape design. Water provided for birds to drink & bathe. Canopies provide cover over first floor windows. Screens/drapes/blinds interior to windows. Outdoor landscaping appropriate distance from glass.

Score 2 /3

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION** How well does the project minimize the demands on the environment?

#### Site Context | Ecology

#### **Performance Measure Description and Scoring**

- EN3 Design of outdoor lighting minimizes the harmful effects of light pollution with technology that ensures lighting is:
  - · Only on when needed
  - Only lights the area that needs it
  - No brighter than necessary
  - Minimizes blue light emissions
  - Fully shielded (pointing downward)

See International Dark Sky Association for Dark Sky Friendly Lighting.

#### Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Describe the lighting plan for the site and its dark sky friendly features:

Minimum exterior lighting. Down-lighting only -no up-lighting.

Motion sensitive exterior lighting. Minimum lighting levels proposed. Minimised blue light emissions. Electrical or lighting designer to confirm.

Score 3

INF

183

### Considered at November 24, 2020 Council Meeting

**ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION** How we'll does the project minimize the demands on the environment?

Site | Air Quality – Alternative Transportation Performance Measure Description and Scoring

EN4 Project provides alternative transportation facilities for user groups of each land use type, which contributes to reducing Greenhouse Gas Emissions from this development.

#### Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Check all that apply:	Staff Comments
Short-Term Bicycle parking	
Long-Term Bicycle parking	
End-of-Trip Bicycle Facilities:	
Private homes	
Bike share and assigned parking	
Co-op vehicle and assigned parking space provision	
Electric Vehicle plug-ins and designated spaces <sup>1</sup>	
Plan references:	

Score 3

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION** How well does the project minimize the demands on the environment?

Site | Air Quality – Alternative Transportation Performance Measure Description and Scoring

EN5 Project incorporates measures to support pedestrians and cyclists.

#### Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Check all that apply:	Staff Comments
Connects to existing pedestrian/cycling routes and priority	
destinations	
Improves local pedestrian routes, local bike networks/trails	
Safe, secure, accessible, and sustainable footpaths	
Pedestrian clearway sufficient to accommodate pedestrian flow	
Covered outdoor waiting areas, overhangs, or awnings	
Pedestrian scale lighting	
Pedestrian/bike-only zones	
Other:	
Site circulation plan:	
Other plan references:	

Score 3 /3

<sup>1</sup> See BC Hydro's Electric Vehicle Charging Infrastructure Deployment Guidelines.

184

### Considered at November 24, 2020 Council Meeting

**ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION** How well does the project minimize the demands on the environment?

#### **Building | Waste Storage Space**

**Performance Measure Description and Scoring** 

EN6 Project allocates sufficient and accessible recycling and garbage storage space in multi-family and commercial buildings and complexes compatible with City of Port Moody recycling, green waste, and garbage services.

Target 1: Metro Vancouver's Technical Specifications for Recycling and Garbage Amenities in Multi-family and Commercial Developments.

Target 2: Design provides safe and universally accessible access in a secure common area.

#### Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Total residential recycling, garbage, and green waste space proposed:	Staff Comments	
Recycling: m <sup>2</sup>		
Garbage:m <sup>2</sup>		
Green Waste: m <sup>2</sup>		
Total commercial recycling, garbage, and green waste space proposed:		
Recycling:m²		
Garbage:m²		
Green Waste: m <sup>2</sup>		
Details regarding design for safety, security, and accessibility:		
Private garbage & recycling storage within each unit - no common		
area is provided.		

Score 1 /2

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION** How well does the project minimize the demands on the environment?

Site | Sustainable Landscaping – *Urban Forestry* Performance Measure Description and Scoring

EN7 Project protects and enhances the *urban forest*, prioritizing native tree species.

See <u>City of Port Moody Tree Protection Bylaw</u>

#### Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Check all that apply:	Staff Comments
Existing mature trees protected (# 0 )	No tree retention
Replacement tree ratio ( 2 : 1)	
Native tree species planted on site (#)	
Native tree species planted off site (#)	
Protected/natural park areas added on site	
(% of total site area:%)	
Arborist report:	

Score 0 /3

185

### Considered at November 24, 2020 Council Meeting

**ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION** How well does the project minimize the demands on the environment?

Site | Sustainable Landscaping – Habitat Performance Measure Description and Scoring

EN8 Project preserves, enhances, and/or compensates for site ecology on site (4 points). Off-site compensation may be considered in some cases, in accordance with all other City regulations and supported by staff (3 points).

Compensation in the form of a financial contribution to the City toward approved public restoration, rehabilitation, or enhancement

See City of Port Moody Naturescape Policy 13-6410-03.

See also Invasive Plant Council of BC

projects may be considered (2 points).

#### Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Check all that apply:	Staff Comments
Salvage replanting	
Reduction to existing impervious aream <sup>2</sup>	
Removal of invasive plant species	
Names:	
*Landscape to confirm	
Native/"naturescape" landscaping	
Watercourse daylighting	
Riparian area restoration	
Other measures taken to enhance habitat or to compensate for	
habitat loss:	

Score 1 /4

186

### Considered at November 24, 2020 Council Meeting

**ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION** How well does the project minimize the demands on the environment?

Site | Sustainable Landscaping – Stormwater Performance Measure Description and Scoring

EN9 Project provides for stormwater retention and evaporation, and groundwater protection in the site stormwater management plan.

Targets:

- 1. Stormwater retained on-site to the same level of annual volume allowable under pre-development conditions.
- 2. Maximum allowable annual run-off volume is no more than 50% of the total average annual rainfall depth.
- 3. Remove 80% of total suspended solids based on the post-development imperviousness.

(3 points if all three targets are achieved)

See link in References to Metro Vancouver's Stormwater Source Control Guidelines

#### Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Target(s) reached: 1 2 3	Staff Comments
Means of achieving (check all that apply):	
Absorbent landscape	
Roof downspout disconnection	
Infiltration swales and/or trenches	
Sub-surface chambers/detention tanks	
Rain gardens with native plantings	
Rainwater harvesting	
Tree well structures	
Green roof/wall	
Water quality structures	
Pervious paving	
Daylighted streams	
Constructed wetlands	
Other:	
References to plans and documents:	
Target 1	

Score 1 /3

City of Port Moody

187

### Considered at November 24, 2020 Council Meeting

**ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION** How well does the project minimize the demands on the environment?

### Site | Sustainable Landscaping - Water Conservation **Performance Measure Description and Scoring** EN10 Project reduces potable water use for irrigation. 2 points = 5 actions (from "check all that apply" list) 1 point = 3 actions (from "check all that apply" list) Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports Check all that apply: **Staff Comments** Drought-tolerant landscaping (xeriscaping) with native species Low-maintenance lawn alternatives Non-water dependent materials/features for ground cover treatment Irrigation system with central control and rain sensors Captured rainwater irrigation system, e.g. using cisterns/rain barrels Other: Plan reference: Score 1 **ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION** How well does the project minimize the demands on the environment? Site Context | Ecology **Performance Measure Description and Scoring** EN11 Project is sited and designed in order to facilitate and improve wildlife movement and access, particularly within known and suspected habitat corridors. Ex. Deer, bears, frogs, salmon, etc. (depending on site location). Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports Species supported: **Staff Comments** None

Score 0 /2

Means of supporting:

Environmental assessment or site plan reference:

188

### Considered at November 24, 2020 Council Meeting

<b>ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION</b>	How well does the project minimize the demands on the environment?
---	--

Building | Green Building Rating
Performance Measure Description and Scoring

EN12 Project will achieve a recognized industry standard for sustainable design.

Applicant Ex	planation and	Reference to	Plans, Dra	awings, and	d Reports

Built Green Level: GOLD	Staff Comments
Bronze (2 points)	To be secured through covenant
• Silver (5 points)	
• Gold (8 points)	
• Platinum (10 points)	
LEED Level:	
• Certified (2 points)	
• Silver (5 points)	
• Gold (8 points)	
• Platinum (10 points)	
Canadian Passive House Institute (10 points)	
Living Future Institute	
• Living Building Certification (10 points)	
• Petal Certification (10 points)	
Net Zero Energy Certification (10 points)	
Other:	

Score 8 /10

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION** How well does the project minimize the demands on the environment?

Building | Alternative/Renewable Energy Performance Measure Description and Scoring

EN13 Project provides local, low-carbon energy systems, such as geo-exchange, heat recovery ventilation, solar or district energy.

#### Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Details:	Staff Comments
Heat Recovery Ventilation	
Specify % of energy generated:	
Specify 70 of energy generated.	

Score 1 /4

189

### Considered at November 24, 2020 Council Meeting

**ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION** How well does the project minimize the demands on the environment?

#### Building | Energy Reduction and Indoor Climate Performance Measure Description and Scoring

EN14 Building architecture employs *passive design* strategies appropriate to the local climate to reduce energy use and enhance occupant comfort.

Examples:

- Site design and building massing minimizes east and west exposures to avoid unwanted solar gains.
- Limit windows to 50% of any façade, taking into account other livability and aesthetic criteria.
- Use heat-recovery ventilation during heating season only, and design for natural ventilation and cooling by natural ventilation throughout the rest of the year.
- See <u>City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit</u> for Large Buildings for other examples.

#### Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Yes No	Staff Comments	
Key passive design building elements:		
Heat Recovery ventilation during heating season only.  Natural Ventilation and cooling.  Window percentage = 50% maximum.  East & West exposures minimised.		

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION** How well does the project minimize the demands on the environment?

#### Smart Technology

**Performance Measure Description and Scoring** 

EN15 Project uses *smart technology* to optimize sustainable use of resources.

Ex. Automated lighting, shading, HVAC, energy/water consumption, security, etc.

#### Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Details:	Staff Comments
Motion sensored exterior lighting. Programmable thermostatic controls. Automated HVAC. Dimmable lighting.	

Score 2 /2

Score 2 /3

ARIY STAGE

190

### Considered at November 24, 2020 Council Meeting

**ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION** How well does the project minimize the demands on the environment?

Site | Sustainable Landscaping

**Performance Measure Description and Scoring** 

EN16 Project provides or designates space for growing food in private or common areas including on-site composting to support the gardening activities.

#### Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Details:	Staff Comments
Edible Landscape proposed for Private yards. Common herb garden proposed in common space. Bear attractants such as fruit trees are being avoided.	
Landscape Plan Reference:	

Score 1 /2

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION** How well does the project minimize the demands on the environment?

**Building Energy Performance** 

**Performance Measure Description and Scoring** 

EN17 Building design incorporates Port Moody Building Energy Performance Design Guidelines.

#### Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

BC Energy Step Code:	Staff Comments
Tier 1 (1 point)	
Tier 2 (2 points)	
Tier 3 (3 points)	
Tier 4 (4 points)	
Attach a copy of Port Moody Building Energy Performance Design Guidelines Checklist.	

Score 3 /4

191

### Considered at November 24, 2020 Council Meeting

E	VIRC	DNMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION How we	H does the project minimize the demands on the environment		
		Stormwater and Ecology/Water Conservation			
		Performance Measure Description and Scoring			
	EN18	Project incorporates landscaped roofs or living walls that a	also provide food/habitat for native species.		
		OR			
		Project includes on-site grey water reuse.			
		2 BONUS POINTS EACH			
		Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Draw	wings, and Reports		
		Details:	Staff Comments		
JS					
BONUS					
<u> </u>					
			Bonus Score 0		
E	VVIRC	DNMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION How we	ell does the project minimize the demands on the environment		
		Environmental Monitoring			
		Performance Measure Description and Scoring			
	EN19	Project contracts with an Environmental Monitor(s) to overs	see implementation of environmental sustainability measures,		
		i.e. sustainable landscaping measures.			
		OR			
		Project employs an energy efficiency consultant.			
		2 BONUS POINTS EACH			
		Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Draw	wings, and Reports		
			Staff Comments		
BONUS		Details of Work Overseen/Contribution:	Stair Comments		
		Details of Work Overseen/Contribution:	Stail Comments		
ω		Details of Work Overseen/Contribution:	Stail Comments		
æ		Details of Work Overseen/Contribution:	Stail Comments		
В		Details of Work Overseen/Contribution:	Stail Comments		
В		Details of Work Overseen/Contribution:	Stall Collinents		
В		Details of Work Overseen/Contribution:	Stall Collinents		

Bonus Score 0 /2

		Considered at November 24, 20	20 Council	Meeting
EN	IVIRO	DNMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION How well does the pro	ject minimize the deman	ds on the environment?
		Innovation Performance Measure Description and Scoring		
	EN20	Environmental sustainability aspects not captured above.		
		Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Re	ports	
			Staff Comments	
EN	NVIRO	ONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION How well does the pro	ject minimize the deman	ds on the environment?
		Constraints		
		Performance Measure Description and Scoring		
	EN21	Unique site aspects that limit environmental sustainability achievement. $ \\$		
		Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Re	ports	
			Staff Comments	
E	nviro	onmental Sustainability Score Summary		
				Score
То	tal Env	rironmental Pillar Points (Total Points Available – Not Including Bonus Po	pints)	57 Total
		rironmental Points Not Applicable ints for Items Not Relevant to this Application)		<b>4</b> n/a
Maximum Achievable Score (Total Environmental Pillar Points Minus Total Environmental Points Not Applicable)		ole)	53 Maximum	
		nental Pillar Minimum Score Applicable Baseline Items)		32 Enviro Baseline
Total Points Achieved (Total Points Achieved for Applicable Items for this Application)			32 Total Environmental Points	

**Environmental Pillar Score** 

(Total Points Achieved/Maximum Achievable Score)

193

### Considered at November 24, 2020 Council Meeting

#### **SOCIAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION**

How well does the project address community health and wellness?

#### Accessibility

#### **Performance Measure Description and Scoring**

For single-storey units in multi-family residential development:

(a) a minimum of 40% are adaptable units (2 points) and, of those units,

(b) accessible unit(s) providing full wheelchair accessibility are provided (2 points).

Project incorporates adaptable and accessible design features in the site/building circulation and bathrooms in all other uses (2 points).

#### Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Residential	Staff Comments
% of Adaptable Units: 0	
Details:	
Number of Accessible Units: 0	
Details:	
Residential Site/Common Areas and Commercial/Industrial/	
Institutional Uses:	
Details:	

Score N/A /6

194

### Considered at November 24, 2020 Council Meeting

S	OCIAL	SUSTAINABILITY SECTIO	<b>N</b> How well does the project	address community health and wellness?
		Complete Community Des	sign	
		Performance Measure Descr	iption and Scoring	
	S2	Project design is adapted to min	imize shadow or privacy impacts to adja	cent buildings.
		AND/OR		
		Project design integrates the res	ults of a <i>viewscape</i> study with respect to	water and mountain views.
		Applicant Explanation and F	Reference to Plans, Drawings, and R	Reports
		Details:		Staff Comments
Ж		Shadow & privacy impacts to a site & building orientation & bu	adjacent buildings are minimised by uilding form.	
STAC		_		
RLY				
E + EA				
SELINE				
ASE				
8				
		Plan/document references:		
				Score 1 /1
S	OCIAL	SUSTAINABILITY SECTIO	N How well does the project	address community health and wellness?
		Housing Diversity		
		Performance Measure Descr		
	S3	Development includes a mix of h		
		Applicant Explanation and F	Reference to Plans, Drawings, and R	
TAGE			Number of Units	Staff Comments
ILY S		Live-work units	0	
EARLY		Ground-oriented units	28	
		Apartment units	0	
				Score 2 /3

City of Port Moody

195

### Considered at November 24, 2020 Council Meeting

<b>SOCIA</b>	L SUST	AINABILIT	Y SECTION

How well does the project address community health and wellness?

#### **Housing Diversity**

#### **Performance Measure Description and Scoring**

Project includes a range of unit sizes for a variety of household types, and the design is flexible to allow for changes, i.e. den can easily become another bedroom.

#### Targets:

2-bedroom minimum 25% of units

3-bedroom minimum 10% of units

#### Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

	Number of Units	% of Units	Staff Comments
Bachelor/1-bedroom	0	0	Does not meet minimum target for 2 bedroom.
2-bedroom	2	7 (1 pt)	
3+-bedroom	26	93 (2 pts)	
Flexible design features:			

Score 2 /3

#### **SOCIAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION**

How well does the project address community health and wellness?

#### **Housing Affordability**

#### Performance Measure Description and Scoring

Project provides new purpose-built *market rental housing* (2 points) or affordable *market rental housing* (3 points) or *non-market rental housing* (4 points).

#### OR

Development contributes to the City's Affordable Housing Reserve Fund in lieu of provision of affordable housing (2 points).

#### Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Types: Market Housing	Staff Comments
Description:	
Townhouse Market Housing for sale. Developer contribution to the City's Affordable Housing Reserve Fund in lieu.	
% of total housing units: 100 %	
Plan reference:	

Score 2 /4

196

### Considered at November 24, 2020 Council Meeting

How well does the project address community health and wellness?

#### **Amenities**

#### **Performance Measure Description and Scoring**

S6 Project provides voluntary public amenities.

Examples:

- Child care facility
- Space for growing food
- Child play areas
- Gathering place/space
- Park/greenspace
- Public contribution in lieu (CACs), i.e., school, library, arts, etc.

(5 Points = any approved option)

#### Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Details:	Staff Comments
Public Contribution in lieu (CACs)	
Plan reference:	

Score 5

197

S	DCIAL	SUSTAINABILITY SECTION	How well does the project address community health and wellness?			
		Amenities Performance Measure Description	and Scoring			
	S7	Project provides voluntary private amenit Examples:  • Accessible green roof  • Communal garden  • Dog runs  • Play areas  • Social gathering place  (1 point per approved amenity item – ma	ies.			
EARLY STAGE		Details: Play Areas / Communal Garden	Staff Comments			
		Plan reference:	Score	2	/3	
-	20101	CUCTAINABLE ITY CECTION	11			
3(	S8	Inclusive Community Performance Measure Description The proposal supports aging-in-place wit	How well does the project address community health and wellness?  and Scoring  th adult care, assisted living space, and/or independent senior living space.			
	_	Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports				
EARLY STAGE		Details: Not Applicable	Staff Comments			
	l		Score	0	/4	

198

### Considered at November 24, 2020 Council Meeting

#### **SOCIAL SUSTAINABILITY SECTION**

How well does the project address community health and wellness?

#### **Community Building**

#### **Performance Measure Description and Scoring**

Project provides *urban vitalization* by involving land owners and occupants, community groups, and end user groups who may be affected by the proposal in the planning process to identify and showcase Port Moody's unique assets, i.e. goes above and beyond standard notification and consultation.

Examples:

• Host a community-building workshop with the neighbourhood at the time of a project's inception to determine values and identify unique assets to leverage through design.

Staff will advise on notification requirements and appropriate stakeholder consultation

#### Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports

Please identify stakeholders and explain their involvement:	Staff Comments
,	Stair comments
Identify actions taken in response to stakeholder input:	
Plan references:	

Score 0 /4

199

SC	CIAL	SUSTAINABILITY SECTION	How well does the project address community health	and wellness?			
		Safety Performance Measure Description a	nd Scoring				
	S10	The design of the site incorporates <i>Crime</i>	Prevention Through Environmental Design principles (CPTED).				
		Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports					
		Please explain: Natural Surveillance - "Eyes on the str Controlled points of entry. Motion sensitive exterior lighting. Clearly defined perceptible edge between-private property. Buildings sited adjacent to the street. Windows provide	een semi-public and vith the longest facade				
		Plan references:					
				Score	1	/1	
SO	CIAL	SUSTAINABILITY SECTION	How well does the project address community health	and wellness?			
		Education and Awareness Performance Measure Description a	nd Scoring				
Project provides education and awareness of the sustainable features of the project for owners, Examples:  Document is given to new owners at time of sale, covenant on title, inclusion/protection of features of the project for owners, examples:  Signage/display/art recognizing design, etc.							
		Applicant Explanation and Reference	e to Plans, Drawings, and Reports				
		Describe:  Document to be given to new owners a	Staff Comments at time of sale.				
				Score	1	/1	

200

S	CIAL	SUSTAINABILITY SECTION	How well does the project	address community	health	and wellness?	,	
		Innovation						
	Performance Measure Description and Scoring							
	S12	Social sustainability aspects not captured above.						
		Applicant Explanation and Reference to Plans, Drawings, and Reports						
				Staff Comments				
			** # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	•	4 4.4	1 11 0		
S	DCIAL	SUSTAINABILITY SECTION	How well does the project	address community	health	and wellness?		
		Constraints Performance Measure Description an	nd Scoring					
	S13	Unique site aspects that limit social sustaina	bility achievement.					
		Applicant Explanation and Reference	to Plans, Drawings, and R	eports				
				Staff Comments				
		Site grading and TH use not compatible	with access/adaptability					
		one grading and TT according and	with accountability					
c	i-l	Sustainability Score Summ						
3	OCIAI	Sustainability Score Sumii	iary					
						Score		
То	tal Soc	ial Pillar Points (Total Points Available – No	ot Including Bonus Points)			35		
To	tal Soc	ial Points Not Applicable				Total		
Total Social Points Not Applicable (Total Points for Items Not Relevant to this Application)						6		
Maximum Achievable Score						n/a		
(Total Social Pillar Points Minus Total Social Points Not Applicable)					29 Maximum			
Social Pillar Minimum Score					7			
(Sum of Applicable Baseline Items)					Social Baseline			
Total Points Achieved			A multi-sakin			16		
(Total Points Achieved for Applicable Items for this Application)  Total Social Points								
		Fillar Score		16	/ 29	55	%	

201

Project Report Card Summary FOR CITY USE ONLY – TO BE FILLED OUT BY THE PLANNER						
Project Address/Name: 44-60 Seaview Drive				File No: 6700-20-199		
PROJECT SCORE SUMMARY	Cultural	Economic	Environmental	Social		
Total Pillar Points Available	23	16	57	35		
Sum Of Items Not Applicable	Cultural na	Economic na	Enviro na	Social na		
Maximum Achievable Score (Total Pillar Points – Sum of Items N/A) Minimum Score (Sum of Applicable Baseline Items) Missed Points (Sum of Applicable Items Not Achieved) TOTAL PILLAR SCORE ACHIEVED (Total Points Achieved out of Applicable Items)	Maximum Cultural Achievable  11  Minimum Cultural Score  7  Missed Cultural Points  4  7  Total Cultural # Possible Cultural #	Maximum Economic Achievable 7  Minimum Economic Score 7  Missed Economic Points 2	Maximum Enviro Achievable  53  Minimum Enviro Score  32  Missed EnviroPoints  21  32  Total Enviro # Possible Enviro #	Maximum Social Achievable 35  Minimum Social Score 7  Missed Social Points 19  16  Total Social # / 29  Possible Social #		
OVERALL SUSTAINABILITY SCORE (Sum of Four Pillars) SUSTAINABILITY HIGHLIGHTS	64 % Total Cultural Percent  60 Overall #	71 % Total Economic Percent  / 100 Overall Possible #	Total Enviro Percent  60 Overall F	55 % Total Social Percent  9%  Percent		
+ Priority Items (Score ≥3) Achieved and Confirmed Innovations	+ Cultural	+ Economic	+ Environmental	+ Social		
<ul> <li>Priority Items (Score ≥3) Missed and Confirmed Constraints</li> </ul>	– Cultural	- Economic	– Environmental	Social		

202

### Considered at November 24, 2020 Council Meeting

440

#### **Report Card Glossary**

Accessible housing - Housing designed and constructed to be universally accessible to people of diverse ages and abilities.

Adaptable unit – A dwelling unit that provides flexible design features that meet BC Building Code minimum requirements; it can be adapted to meet the changing needs of any occupant for reasons of disability, lack of stamina, and progressing through different life stages to support independent living.

Accessible housing/unit – Housing with fixed design features to enable independent living for persons with disabilities, such as those in wheelchairs.

**Affordable market housing** – Housing that is affordable to moderate income households achieved through tenure, location, reduced parking, modesty in unit size, level of finishing, and design and durability over time as the buildings age.

BC Energy Step Code – BC Energy Step Code is a voluntary roadmap that establishes progressive performance targets (i.e., steps) that support market transformation from the current energy-efficiency requirements in the BC Building Code to net zero energy ready buildings.

**Beautification** – The process of making visual improvements appropriate to a specific place, including but not limited to building facades, landscaping, decorative or historic-style street elements, selection of paving/fencing materials and their treatment, etc. Improvements contribute to Port Moody's reputation as City of the Arts in a sustainable manner.

**Brownfield** – A term used in urban planning to describe land previously used for industrial purposes or some commercial uses where the expansion, redevelopment, or reuse of the property may be complicated by the potential presence of a hazardous substance, pollutant, or contaminant.

**Car/Bike share network** – Arrangements between two or more persons to share the use of a vehicle or bicycle for a specified cost and period of time.

**Character-defining elements** – The materials, forms, location, spatial configurations, uses, and cultural associations or meanings that contribute to the heritage value of a historic place, which must be retained to preserve its heritage value.

**Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED)** – The design and effective use of the built environment to reduce the incidence of crime and improve the quality of life.

**District energy systems** – A system that uses renewable energy to pipe energy to buildings within a specified area for space heating, hot water, and air conditioning.

**Ecological inventory** – An inventory that identifies the ecological values in a natural habitat, and is usually the first step in an environmental impact assessment.

**Electric vehicle (EV)** – An automobile that uses one or more electric motors or traction motors for propulsion. An electric vehicle may be powered through a collector system by electricity from off-vehicle sources, or may be self-contained with a battery or generator to convert fuel to electricity.

**Environmentally Sensitive Areas** – Land designated as areas that need special protection because of its environmental attributes, such as rare ecosystems, habitats for species at risk and areas that are easily disturbed by human activities. Refer to Map 13 of OCP.

203

### Considered at November 24, 2020 Council Meeting

441

#### **Report Card Glossary – continued**

**Greenfield** – Undeveloped land in a city or rural area either used for agriculture or landscape design, or left to evolve naturally. These areas of land are usually agricultural or amenity properties being considered for urban development.

**Greyfield** – Economically obsolescent, out-dated, declining, and/or underutilized land, often with the presence of abundant surface parking.

**Greywater** – Wastewater from lavatories, showers, sinks, and washing machines that do not contain food wastes and that can be reused for purposes such as irrigation or flushing toilets.

Habitat corridor – Habitat areas, generally consisting of native vegetation, linking with larger areas of similar wildlife habitat. Corridors are critical for the maintenance of ecological processes, providing food, and allowing for the movement of animals and the continuation of viable populations.

**Heat island effect** – Heat islands form as vegetation is replaced by hard surfaces to accommodate growing populations. These surfaces absorb, rather than reflect, the sun's heat, causing surface temperatures and overall ambient temperatures to rise.

**Heritage rehabilitation** – The action or process of making possible a continuing or compatible contemporary use of a historic place through repair, alterations, and/or additions while protecting its heritage value.

Heritage restoration – Returning a historic place back to how it looked at any time in its past.

**Invasive plant species** – An invasive plant is a non-native species whose interaction causes economic harm, harm to human health, and/or environmental harm.

**Light pollution** – Brightening of the night sky caused by street lights and other man-made sources, which has a disruptive effect on natural cycles and inhibits the observation of stars and planets.

Market rental housing – Private, market rental rate housing units.

**Naturescape planting** – Landscaping with species that are naturally adapted to local climate, soils, predators, pollinators, and disease and, once established, require minimal maintenance.

**Non-market rental housing** – Subsidized rental housing for those unable to pay market-level rents including, but not limited to, public housing owned and operated by government agencies, non-profit housing owned and operated by public and private non-profit groups, and co-operative housing owned and managed by co-operative associations of the residents.

On-site power generation – The ability to generate power without transporting it from its source to where it can be utilized.

**On-site renewable energy generation** – The generation of naturally replenished sources of energy, such as solar, wind power, falling water, and geothermal energy.

Passive design – An approach to building design that uses the building architecture to minimize energy consumption and improve thermal comfort.

Public space – A social space that is generally open and accessible to people.

### Considered at November 24, 2020 Council Meeting

442

#### **Report Card Glossary – continued**

**R-2000-Certified New Home** – Best-in-class, energy-efficient homes with even higher levels of energy efficiency than ENERGY STAR-qualified new homes, as well as clean air and environmental features.

**Smart technology** – Technologies that allow sensors, databases, and/or wireless access to collaboratively sense, adapt to, and provide for users within the environment.

**Statement of significance** – The first essential step in any conservation project, which involves identifying and describing the *character-defining elements*; it is important in defining the overall heritage value of the historic place. Refer to the Standards and Guidelines for the Conservation of Historic Places in Canada (see Resources glossary).

**Streetscape** – The visual elements of a street, including the road, adjoining buildings, sidewalks, street furniture, trees, and open spaces that combine to form the street's character.

**Storm water management plan** – The management of water occurring as a result of development or precipitation that flows over the surface into a sewer system.

**Transit oriented development (TOD)** – A mixed-use residential and commercial area designed to maximize access to public transportation; it often incorporates features to encourage transit ridership. A TOD neighbourhood typically has a centre with a transit station or stop (train station, metro station, tram stop, or bus stop), surrounded by relatively high-density development with progressively lower-density development spreading outward from the centre. TODs generally are located within a radius of 400 to 800 metres from a transit stop, as this is considered to be an appropriate distance for *walkability*.

**Universal access** – This term refers to broad-spectrum ideas meant to produce buildings, products, and environments that are inherently accessible to both people without disabilities and people with disabilities.

**Urban infill** – An urban planning term that refers to new development that is sited on vacant or undeveloped land within an existing community, and that is enclosed by other types of development.

**Urban forest** – The total collection of trees and associated plants growing in a city or town. It includes trees in parks and yards, along roadways and paths, and in other areas, both on public and private lands.

**Urban vitalization** – The urban planning process of rehabilitating a place or "taking a place to a higher level" using a community-building process (early stage community involvement) to define the key characteristics that make a place unique or special; and applying the concepts of urban conservation to leverage a community's assets, most often in accordance with approved City plans.

Viewscape – The natural and built environment that is visible from a viewing point.

**Walkability** – The extent to which the built environment is friendly to the presence of people living, shopping, visiting, enjoying, or spending time in an area; improvements in walkability lead to health, economic, and environmental benefits.

**Xeriscaping** – This terms refers to landscaping and gardening in ways that reduce or eliminate the need for supplemental water from irrigation. Xeriscaping refers to a method of landscape design that minimizes water use.

205

### Considered at November 24, 2020 Council Meeting

443

#### Resources

Access Near Aquatic Areas: A Guide to Sensitive Planning, Design and Management

atfiles.org

**BC Climate Exchange** 

bcclimateexchange.ca

**BC Energy Step Code Technical Requirements** 

<u>bclaws.ca</u>

Best Management Practices for Amphibians and Reptiles in Urban and Rural Environments in British Columbia

env.gov.bc.ca

Bird-Friendly Development Guidelines - City of Toronto

toronto.ca/lightsout/guidelines

**Canada Green Building Council** 

cagbc.org

City of Port Moody: Official Community Plan (2014)

portmoody.ca

Stream and Drainage System Protection Bylaw No. 2470

portmoody.ca

City of Port Moody Waste Management Bylaw No. 2822

portmoody.ca

City of Vancouver Passive Design Toolkit for Large Buildings

vancouver.ca

**Community Green Ways Linking Communities to Country and People to Nature** 

<u>evergreen.ca</u>

Design Centre for CPTED (Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design)

designcentreforcpted.org

Develop with Care: Environmental Guidelines for Urban and Rural Land Development in British Columbia

env.gov.bc.ca/wld/documents/bmp/devwithcare/

**EnerGuide Rating System** 

nrcan.gc.ca/energy/efficiency/housing/new-homes/5035

**Environmentally Sensitive Areas, Best Practices** 

env.gov.bc.ca

206

### Considered at November 24, 2020 Council Meeting

444

#### Resources – continued

#### **Examples of Good Public Art**

City of Port Moody Public Art

#### **Fatal Light Awareness Program (FLAP)**

flap.org

#### **Invasive Species Council of Metro Vancouver**

<u>iscmv.ca</u>

#### **International Dark Sky Association**

darksky.org

#### Metro Vancouver's DLC Waste Management Toolkit

metrovancouver.org

### Metro Vancouver Technical Specifications for Recycling and Garbage Amenities in Multi-family and Commercial Developments

metrovancouver.org/services

#### Metro Vancouver's Stormwater Source Control Guideline

metrovancouver.org/services

#### **Naturescape BC**

naturescapebc.ca

#### **Project for Public Spaces**

pps.org

#### **Riparian Areas Regulation Assessment Methods**

gov.bc.ca

#### Standards and Best Management Practices for Instream Works

env.gov.bc.ca

#### Standards and Guidelines for the Conservation of Historic Places in Canada

historicplaces.ca

#### Stream Stewardship: A Guide for Planners and Developers

stewardshipcentrebc.ca

#### **Translink: Transit Oriented Communities**

translink.ca/transit-oriented-communities

#### Vancouver Bird Strategy – City of Vancouver (2015)

vancouver.ca

207



January 29th, 2021

Mr. Wesley Woo Port Moody Development and Planning Department 100 Newport Drive Port Moody, BC, V3H 5C3

RE: 44-60 Seaview Townhome Application Return to Council

We are pleased to submit our updated application in response to the motion put forth by Mayor & Council on November 24, 2020

THAT the applicant receive the feedback provided at the Regular Council meeting of November 24, 2020, including increase in social/green space and a massing that steps down to the neighbouring property;

We have addressed the social and green space by adding in community gardens and changing the visitor parking stalls to grass-crete. While the existing amenity area is designed to accommodate young children, the inclusion of the community gardens will allow for adult social interaction, which will help create a more cohesive community. These changes can be found on the landscape drawings.

We did explore the addition of roof decks. The two contributing factors that deterred us from moving forward with this option was:

- 1) The impact it would have on the bedroom sizes. The addition of the roof decks would cause the 2<sup>nd</sup> or 3<sup>rd</sup> bedroom to shrink by approximately 2 to 3 feet in length. Based on consistent comments we received from CPAC & COTW we believe reducing the bedroom sizes to be a step backwards. We have included some drawings showing the impacts to the bedrooms.
- The addition of roof decks would inflate the purchase price of these units and work against creating attainable family housing.

It should also be noted that each unit has private outdoor space either in the form of a patio or balcony, both options are south facing to maximize sun exposure. They range in size from 120SF to 200SF.

208

Page 2
 January 29, 2021

We have addressed the transition to the neighboring property by redesigning the edge of the building creating approximately an 8 foot reduction in height. We have added a side by side comparison at the end of the architectural drawings to highlight the reduction.


We also explored moving the driveway entry to the far east to assist the transition, but it created a fire fighter access issue. Supporting documentation has been submitted.


It should also be noted that we are currently proposing a 3.5m setback, which exceeds the bylaw requirement of 2.0m. We also have had an open dialogue with the neighboring property, at 40 Seaview Drive. She is supportive of this development and has submitted a letter stating her support.


We believe that all issues raised by staff and council have been addressed. We look forward to returning to council for 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> reading with staff support at the earliest availability.

Yours truly,

Ryan Allaire Allaire Properties Inc.








212

DIAGRAMATIC COMPARISON OF THE HEIGHT DIFFERENCE OF THE ROOF RIDGE VS ROOF EAVE. COMPARING ELEVATIONS SUBMITTED AS: 443 201106 Issue 04 - Rezoning Resubmission

THE HEIGHT DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE PREVIOUS ROOF RIDGE VS REVISED ROOF EAVE. IS APPROX. 8FT



A

Integra

2330-200 Granville Stre Vancouver, BC, V6C 15 www.integra-arch.co Telephone: 604 688 423

Product RECIPIED. THE DEVENTAGE AND DESIGN
OF ALL THATE SENAND THE DECLARAGE NETWORK THE PRODUCT OF ALL THATE SENAND THE PRODUCT OF ALL THATE SENAND THE PRODUCT THE ARCHITECTUS CONS.

PROJECT TEAM

PREVIOUS SUBMISSION

443 201106 Rock Ridge - Architectural Drawings Issue 04 - Rezoning Resubmission

A-4.200 Building 2 Elevations


#1 Building 2 - North Elevation

CURRENT SUBMISSION

443 210129 Rock Ridge - Architectural Drawings Issue 05 - Rezoning Resubmission

A-4.200 Building 2 Elevations

#1 Building 2 - North Elevation



CURRENT SUBMISSION

Showing reduction in eave height at the East Property line

[ARCHITECT SEA

100

Rock Ridge

44, 48, 52, 56, 60 Seaview Drive Port Moody, BC

Elevation Comparison

18443

Tuesday, February 2, 2021 05 - Rezoning Resubmission

A-8.201

#### **Rock Ridge**

Issue 05 - Rezoning Resubmission Friday, January 29, 2021

Civic Address:

44, 48, 52, 56, 60 Seaview Drive, Port Moody, BC

Development Permit Number: N/A

Building Permit Number: N/A

Note: Drawings only to scale when printed on 24x36 sheets

#### Architectural Drawing Issues

2021-01-29 2020-11-06 2020-07-20 Issue 04 - Rezoning Resubmission Issue 03 - Rezoning Resubmission Issue 02 - Rezoning Submission Issue 01 - Preliminary Inquiry

#### Architectural Drawing Index

A-0.000 Cover		
A-0.000	Cover Sheet	
A-0.010	Data Sheet	
A-0.210	Context	
A-0.220	Context	
A-0.230	Site Context Photos	
A-0.240	Zoning	
A-0.310	Existing Conditions	1/16" = 1'-0"
A-0.320	Existing Conditions	1/16" = 1'-0"
A-0.401	Perspective Renders	
A-0.402	Perspective Renders	
A-0.403	Perspective Renders	
A-0.404	Perspective Renders	
A-1.000 Site		

#### ALLAIRE

Integra

A-0.240	Zoning		Vancouver, BC, V6C 1S4
A-0.310	Existing Conditions	1/16" = 1'-0"	www.integra-arch.com
A-0.320	Existing Conditions	1/16" = 1'-0"	Telephone: 604 688 4220
A-0.401	Perspective Renders		COPYRIGHT RESERVED. THIS DRAWING AND DESIGN IS
A-0.402	Perspective Renders		AND AT ALL TIMES REMAINS THE EXCLUSIVE PROPERTY OF INTEGRA ARCHITECTURE INC. AND CANNOT BE USED WITHOUT THE ARCHITECT'S CONSENT.
A-0.403	Perspective Renders		SE USED WITHOUT THE ARCHITECT'S CONSENT.
A-0.404	Perspective Renders		
A-1.000 Site			<del></del>
A-1.000	Survey	3/32" = 1'-0"	
A-1.100	Site Plan	3/32" = 1'-0"	
A-2.000 Plans			
A-2.110	Level 1 Floor Plan (West)	1/8" = 1'-0"	[PROJECT TEAM]
A-2.120	Level 2 Floor Plan (West)	1/8" = 1'-0"	— ALLBIRE
A-2.130	Level 3 Floor Plan (West)	1/8" = 1'-0"	
A-2.210	Level 1 Floor Plan (East)	1/8" = 1'-0"	
A-2.220	Level 2 Floor Plan (East)	1/8" = 1'-0"	
A-2.230	Level 3 Floor Plan (East)	1/8" = 1'-0"	
A-3.000 Enlarged	Diene		
A-3.111	Unit A1	1/4" = 1'-0"	
A-3.112	Unit A1a	1/4" = 1'-0"	
A-3.113	Unit A1b	1/4" = 1'-0"	
A-3.121	Unit A2	1/4" = 1'-0"	
A-3.122	Unit A2a	1/4" = 1'-0"	
A-3.123	Unit A2b	1/4" = 1'-0"	
A-3.131	Unit A3	1/4" = 1'-0"	
A-3.141	Unit A4	1/4" = 1'-0"	
A-3.142	Unit A4a	1/4" = 1'-0"	
A-3.151	Unit A5	1/4" = 1'-0"	
A-3.152	Unit A5a	1/4" = 1'-0"	
A-3.153	Unit A5b	1/4" = 1'-0"	
A-3.161	Unit A6	1/4" = 1'-0"	
A-3.211	Unit B1a	1/4" = 1'-0"	
A-3.212	Unit B1b	1/4" = 1'-0"	
A-3.213	Unit B1c	1/4" = 1'-0"	<del></del>
A-3.214	Unit B1d	1/4" = 1'-0"	<del></del>
A-3.221	Unit B2a	1/4" = 1'-0"	
A-3.222	Unit B2b	1/4" = 1'-0"	
A-4.000 Elevation	ns		[ARCHITECT SEAL]
A-4.100	Building 1 Elevations	1/8" = 1'-0"	
A-4.200	Building 2 Elevations	1/8" = 1'-0"	
A-4.300	Building 3 Elevations	1/8" = 1'-0"	
A-4.400	Building 4 Elevations	1/8" = 1'-0"	
A-4.500	Material Board		
A-8.000 Supplem	ontol		
A-8.111	Level 1 FSR Exclusions (West)	1/8" = 1'-0"	
A-8.112	Level 2 FSR Exclusions (West)	1/8" = 1'-0"	
A-8.112 A-8.113		1/8" = 1'-0"	(CLIENT)
	Level 3 FSR Exclusions (West)		
A-8.121	Level 1 FSR Exclusions (East)	1/8" = 1'-0"	Allaire Group
A-8.122	Level 2 FSR Exclusions (East)		
A-8.123	Level 3 FSR Exclusions (East)	1/8" = 1'-0"	



#### CONTACT LIST

Client	Allaire Properties Inc. 245 – 9600 Cameron Street Burnaby BC V3J 7N3	Marc Allaire Ryan Allaire	604.422.8798 604.422.8718	mallaire@allairegrp.com rallaire@allairegrp.com
Architectural	Integra Architecture Inc. 2330-400 Granville Street Vancouver BC V6C 1S4	Duane Siegrist Steve Watt	604.688.4220	duanesiegrist@integra-arch.com stevew@integra-arch.com
Civil	Vector Engineering Ltd. 3375 Norland Avenue Burnaby BC V5B 3A9	Vic Sagorski	604.298.2333	vic@vectoreng.ca
Landscape	Prospect & Refuge Landscape 102-1661 W 2 <sup>nd</sup> Ave Vancouver BC V6J 1H3	Alyssa Semczyszyn	604.669.1003	alyssa@prospectrefuge.ca
Authority	City of Port Moody 100 Newport Drive Port Moody BC V3H 5C3			

Rock Ridge

#### **Cover Sheet**

18443	PROJECT
	[ SCALE
Friday, January 29, 2021	[DATE
05 - Rezoning Resubmis	sion

ROCK

PROJECT OWNER: PROJECT CONTACT: #245 - 9600 Cameron St., Burnaby BC V3J 7N3 PROJECT ARCHITECT: Shamus Sachs Integra Architecture Inc. 2330-200 Granville Street, Vancouver, BC V6C 1S4 T.604.688.4220 PROJECT NUMBER: 18443

CIVIC ADDRESS: 44, 48, 52, 56, 60 Seaview Drive, Port Moody, BC

LEGAL DESCRIPTION: Lots 3-7, Block D, Plan NWP17952, District Lot 377, New Westminster District

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: 3-Storey Multi-family Townhomes ZONING:

Existing Zoning: Proposed Zoning: RS-1 CD (TBC)

OCP Designation Development Permit Area Single Family Low Density 1 (Multiple Residential)

OHE AIREA (OA)				
Total Site Area				
Total Gross Site Area	0.971 Acres	40,019 SF	3,717.83 m <sup>2</sup>	

LOOR AREA RA	ATIO (FAR)	

Maximum FAR			
Maximum Floor Area	1.00	40,019 SF	3,717.8 m <sup>2</sup>
Proposed FAR			
Proposed Floor Area	0.95	38,129 SF	3,542.3 m <sup>2</sup>

Maximum LC		
Maximum Lot Coverage	40%	16,007 SF
Proposed I C		

Maximum Lot Coverage		
Biulding 1 Lot Coverage	4,367.35 SF	
Biulding 2 Lot Coverage	4,975.35 SF	
Biulding 3 Lot Coverage	3,784.15 SF	
Biulding 4 Lot Coverage	4,387.83 SF	
Total Lot Coverage	17,514.68 SF	Variance Required

#### GROSS FLOOR AREA (GFA)

Unit Types	Level	SF	Subtotal	Garage	Open to Below or <5FT Under Stains	Subtotal	Total	Number of Units	SF	m²
TH Unit A1	L1	608.00		502.76	24.93					
3 Bed +2.5 Bath	L2	640.54	1893.51		28.89	556.58	1336.93	2	2673.86	248.41
Seaview Middle Unit	L3	644.97	1							
TH Unit A1a	L1	608.00		502.76	24.93					
3 Bed +2.5 Bath	L2	639.88	1892.18		28.89	556.58	1335.60	2	2671.20	248.16
Seaview Middle Unit	L3	644.30	1							
TH Unit A1b	L1	608.00		502.76	24.93					
3 Bed +2.5 Bath	L2	639.88	1892.18		28.89	556.58	1335.60	1	1335.60	124.08
Seaview Middle Unit	L3	644.30								
TH Unit A2	L1	608.00		500.91	24.93					
3 Bed +2.5 Bath	L2	639.88	1883.33		30.14	555.98	1327.35	2	2654.70	246.63
Seaview Middle Unit	L3	635.45	1					_		
TH Unit A2a	L1	608.00		500.91	24.93					
3 Bed + 2.5 Bath	L2	639.88	1883.33		30.14	555.98	1327.35	2	2654.70	246.63
Seaview Middle Unit	L3	635.45	1		55.14			_		
TH Unit A2b	L1	608.25		501.16	24.93					
3 Bed +2.5 Bath	L2	640.54	1884.91		30.14	556.23	1328.68	1	1328.68	123.44
Seaview Middle Unit	L3	636.12								
TH Unit A3 2 Bed +2.5 Bath + Den	L1	608.25	1888.51	518.96	24.93	551.30	1337.21	- 1	1337.21	124.23
	L2	640.13	1888.01		7.41	331.30	1337.21		1337.21	124.23
Seaview Middle Unit	L3	640.13						-		
TH Unit A4	L1	605.15		506.82	24.93					
3 Bed + 2.5 Bath	L2	605.15	1810.24		19.03	550.78	1259.46	2	2518.92	234.01
Laneway Middle Unit	L3	599.94	1							
TH Unit A4a	L1	604.13		505.80	24.93					
3 Bed +2.5 Bath	L2	604.13	1807.18		18.73	549.46	1257.72	2	2515.44	233.69
Laneway Middle Unit	L3	598.92								
TH Unit A5	L1	604.13		505.22	24.93					
3 Bed +2.5 Bath	L2	604.13	1817.61	303.22	24.93	550.43	1267.18	2	2534.36	235.45
S Bed +2.5 Batri Laneway Middle Unit	L2 L3	609.35	10.17.01	-	20.20	330.43	1237.16	2	2004.00	233.45
TH Unit A5a	11	605.15		506.24	24.93			1		
3 Bed +2.5 Bath	L2	605.15	1819.65		20.28	551.45	1268.20	- 1	1268.20	117.82
Laneway Middle Unit	L3	609.35			20.20			' '	.220.20	
TH Unit A5b	L1	604.13		505.22	24.93			1		
3 Bed +2.5 Bath	L2	604.13	1817.61		20.28	550.43	1267.18	- 1	1267.18	117.72
Laneway Middle Unit	L3	609.35	1		25.20			1 '		
					-			_		-

Integra	
ARCHITECTURE INC.	

2330-200 Granville Street Vancouver, BC, V6C 1S4 www.integra-arch.com Telephone: 604 688 4220

I	1		1	1	ľ	1	1	1	ľ	1
TH Unit A6	L1	604.71		508.23	24.93					
2 Bed + 2.5 BatH + Den	L2	604.71	1814.13		8.47	541.63	1272.50	1	1272.50	118.22
Laneway Middle Unit	L3	604.71	Ī							
TH Unit B1a	L1	663.42		462.72	23.68					
3 Bed + 2.5 Bath + Den	L2	696.24	2055.90		14.32	500.72	1555.18	2	3110.36	288.96
Seaview End Unit	L3	696.24	Ī							
TH Unit B1b	L1	663.42		391.58	23.68					
4 Bed + 3.5 Bath	L2	696.24	2055.90		14.19	429.45	1626.45	2	3252.90	302.20
Seaview End Unit	L3	696.24	Ī							
					•	•	•			
TH Unit B2a	L1	682.79		574.67	40.31					
3 Bed + 2.5 Bath	L2	682.79	2048.37			614.98	1433.39	2	2866.78	266.33
Laneway End Unit	L3	682.79	Ī			1				
TH Unit B2b	L1	682.79		574.67	40.31					
3 Red + 2 5 Rath	1.2	682 70	2048 37			614 98	1433.39	2	2866.78	266.33

#### ALLAIRE

0	E	ET	D	۸	D	K	IN	C

Townhouse Parking			
Residential Parking	1.5 Spaces Per Unit	42 Spaces Required	56 Spaces Pn
Visitor Parking	6 Spaces Required (As per City)	6 Spaces Required	6 Spaces Pn
Disabled Parking	1 Space For Every 100 Parking Spaces	0 Spaces Required	<ol> <li>Spaces Pn</li> </ol>
Max. Small Cars	0.25 of Provided Spaces	14 Spaces Max.	12 Spaces Pn

#### Parking Space Dimensions

	Required (Width x Length x Height)	Provided (Width x Length x Height)
Standard Space	2.6m (8.53 FT) x 5.6m (18.37 FT) x 2.1m (6.89 FT)	2.7m (8.54 FT) x 5.61m (18.42 FT) x Vs
Small Cars	2.5m (8.20 FT) x 5.1m (16.73 FT) x 2.1m (6.89 FT)	2.6m (8.25 FT) x 5.11m (16.75 FT) x Vε
Min. Distance to Continuous Wall	0.3m (0.98 FT) (1'-0")	0.3m (0.98 FT) (1'-0")
Min. Drive Aisle Width	6.1m (20.0 FT) (20'-0")	6.1m (20.0 FT) (20'-0")
Min. Maneuvering Aisle Width	6.7m (22.0 FT) (22'-0")	6.7m (22.0 FT) (22'-0")

#### BICYCLE STALLS

Townhouse Bicycle Spaces		
Bicycle Spaces Required	2.0 Bicycle Stalls Per Unit	56 Stalls Requ
Bicycle Spaces Provided	2.0 Bicycle Stalls Per Unit	56 Stalls Prov

#### BUILDING HEIGHT

		Execute drades (m)			Average Existing	Edute - October	Max. Height
	NW	NE	SE	SW	Grade (m)	Edsting Grade (m)	(m)
BUILDING 1	110.59	109.68	109.55	110.51	110.08	12.50	122.5
BUILDING 2	108.99	107.77	107.65	108.86	108.32	12.50	120.8
BUILDING 3	110.55	109.81	112.80	113.41	111.65	10.50	122.1
BUILDING 4	108.97	107.99	110.85	111.76	109.89	10.50	120.3

121.95 BUILDING 2 BUILDING 3 120.34 121.51 119.86 BUILDING 4

Note 2: All Electrical Closests and Rooms are excluded from FSR

Note 3: The proposed FAR & GFA excludes Parking Areas, Open to Below Spaces, Patios and Balconies


Rock Ridge

44, 48, 52, 56, 60 Seaview Drive Port Moody. BC

#### Data Sheet

18443	[PROJEC
As Noted	[SCA
Friday, January 29,	2021







#### Integra



ALLAIRE











ROCKY POINT PARK



Rock Ridge

44, 48, 52, 56, 60 Seaview Drive Port Moody, BC

Context

216



South Aerial Perspective of Site



2) North-West Aerial Perspective of Site





South-East Aerial Perspective of Site



5 East Aerial Perspective of Site



#### Integra

2330-200 Granville Stree Vancouver, BC, V6C 1S4 www.integra-arch.com Telephone: 604 688 4220

COPYRIGHT RESERVED. THIS DRAWING AND DESIGN IS AND AT ALL TIMES REMAINS THE EXCLUSIVE PROPERTY OF INTEGRA ARCHITECTURE INC. AND CANNOT



[ARCHITECT SEA

Ilaire Group

[PROJ

Port Moody, BC

Context

As Noted [SCALE]
Friday, January 29, 2021 [OATE]

217



ONTEXT PHOTO



2 CONTEXT PHOTO



CONTEXT PHOTO



CONTEXT PHOTO





G CONTEXT PHOTO



6 CONTEXT PHOTO



O CONTEXT PHOTO



**8** CONTEXT PHOTO



#### Integra

2330-200 Granville Stree
Vancouver, BC, V6C 1S4
www.integra-arch.com
Telephone: 604 688 4220

COPTRIGHT RESERVED. THE DIMENSIA AND CESSION
AND AT ALL TRACE REMAINS THE EXCLUSIVE PROPRET
OF MITERIAL MONEY CAMES.



ALLAIRE

(ARCHITECT SE

Rock Ridge

44, 48, 52, 58, 60 Seaview Drive Port Moody, BC

Site Context Photos

18443 [PROJECT


As Noted [SCALI

Friday, January 29, 2021 [OATT

05 - Rezoning Resubmission











# Integra ARCHITECTURE INC.

2330-200 Granville Street Vancouver, BC, V6C 1S4 www.integra-arch.com Telephone: 604 688 4220



Rock Ridge

Perspective Renders

Friday, January 29, 2021





## Integra ARCHITECTURE INC.



Rock Ridge

Perspective Renders

Friday, January 29, 2021

223





# Integra ARCHITECTURE INC.

2330-200 Granville Street Vancouver, BC, V6C 1S4 www.integra-arch.com Telephone: 604 688 4220 copyright DESERVED. THES DRAWNO AND DESERVE MAD AT ALL TIMES DRAWNO AND DESERVE

COPYRIGHT RESERVED. THE DRAWING AND DESIGN NO AT ALL TIMES REMAINS THE EXCLUSIVE PROPE OF INTEGRA ARCHITECTURE INC. AND CAN IS USED WITHOUT THE ARCHITECTS CONSI



[ARCHITECT SE

Allaire Group

Rock Ridge

44, 48, 52, 56, 60 Seaview Drive Port Moody, BC

Perspective

#### Renders

Friday, January 29, 2021

Friday, January 29, 2021

05 - Rezoning Resubmission

224





# Integra ARCHITECTURE INC.

2330-200 Granville Stree
Vancouver, BC, V6C 1S4
www.integra-arch.com
Telephone: 604 688 4220

COPPRIGHT RESERVED. THE DIMMINIA AND DEBON.
AND AT ALL THESE REMANS THE EXCLUSING PROPERS
OF INTERNA MICHIERCIPES BM. AND CAME



[ARCHITECT S

llaire Group

Rock Ridge

44, 48, 52, 56, 60 Seaview Drive Port Moody, BC

Perspective


Renders

1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 19

Friday, January 29, 2021

05 - Rezoning Resubmission






REFER TO LEGAL SURVEY PROVIDED BY A REGISTERED B.C.L.S. IF REQUIRED

A-1.000



























236




A-3.121

237





A-3.122


238





A-3.123




















246









Integra


2330-200 Granville Street Vancouver, BC, V6C 1S4 www.integra-arch.com Telephone: 604 688 4220


ALLAIRE



248









Integra


2330-200 Granville Street Vancouver, BC, V6C 1S4 www.integra-arch.com Telephone: 604 688 4220

ALLAIRE



250








ALLAIRE

Unit B2a - Level 1



2 Unit B2a - Level 2

[ARCHITECT SE

Allaire Group

Rock Ridge

44, 48, 52, 56, 60 Seaview Driv Port Moody, BC

Unit B2a

18443 | PROJEC

1/4" = 1'-0" | SCAL


Friday, January 29, 2021 | DAT


05 - Rezoning Resubmission

A-3.221

3 Unit B2a - Level 3

251








ALLIPIRE

Unit B2b - Level 1



2) Unit B2b - Level 2

[ARCHITECT S

Allaire Group

Rock Ridge

44, 48, 52, 56, 60 Seaview Drive Port Moody, BC

Unit B2b


1/4" = 1'-0" [SCA]

Friday, January 29, 2021 [OA]


05 - Rezoning Resubmission


A-3.222


3 Unit B2b - Level 3





































As Noted L5.4





# City of Port Moody

### Bylaw No. 3281

A Bylaw to amend City of Port Moody Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2014, No. 2955 to change the land use designation of 44, 48, 52, 56, and 60 Seaview Drive.

The Council of the City of Port Moody enacts as follows:

#### Citation

1.1 This Bylaw may be cited as "City of Port Moody Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2014, No. 2955, Amendment Bylaw No. 26, 2020, No. 3281 (44, 48, 52, 56, and 60 Seaview Drive)".

#### 2. Amendments

2.1 Map 1 – Overall Land Use Plan in Schedule A of City of Port Moody Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2014, No. 2955 is amended by changing the land use designation for the following lands from Single Family Low Density to Multi-Family Residential:

Lot 7 District Lot 377 Group 1 New Westminster District Plan 17952 PID No.: 010-331-697

Lot 6 District Lot 377 Group 1 New Westminster District Plan 17952 PID No.: 000-968-030

Lot 5 District Lot 377 Group 1 New Westminster District Plan 17952 PID No.: 010-331-654

Lot 4 District Lot 377 Group 1 New Westminster District Plan 17952 PID No.: 010-331-581

Lot 3 District Lot 377 Group 1 New Westminster District Plan 17952 PID No.: 010-331-549

as shown on the Location Map in Schedule A of this Bylaw.

2.2 Schedule "A" of Bylaw No. 2955 is amended by replacing Map 1 – Overall Land Use Plan with Map 1 – Overall Land Use Plan attached to this Bylaw as Schedule B.

EDMS#526625 1

266

3.	<b>Attachments</b>	and	Schedules
·).		anu	OCHEUNIES

- 3.1 The following schedules are attached to and form part of this Bylaw:
  - Schedule A Location Map
  - Schedule B Map 1 Overall Land Use Plan

### 4. Severability

Corporate Officer

4.1 If a portion of this Bylaw is found invalid by a court, it will be severed and the remainder of the Bylaw will remain in effect.

Read a first time this day of, 20	
Read a second time this day of, 20	
Read a third time this day of, 20	
Adopted this day of, 20	
R. Vagramov	D. Shermer
Mayor	Corporate Officer
I hereby certify that the above is a true copy of By	aw No. 3281 of the City of Port Moody.
D. Shermer	


City of Port Moody Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2014, No. 2955, Amendment Bylaw No. 26, 2020, No. 3281 (44, 48, 52, 56, and 60 Seaview Drive)
EDMS#526625

267

### Schedule A – Location Map

This is a certified true copy of the map referred to in section 2 of City of Port Moody Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2014, No. 2955, Amendment Bylaw No. 26, 2020, No. 3281 (44, 48, 52, 56, and 60 Seaview Drive).


Corporate Officer



EDMS#526625

268

Schedule B to Bylaw No. 3281



269



# City of Port Moody

### Bylaw No. 3282

A Bylaw to amend City of Port Moody Zoning Bylaw, 2018, No. 2937 to rezone the lands at 44, 48, 52, 56, and 60 Seaview Drive from Single Detached Residential (RS1) to Medium Density Townhouse Residential (RM4).

The Council of the City of Port Moody enacts as follows:

### 1. Citation

1.1 This Bylaw may be cited as "City of Port Moody Zoning Bylaw, 2018, No. 2937, Amendment Bylaw No. 46, 2020, No. 3282 (44, 48, 52, 56, and 60 Seaview Drive) (RM4)".

#### 2. Amendments

2.1 City of Port Moody Zoning Bylaw, 2018, No. 2937 is amended by rezoning the following lands from Single Detached Residential (RS1) to Medium Density Townhouse Residential (RM4):

Lot 7 District Lot 377 Group 1 New Westminster District Plan 17952 PID No.: 010-331-697

Lot 6 District Lot 377 Group 1 New Westminster District Plan 17952 PID No.: 000-968-030

Lot 5 District Lot 377 Group 1 New Westminster District Plan 17952 PID No.: 010-331-654

Lot 4 District Lot 377 Group 1 New Westminster District Plan 17952 PID No.: 010-331-581

Lot 3 District Lot 377 Group 1 New Westminster District Plan 17952 PID No.: 010-331-549

as shown on the map in Schedule A of this Bylaw.

#### Attachments and Schedules

- 3.1 The following schedule is attached to and forms part of this Bylaw:
  - Schedule A Location Map

EDMS#526626

270

4. Severabilit	4.	Severability	V
----------------	----	--------------	---

4.1 If a portion of this Bylaw is found invalid by a court, it will be severed and the remainder of the Bylaw will remain in effect.

Read a first time this day of, 20	
Read a second time this day of, 20	
Read a third time this day of, 20	
Adopted this day of, 20	
R. Vagramov Mayor	D. Shermer Corporate Officer
I hereby certify that the above is a true copy of By	law No. 3282 of the City of Port Moody.
D. Shermer Corporate Officer	

271

### Schedule A - Location Map

This is a certified true copy of the map referred to in section 2 of City of Port Moody Zoning Bylaw, 2018, No. 2937, Amendment Bylaw No. 46, 2020, No. 3282 (44, 48, 52, 56, and 60 Seaview Drive) (RM4).

Corporate Officer

